



## CITY OF FORT BRAGG

### Responses to RFP Questions - Design Services for Stormwater Trash Capture Device

#### Project PWP-00119

1. On page 3 of the RFP, the text references “the design documents should be scaleable to ensure that we can maximize the use of funds as they become available”  
Does the city mean that they should be capable of being segmented beyond phases 1 and 2 or is the preference that the design documents can be referenced in such a way to allow the city flexibility in referencing and awarding work within a Phase? **We want to be able to install as many as possible in either phase (if our estimates are high or if we end up being awarded more money). So for proposal purposes, we am asking for two bid packets, a phase I and a phase II each with six devices, but we wanted the consultant to know going in that we might want to award 8+ and 4 or some other configuration – so flexibility within the bid packet itself is the goal.**
2. On page 4, item 2 Encroachment permitting, will the city be completing the applications and submitting for encroachment permits? **The City will complete the application and do the submittal, but the Engineer will prepare any technical documents necessary to supplement the application (usually a traffic control plan, schedule, and plan set meeting Caltrans Standard Specs).**
3. Are there existing topographic or right of way surveys for any of the areas? **No**
4. On page 5, in Task 2 priority land use areas are referenced, are those land use areas identified in the OARs? **Yes, we have shapefiles of all the parcels included in the Priority LU categories.**
5. In task 4.2, is the conceptual design of the structure part of the scope of work? In order to create specifications, it seems like some sort of conceptual design would be completed by an artist first. Is the engineering to be included in the RFQ? **Yes, we would like the engineering to be included in the cost. You may choose to break this task into a specific line item. If we get a similar question from others, we may pull this item out as an alternate. We were expecting this item to be a small cost, if that is incorrect the City reserves the option to negotiate it out prior to entering into a contract with the selected firm.**
6. Should the cost for potholing services and excavation for geotechnical evaluation be included in the proposal or will the costs for excavation be run through the city? **Please include all costs necessary to achieve the final deliverables into your budget.**
7. On page 10, item F Are preconstruction site visits for data gathering (no surveying) considered preconstruction inspections and subject to prevailing wage? **No**