

# City of Fort Bragg, California

# Comprehensive Annual Financial Report Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015



Prepared by City of Fort Bragg Finance Department

This page intentionally left blank.



#### **Table of Contents**

**Page** INTRODUCTORY SECTION Table of Contents i Letter of Transmittal vii Organization Chart xiv GFOA Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting.....xv FINANCIAL SECTION **Basic Financial Statements:** Government-Wide Financial Statements: Statement of Net position 23 Fund Financial Statements: **Governmental Funds:** Reconciliation of Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the Reconciliation of Fund Basis Balance Sheet to Government-wide Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes Reconciliation of Fund Basis Statements to Government-wide Statement of Activities 34 Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances - Budget to Actual - General Fund and **Proprietary Funds:** Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Fund Net position – Proprietary Funds 42 **Fiduciary Activities:** 

# **Table of Contents, Continued**

Basic Financial Statements, Continued:	<u>Page</u>
Notes to Basic Financial Statements	54
Required Supplementary Information:	
Schedule of Funding Progress	102
Schedule of Contributions – Miscellaneous Plan	103
Schedule of Contributions – PEPRA Miscellaneous Plan	
Schedule of Contributions – Safety Police Plan	
Schedule of Contributions – PEPRA Safety Police Plan	
Schedule of Contributions – Safety Fire Plan	
Schedule of City's Proportionate Share of Net Pension Liability – Miscellaneous Plan	
Schedule of City's Proportionate Share of Net Pension Liability – PEPRA Miscellaneous Plan	
Schedule of City's Proportionate Share of Net Pension Liability – Safety Police Plan	
Schedule of City's Proportionate Share of Net Pension Liability – PEPRA Safety Police Plan	
Schedule of City's Proportionate Share of Net Pension Liability – Safety Fire Plan	
Other Supplemental Information:	
Combining and Individual Fund Financial Statements and Schedules:	
Nonmajor Governmental Funds:	
Combining Balance Sheet	116
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes	110
in Fund Balances	117
Combining Balance Sheet	
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes	124
in Fund Balances	128
Combining Balance Sheet – Federal and State Grant Special Revenue Funds	
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes	132
in Fund Balances – Federal and State Grant Special Revenue Funds	136
Combining Balance Sheet – Nonmajor Capital Projects Funds	140
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes	140
in Fund Balances – NonMajor Capital Projects Funds	142
Internal Service Funds:	
Combining Statement of Net position – Internal Service Funds	145
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes	
in Net position – Internal Service Funds	
Combining Statement of Cash Flows – Internal Service Funds	147

# **Table of Contents, Continued**

**Page** 

# **Basic Financial Statements, Continued:**

Statistical Section	
Financial Trends	
Net Position by Component	150
Change in Net Position	
Fund Balances of Governmental Funds	
Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds	
Governmental Fund Tax Revenues by Source	
Revenue Capacity	
Principal Sales Tax Producers	161
Assessed Value and Estimated Actual Value of Taxable Property, City Wide	162
Assessed Value of Property by Use Code, City Wide	163
Direct and Overlapping Property Tax Rates	164
Principal Property Taxpayers	166
Property Tax Levies and Collections	167
<b>Debt Capacity</b>	
Ratios of Outstanding Debt by Type	168
Ratios of General Bonded Debt Outstanding	170
Legal Debt Margin Information	172
Direct and Overlapping Debt	174
Pledged Revenue Coverage	176
Demographic and Economic Information	
Demographic and Economic Statistics	178
Principal Employers	179
Operating Information	
Full-Time and Part-Time City Employees by Function (Full-Time Equivalent)	
Operating Indicators by Function	
Capital Asset Statistics by Function	182

This page intentionally left blank.





# **INTRODUCTORY SECTION**

- > Letter of Transmittal
- **▶** Organizational Chart, Elected and Appointed Officials

This page intentionally left blank.





#### **MEMORANDUM**

**DATE:** January 11, 2016

**TO:** Citizens of the City of Fort Bragg

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council and City Manager

**FROM:** Finance Director Victor Damiani

**RE:** Presentation of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report

California Government Code sections 25250 and 25253 require that every general-purpose local government publish within six months of the close of each fiscal year a complete set of audited financial statements. The Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) of the City of Fort Bragg (City) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, is hereby published to fulfill that requirement.

Management assumes full responsibility for the completeness and reliability of the information contained in this report, based upon a comprehensive framework of internal controls that are established for this purpose. Because the cost of internal control should not exceed anticipated benefits, the objective is to provide reasonable, rather than absolute, assurance that the financial statements are free of any material misstatements.

The City's basic financial statements have been audited by JJACPA, Inc., an accounting firm fully licensed and qualified to perform audits of local governments within the State of California. The financial statements included in this report were audited in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and government auditing standards. The independent auditors concluded that there was a reasonable basis for rendering an unqualified ("clean") opinion that the City's basic financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 are fairly presented in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The independent auditor's report is presented as the first component of the financial section of this report.

The independent audit of the City's basic financial statements is part of a broader, federally-mandated Single Audit designed to meet the special needs of federal grantor agencies. The standards governing Single Audit engagements require the independent auditor to report not only on the City's fair presentation of the financial statements, but also on the City's internal controls and compliance with legal requirements, with special emphasis on internal controls and legal requirements involving the administration of federal awards.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) immediately follows the report of the independent auditors and provides a narrative introduction, overview and analysis of the basic financial statements. The MD&A was designed to complement this letter of transmittal and should be read in conjunction with it.

This report combines the financial statements of the City, the Successor Agency to the Fort Bragg Redevelopment Agency (Successor Agency), and the Fort Bragg Municipal Improvement District No. 1 (MID) in accordance with principles defining the governmental reporting entity adopted by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). The financial operations of the Successor Agency and MID, though legally separate from the City, are closely related to the City. The City Council members serve as the governing board the MID, which is presented as a blended component unit in the financial statements. Component units are legally separate entities for which the primary government is financially accountable. For a more detailed overview of the City's component units, see the Management Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) and the Notes to the Basic Financial Statements on pages 3-20 and 54-100, respectively.

#### **Profile of the Government**

The City of Fort Bragg, incorporated in 1889, is located approximately 165 miles north of San Francisco and 188 miles west of Sacramento on the scenic coast of Mendocino County. The City occupies 2.7 square miles. Census 2010 places the City's population at 7,273. Although it is quite small, Fort Bragg is the largest city on the coast between San Francisco and Eureka. The largest employment categories in the City include health care, public education, local government, wholesale and retail trade, and tourism. The mild climate and picturesque coastline make Fort Bragg a popular tourist and recreational area.

Fort Bragg is a general law city under California state law and its rights, powers, privileges, authority, and functions are established through the State constitution. The City operates under the Council-Manager form of government. The five members of the Fort Bragg City Council are elected by the voters to serve overlapping four-year terms. The Mayor is elected by, and from, the City Council for a two-year term. The City Council appoints the City Manager who serves at their discretion. The City Council is a policy-setting body that is responsible for, among other things, enacting ordinances, adopting the budget, and appointing committees. Several boards, commissions, and committees assist the City Council and Administration in carrying out various aspects and functions of city government. The members of the City Council also serve as the governing board for the Successor Agency to the Fort Bragg Redevelopment Agency and the Municipal Improvement District No. 1.

The City Manager is responsible for directing and supervising the administration of all departments of the City, to ensure that laws, ordinances, orders, resolutions, contracts, leases, and franchises are enforced and executed, and for appointing all City employees.

The City provides a wide range of services to its residents including public safety services, construction and maintenance of streets and infrastructure, water service, wastewater service, community development, financial management and administrative services. Special districts, agencies and Joint Power Authorities (JPAs), under the jurisdiction of the City, provide services such as wastewater treatment and fire protection throughout the City. Other entities, not under the City's jurisdiction, that provide services to the City's population include the school district, hospital district, recreation district, harbor district, and other special districts.

The annual budget provides a comprehensive statement of the City's organization, operations and resources and it expresses City Council and Municipal Improvement District Board policies and priorities in all areas of the City's operations. The budget process is the vehicle through which the City establishes goals and

objectives, and prioritizes the desired programs or services that the City should provide, and which can be financed by the City's projected revenue for the budget year. The budget is prepared by fund, function (e.g., public safety), and department (e.g., police). It is the means through which policy decisions are made, effected, controlled and monitored. The City Manager is responsible for submitting and recommending an operating budget and a capital improvements budget for City Council consideration and adoption. Throughout the year, the budget serves as a financial management tool and an operational plan for the delivery of City services and capital projects.

In addition to the budget planning process, the City maintains budgetary controls. The City's objective in maintaining budgetary control is to ensure compliance with legal provisions embodied in the annual appropriated budget approved by the City Council. The City Manager is authorized to transfer budgeted amounts within funds as deemed necessary in order to meet the City's needs subject to policy defined in the City's Administrative Regulations. The City Council may amend the budget by resolution during the fiscal year.

The City maintains an encumbrance accounting system as one technique of accomplishing budgetary control. Purchase orders, contracts, and other commitments for expenditure of money are secured in order to reserve that portion of the applicable appropriation. Total expenditures of each fund may not exceed fund appropriations and total expenditures for each department may not exceed departmental appropriations. Encumbrances outstanding at year-end are reported as assigned fund balance. Unencumbered amounts lapse at year-end and may be appropriated as part of the following year's budget.

#### **Spending Limitation**

Article XIIIB of the California Constitution, also known as the Gann spending limit, restricts the amount of "proceeds of taxes" California governments may spend. As of June 30, 2015, the City was \$3.8 million or 43% under the total Article XIHB (Gann) spending limitation. The City was not impacted by the spending limitation in FY 2014/15.

#### Citywide Achievements in FY 2014/15

Public Safety Programs. Continued to increase community awareness on a variety of issues including traffic safety, downtown foot patrol and, traffic enforcement in and around our schools. Collaborated with other service agencies to define effective ways to address issues related to our homeless population that impact public safety, emergency services, personal well-being and the quality of life in our community.

Fort Bragg Coastal Restoration & Trail Project. Significant progress was made in bringing Phase 1 of the Coastal Trail project to completion. The northern segment of Noyo Headlands Park was opened to the public in early 2015. Construction was initiated on the southern segment of the park and Coastal Trail.

Noyo Center for Marine Science. The Noyo Center for Marine Science project was substantially advanced with the establishment of a non-profit organization which will take over governance of the entity in 2015/16. The Noyo Center continued to deliver marine science education programs to local schools, continued to develop research and educational partnerships, and pursued fundraising for restoration of the blue whale and orca skeletons and development of the Noyo Center facility.

Upgraded City's Website and Information Technology System. The City completed a comprehensive update of its governmental website, providing access to a vast amount of digital content as well as interactive features such as the Notify Me and Request Tracker applications. With the completion of the Town Hall Remodel project, the City began livestreaming City Council and Planning Commission

meetings on its website. The City expanded its social media presence with the launch of a governmental Facebook page.

*Litigation.* The City successfully resolved the Georgia-Pacific v. OfficeMax litigation with a settlement that required no payment by the City.

*Grants.* The City prepared a number of successful grant applications covering a variety of activities. In FY 2014/15, grant-funded activities include:

#### **Planning Grants**

Wayfinding Signage Plan (MCOG OWP)
City Surrounded by a Park Plan (MCOG OWP)
Noyo Harbor Bicycle and Pedestrian Access Plan (MCOG OWP)

#### **Economic Development Grants**

Microenterprise Assistance (CDBG)

#### **Housing Grants**

Low and Moderate Income Housing Rehabilitation Program (HOME)

#### **Community Services and Community Facilities Grants**

Homeless Mental Health Intervention Program (CDBG)
Mendocino Coast Hospitality Center Old Coast Hotel Project (CDBG)
Food Bank Service Equipment (CDBG)

Project Sanctuary Building Improvements (CDBG) Senior Center Kitchen Rehabilitation (CDBG) Hospitality House Solar/Floor (CDBG)

Home Energy Link Program Plus (CDBG)

HELP H2O (CDBG)

Giving Garden Program (CDBG)

#### **Parks Grants**

Fort Bragg Coastal Restoration and Trail Project (Prop 84, Coastal Conservancy, and Bicycle Transportation Account Grants)

#### **Public Safety Grants**

Community Service Officer (COPS Grant)
Bullet-Proof Vests (OJP Partnership Grant)

#### **Streets & Storm Drainage Improvement grants**

Green (LID) Alley Project (Prop 84 Grant) Chestnut Street Multi-Use Trail (Caltrans; federal appropriation; ATP)

#### **Water Grants**

Summers Lane Reservoir (North Coast Regional Partnership/Prop 84 Grant)

Capital Projects. The following capital projects were completed or nearly completed by the end of FY 2014/15:

North Coastal Restoration & Trail Project

- Town Hall Remodel Project
- Green Alleys Project
- On-Site Sodium Hypochlorite Generation System at Water Treatment Plant


#### **Local Economy**

During FY 2014/15, the City saw the positive employment trends of the last four years continue. As reported by the State Employment Development Department, the local unemployment rate decreased by 2.5% to 4.2% at June 30, 2015, compared to 6.7% at June 30, 2014. This represents the lowest unemployment rate in the past ten years.


City tax revenues that are dependent on the state of the economy continue to show slow but steady growth. Local general fund sales taxes were essentially flat with an increase of 0.42% in FY 2014/15. Transient Occupancy Tax however increased 7.75%, totaling \$1.81 million in FY 2014/15 as compared to \$1.7 million in FY 2013/14. The City's largest source of discretionary revenue is comprised of these two taxes.

Median home prices (according to Trulia.com) increased 7.1% to \$325,000 over December 2014. Property taxes decreased 3.6% for the year, partially due to receipt of one-time revenues in the two prior years. Assessed valuations are up 1% for FY 2014/15 over FY 2013/14. The City's property tax revenue is down 36% since 2012, when the State mandated redistribution of tax increment from the dissolution of Redevelopment Agencies.

Overall revenue results for FY 2014/15 improved modestly over the prior year. Several factors, including energy market volatility and actions by the federal and state governments, continue to cause concern about the sustainability of a healthy local economy. The City, along with governments at all levels, remains under pressure to contain operating expenditures to ensure that such costs do not grow at a rate that exceeds revenue growth. A balanced budget in the short- and long-term can quickly become unbalanced if costs are not managed closely. Many of the current economic uncertainties however are offset by positive economic developments, leading to an overall outlook of cautious optimism.




Source: California Employment Development Department



Source: City of Fort Bragg, Department of Finance



Source: city-data.com; trulia.com



Source: U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis

#### **Long-term Financial Planning**

An analysis and update of the City's Fiscal Policies, including Fund Balance & Reserve Policies was conducted as part of the FY 2015/16 budget process. The results of the analysis prompted the City Council to commit to new reserve levels. The following General Fund reserves include both committed fund balance and unrestricted fund balance and in FY 2015/16 total \$2,940,200:

- Emergency Contingency Reserve: The General Fund balance committed for emergency contingencies is established at an amount equivalent to 10% to 15% of the City's annual operating budget for the General Fund. In FY 2015/16, 10% or \$880,000 is committed to the Emergency Contingency Reserve. Depending on the type and severity of the emergency, this range is intended to provide for an initial municipal response to events such as natural disasters, catastrophic accidents, or other declared emergency incidents sufficient to provide a bridge until access to county, state or federal support can be achieved. The Emergency Contingency Reserve is committed fund balance and may only be used when there is a declaration of a state or federal state of emergency or a local emergency as defined in Fort Bragg Municipal Code Section 2.24.020.
- Economic Stabilization Reserve: The General Fund balance committed for the purpose of stabilizing the delivery of City services during periods of severe operational budget deficits and to mitigate the effects of major unforeseen changes in revenues and/or expenditures is established at an amount equivalent to 5% to 10% of the City's annual operating budget for the General Fund. In FY 2015/16, 5% or \$440,000 is committed to the Economic Stabilization Reserve. This range serves as a cushion to safeguard the City's fiscal health against fluctuations in revenues and costs due to economic volatility. City Council approval shall be required before expending any portion of this committed fund balance. Access to these funds will be reserved for economic emergency situations such as unanticipated major declines in revenue, budgeted revenue taken over by another entity, or a catastrophe exceeding funds in Emergency Contingency Reserve.
- General Fund Operating Reserve: The City will maintain an unrestricted fund balance of at least 15% to 20% of the annual operating expenditures in the General Fund, as an "Operating Reserve" to ensure liquidity of the General Fund and to ensure adequate cash flow throughout the year. This reserve is necessary to accommodate fluctuations in the timing of expenditures and the receipt of revenues. The reserve is not committed fund balance and may be tapped into, with Council authorization, for unforeseen operating or capital needs. In FY 2015/16, 15% is \$1,320,200.
- ➤ <u>Litigation Reserve</u>: The City will maintain a \$300,000 Litigation Reserve to cover unforeseen legal expenses, including unbudgeted settlement costs that are not covered by the City's insurance pool.

For the City, as with governmental jurisdictions throughout the nation, continuing fiscal challenges have required a redoubling of efforts to address current and future budget shortfalls while working to prioritize and maintain the level of services that the residents of Fort Bragg have come to expect. Traditional funding sources for parks, public safety, and community development are shrinking or have disappeared. The immediate challenges continue to be the dissolution of the Redevelopment Agency by the State and major cuts in revenues distributed through Federal and State grant programs.

The City has worked hard to address its fiscal challenges and its efforts have been successful. In 2010, the City significantly reduced its workforce and implemented across-the-board salary concessions. All City employees

agreed to multi-year labor agreements with escalating employee contributions to offset pension costs. In FY 2015/16 the City was in position to offer modest salary increases to all of its employee groups for the first time since the financial downturn. Through the continuing efforts and partnership of City Council, management, and employees, Fort Bragg was successful in presenting a structurally balanced budget for FY 2015/16 with fully funded reserves in accordance with established City Council policies for cash flow, operating reserves, litigation reserves, and capital reserves.

#### **Certificate of Achievement**


The Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada (GFOA) awarded a Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting to the City for its comprehensive annual financial report for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014. This was the fourth year in a row that the government has achieved this prestigious award. In order to be awarded a Certificate of Achievement, a government must publish an easily readable and efficiently organized comprehensive annual financial report. This report must satisfy both generally accepted accounting principles and applicable legal requirements.

A Certificate of Achievement is valid for a period of one year only. We believe that our current comprehensive annual financial report continues to meet the Certificate of Achievement Program's requirements and we are submitting it to the GFOA to determine its eligibility for another certificate.

#### **Acknowledgements**

Preparation of this comprehensive annual financial report could not have been accomplished on a timely basis without the support and cooperation of many members of the City of Fort Bragg staff. Special recognition is given to the Finance Department staff and especially to Finance Director Victor Damiani. Their efforts made it possible to improve the quality of the information being reported to the citizens, the City Council, and other users on a timely basis. Appreciation is also expressed to the Mayor, the City Council, and the City Manager for their interest and support in planning and conducting the financial activities of the City in a responsible and responsive manner.

# **Organization Chart**





Government Finance Officers Association

Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting

Presented to

# City of Fort Bragg California

For its Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the Fiscal Year Ended

June 30, 2014

Executive Director/CEO

This page intentionally left blank.





# **FINANCIAL SECTION**

- ➤ Independent Auditor's Report
- ➤ Management's Discussion and Analysis
- ➤ Basic Financial Statements
- ➤ Notes to the Basic Financial Statements
- ➤ Required Supplementary Information
- ➤ Combining and Individual Fund Statements and Schedules

FORT BRANCE OF THE PROPERTY OF

This page intentionally left blank.

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Honorable Mayor and City Council City of Fort Bragg Fort Bragg, California

#### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, the aggregate remaining fund information, and the budgetary comparison information of the City of Fort Bragg, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

#### **Opinions**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, the aggregate remaining fund information, and the budgetary comparison information of the City, as of June 30, 2015, and the respective changes in financial position and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

To the Honorable Mayor and City Council The City of Fort Bragg Fort Bragg, California Page 2

#### Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis on pages 3–17, the Other Post Employment Benefits Schedule of Funding Progress on page 102, the City's Schedules of Contributions on pages 103-107, and the Schedules of the City's proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability on pages 102-112, be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

#### Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. The combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements and the introductory section and statistical sections are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

The introductory section and statistical sections have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it

Implementation of New Accounting Standards

December 31, 2015

As disclosed in the Note 1(J) to the financial statements, the City implemented GASB Statement No. 68, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions – an amendment of GASB Statement No. 27, and GASB Statement No. 71, Pension Transition for Contributions Made Subsequent to the Measurement Date – an amendment of GASB Statement No. 68, during the fiscal year 2015.

#### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated December 31, 2015 on our consideration of the City's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

JJACPA, Inc.

Dublin, CA

JJACPH, Inc.

#### Management's Discussion and Analysis

This section provides a narrative overview and analysis of the financial activities of the City of Fort Bragg (City) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015. It should be read in conjunction with the accompanying transmittal letter and basic financial statements.

#### FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

- The City's Net Position increased \$31k to \$72.4 million. Although Net Position in total changed only slightly year over year, several factors are notable and are discussed in more detail subsequently in the analysis.
- At the close of the most recent fiscal year the City's governmental funds reported combined fund balances of \$7.8 million, an increase of \$60k in comparison with the prior fiscal year. Approximately 43% of combined fund balance at June 30, 2015, (\$3.4 million) is available for spending at the government's discretion (*unassigned fund balance*). The balance of the General Fund increased \$138k to \$4.8 million.
- The Budget vs. Actual variance for the General Fund was \$1 million.
- The Water Enterprise Net Position increased \$400k and the Sewer Enterprise Net Position increased \$450k while the C.V. Starr Center Net Position decreased \$588k. The most significant draw on C.V. Starr Net Position is the non-cash item depreciation (\$729k). The Center increased cash by \$40k for the year.

#### OVERVIEW OF THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT

This Comprehensive Annual Financial Report is in three major parts:

- 1) **Introductory section**, which includes the Transmittal Letter and general information; and,
- 2) **Financial section**, which includes the Management's Discussion and Analysis (this part), the Basic Financial Statements, which include the Government-wide and the Fund Financial Statements along with the notes to these financial statements, and Combining and Individual Fund Financial Statements and Schedules.
- 3) **Statistical section**, which includes detailed information as a context for understanding what the information in the financial statements, and footnotes says about the City's overall financial health.

Management's Discussion and Analysis

#### OVERVIEW OF THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT, Continued

#### **The Basic Financial Statements**

The Basic Financial Statements are comprised of the Government-wide Financial Statements and the Fund Financial Statements; these two sets of financial statements provide two different views of the City's financial activities and financial position.

#### **Government-wide Financial Statements**

The Government-wide Financial Statements provide a broad overview of the City's activities as a whole and comprise the Statement of Net position and the Statement of Activities. The Statement of Net position provides information about the financial position of the City as a whole, including all its capital assets and long-term liabilities on the full accrual basis, similar to that used by corporations. The Statement of Activities provides information about all the City's revenues and all its expenses, also on the full accrual basis, with the emphasis on measuring net revenues or expenses of each the City's programs. The Statement of Activities explains in detail the change in Net position for the year.

All of the City's activities are grouped into Governmental Activities and Business-type activities, as explained below. All the amounts in the Statement of Net position and the Statement of Activities are separated into Governmental Activities and Business-type Activities in order to provide a summary of these two activities of the City as a whole.

- ♦ Governmental activities All of the City's basic services are considered to be governmental activities, including general government, community development, public safety, public works and administration. These services are supported by general City revenues such as taxes and by specific program revenues such as developer fees.
- ♦ Business-type activities All the City's enterprise activities are reported here, including Water, Sewer, and the C.V. Starr Center. Unlike governmental services, these services are supported by charges paid by users based on the amount of the service they use.

#### **Fund Financial Statements**

A fund is a grouping of related accounts that is used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives. The City, like other state and local governments, uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. All of the funds of the City can be divided into two categories: governmental funds and proprietary funds.

Management's Discussion and Analysis

#### **OVERVIEW OF THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT, Continued**

#### **Fund Financial Statements, Continued**

Governmental funds. Governmental funds are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as governmental activities in the Government-wide Financial Statements. However, unlike the Government-wide Financial Statements, Governmental Fund Financial Statements focus on near-term inflows and outflows of spendable resources, as well as on balances of spendable resources available at the end of the fiscal year. Such information may be useful in evaluating a government's near-term financing requirements.

Because the focus of the Governmental Fund Financial Statements is narrower than that of the Government-wide Financial Statements, it is useful to compare the information presented for governmental funds with similar information presented for governmental activities in the Government-wide Financial Statement. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the government's near-term financial decisions. Both the governmental fund balance sheet and the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances provide a reconciliation to facilitate this comparison between governmental funds and governmental activities. The Governmental Fund Financial Statements provide detailed information about each of the City's most significant funds, called major funds. The concept of major funds, and the determination of which are major funds, was established by GASB Statement No. 34 and replaces the concept of combining like funds and presenting them as one total. Instead, each major fund is presented individually, with all nonmajor funds summarized and presented only in a single column. Subordinate schedules present the detail of these nonmajor funds. Major funds present the major activities of the City for the year, and may change from year to year as a result of changes in the pattern of the City's activities.

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, the City's major funds are as follows:

#### GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS:

- ♦ General Fund
- ♦ CDBG Program Income Special Revenue
- ♦ Housing Successor Agency Special Revenue
- ♦ Special Tax Special Revenue
- ♦ Street Structural Repairs Capital Projects
- ♦ Street Resurfacing Capital Projects

Management's Discussion and Analysis

#### **OVERVIEW OF THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT, Continued**

#### **Fund Financial Statements, Continued**

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, the City adopted annual appropriated budgets for all funds. Budgetary comparison schedules have been provided for the general fund and major governmental funds to demonstrate compliance with this budget and may be found on pages 36-38.

**Proprietary funds**. The City maintains Enterprise-type and Internal Service proprietary funds. Enterprise funds are used to report the same functions presented as business-type activities in the Government-wide Financial Statements. The City uses enterprise funds to account for Water, Sewer, and C.V. Starr Center activities. Internal service funds are an accounting methodology used to accumulate and allocate costs internally among the City's various functions. The City uses internal service funds to account for its building maintenance, information technology assets, and its fleet of vehicles. Proprietary funds provide the same type of information as the Government-wide Financial Statements, only in more detail. The proprietary fund financial statements provide separate information for all of these operations.

#### PROPRIETARY FUNDS:

- ♦ Water Enterprise Fund
- ♦ Sewer Enterprise Fund
- ◆ CV Starr Center Enterprise Fund

#### **Notes to the Basic Financial Statements**

The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements. The notes to the basic financial statements can be found on pages 54–100 of this report. Required Supplementary Information follows the notes on page 102.

#### **Combining and Individual Fund Financial Statements and Schedules**

The combining statements referred to earlier in connection with nonmajor governmental funds are presented on pages 116-143 of this report. Combining statements for internal services funds are presented on pages 141-147.

#### **Management's Discussion and Analysis**

#### GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

As noted earlier, net position may serve over time as a useful indicator of a government's financial position. In the case of the City, assets exceeded liabilities by \$72,428,853 as of June 30, 2015. The following table represents a comparative analysis of the net assets of governmental and business-type activities as of June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014.

S	ummar	y of	N	et	ľ	ositic	n
---	-------	------	---	----	---	--------	---

	2015					2014					
		Govern- mental Activities		Business- type Activities	Total		Govern- mental Activities		Business- type Activities		Total
Current and other assets	\$	13,367,483	\$	5,130,339	\$ 18,497,822	\$	11,159,955	\$	4,516,462	\$	15,676,417
Noncurrent assets		38,284,427		33,982,412	72,266,839		32,931,212		35,044,039		67,975,251
Deferred outflows of resources		568,069		383,194	951,263		39,303		368,206		407,509
Total assets and deferred outflows of resources		52,219,979		39,495,945	90,764,661		44,130,470		39,928,707		83,651,668
Current and other liabilities		2,127,800		1,012,678	3,140,478		1,043,402		720,592		1,763,994
Long-term liabilities		10,064,265		4,525,525	14,589,790		4,924,143		4,973,573		9,897,716
Deferred inflows of resources		1,403,223		153,580	1,556,803		-		-		-
Total liabilities and deferred inflows of resources		13,595,288		5,691,783	17,730,268		5,967,545		5,694,165		11,661,710
Net position:											
Net investment in											
Capital Assets		35,914,130		30,047,385	65,961,515		31,943,628		30,531,093		62,474,721
Restricted		2,913,717		108,064	3,021,781		5,987,097		100,762		6,087,859
Unrestricted		(203,156)		3,648,713	3,445,557	_	232,200		3,602,687		3,834,887
Total net position	\$	38,624,691	\$	33,804,162	\$ 72,428,853	\$	38,162,925	\$	34,234,542	\$	72,397,467

Overall, total Net Position increased by approximately \$31,000. Although Net Position in total changed little year over year several factors are notable; Capital Assets increased \$4.3 million primarily due to construction of the Fort Bragg Coastal Restoration and Trail project; Accounts Receivable increased \$2 million due to outstanding invoices issued in connection with the Fort Bragg Coastal Restoration and Trail project and CDBG Super NOFA grants; Loans Receivable increased \$1.6 million in part due to grant funded loans to Sport Chrysler, Jeep, Dodge and Mendocino Coast Hospitality Center, Inc. . Implementation of GASB 68 required the City to present long-term pension plan assets and liabilities. The net effect of GASB 68 implementation is a decrease in Net Position of \$7 million. Accounts Payable increased \$400,000 due primarily to construction payments due at year-end.

Management's Discussion and Analysis

#### **GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS, Continued**

A large portion (91%) of the City of Fort Bragg's net assets reflect its investment in capital assets (e.g., land, easements, buildings, machinery, and infrastructure); less any related outstanding debt that was used to acquire those assets. The City uses these capital assets to provide a variety of services to its citizens; consequently, these assets are not available for future spending. Although the City of Fort Bragg's investment in its capital assets is reported net of related debt, it should be noted that the resources used to repay this debt must be provided from other sources, since the capital assets themselves cannot be used to liquidate these liabilities.

Unrestricted Net Position decreased \$435k over the previous year and is now a deficit. The decrease in unrestricted Net Position is primarily the residual effects of GASB 68 implementation. GASB 68 required the City to account for and present net pension liability on the City Wide Statement of Net Position. As noted above the net amount of deferred outflows, net pension liability and deferred inflows related to GASB 68 is roughly \$7 million. An otherwise strong year has reduced much of the negative effect on Net Position caused by GASB 68 implementation.

# **Management's Discussion and Analysis**

# **GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS, Continued**

The change in net position for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015, and 2014, follows: Changes in Net Position


		2015	Net Position	2014					
-	Govern- mental	Business- type		Govern- mental	Business- type	_			
_	Activities	Activities	Total	Activities	Activities	Total			
Revenues:	•								
Program revenues:									
Charges for services	\$ 2,961,325	\$ 6,395,308	\$ 9,356,633	\$ 3,015,790	\$ 5,854,822	\$ 8,870,612			
Grants and contributions:									
Operating	4,702,008	-	4,702,008	1,566,825	-	1,566,825			
Capital	2,382,825	-	2,382,825	1,818,174	-	1,818,174			
General revenues:									
Property taxes									
and assessments	909,374	233,861	1,143,235	943,018	215,472	1,158,490			
Sales and use tax	2,523,203	806,967	3,330,170	2,460,523	810,878	3,271,401			
Transient occupancy taxes	1,857,831	-	1,857,831	1,667,580	-	1,667,580			
Franchise taxes	493,064	-	493,064	496,423	-	496,423			
Other taxes	435,266	-	435,266	440,042	-	440,042			
Use of money and property	86,501	42,043	128,544	45,071	33,116	78,187			
Other general	67,153	160,975	228,128	206,914	95,247	302,161			
Total revenues	16,418,550	7,639,154	24,057,704	12,660,360	7,009,535	19,669,895			
Expenses:									
Governmental activities:									
General government	2,593,268	-	2,593,268	2,734,638	-	2,734,638			
Public safety	3,663,063	-	3,663,063	3,867,556	-	3,867,556			
Public works	2,434,526	-	2,434,526	2,964,715	-	2,964,715			
Community development	840,275	-	840,275	1,458,642	_	1,458,642			
Interest and fiscal charges	30,478	-	30,478	28,692	_	28,692			
Business-type activities:									
Water	-	2,286,150	2,286,150	-	2,545,686	2,545,686			
Sewer	-	2,800,501	2,800,501	_	2,996,142	2,996,142			
C.V. Starr Center	-	2,382,091	2,382,091	_	2,262,287	2,262,287			
Total expenses	9,561,610	7,468,742	17,030,352	11,054,243	7,804,115	18,858,358			
Excess (Deficiency) of revenues over									
expenditures before transfers	6,856,940	170,412	7,027,352	1,606,117	(794,580)	811,537			
Transfer to Successor Agency	-	ŕ			, , ,	•			
Transfers	(89,366)	89,366	-	(1,342)	1,342	-			
Change in net position	6,767,574	259,778	7,027,352	1,604,775	(793,238)	811,537			
Net position:									
Beginning of year	38,162,925	34,234,542	72,397,467	36,558,150	35,027,780	71,585,930			
Adjustments	(6,305,808)	(690,158)	(6,995,966)	-	-	-			
Beginning, as adjusted	31,857,117	33,544,384	65,401,501						
End of year	\$ 38,624,691	\$ 33,804,162	\$ 79,424,819	\$ 38,162,925	\$ 34,234,542	\$ 72,397,467			

**Management's Discussion and Analysis** 

#### **GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS, Continued**

#### Revenues

The City's total revenues for governmental and business-type activities were \$24,057,704 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, which represents a 26% increase over the previous fiscal year. Significant revenues for the City for fiscal year 2014/2015 were derived from charges for services (39%) grants and contributions (29%), sales and use tax (14%), transient occupancy tax (8%) and property tax (5%).



Following is a discussion of variances in key revenues from the prior fiscal year:

1. Charges for Services. Charges for Services increased \$522,606 or 6%. Charges for Services for governmental activities is made up mostly of salary, benefit and overhead charges to the business-type activities as well as charges to various grants for staff time and administrative costs. Charges for Services for the business-type activities are comprised largely of direct charges to consumers. A drop of \$17,880 is attributable to Governmental Activities and an increase of \$540,486 is attributable to Business-type Activities. The increase in business-type Charges for Services is related to scheduled rate increases.

Management's Discussion and Analysis

#### **GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS, Continued**


- 2. **Grants and Contributions.** Annual receipts increased by \$4.2 million, or 146%. The increase in grants and contributions results primarily from grant revenue received related to the Fort Bragg Coastal Restoration and Trail project.
- 3. Sales and Use Tax. Sales and use taxes increased by \$52,536, or 2%. Due to the nature of the City's tourist industry, one might expect sales and use tax to move in tandem with Transient Occupancy Tax (TOT). Decreases in the price of fuel and increased internet sales however, may explain why this category is increasing at a slower pace than TOT.
- 4. **Transient Occupancy Tax.** Annual receipts increased by \$190,251, or 11%. TOT continues to be a strong revenue source for the City as expected since the City is a popular tourist destination.
- 5. **Property Tax.** Property taxes decreased by \$15,257 or 1% and remained essentially flat


Management's Discussion and Analysis

## **GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS, Continued**

#### **Expenses**

Governmental and business-type activity expenses of the City for the year totaled \$17,030,352 which represents a 10% decrease over the previous fiscal year. Governmental activity expenses totaled \$9,561,610 or 56% of total expenses. Public safety costs represented 38% of total governmental activities expenses, followed by general government (27%) and public works (25%). Business-type activities incurred \$7,468,742 of expenses during the fiscal year or 44%.





## Management's Discussion and Analysis

#### **GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS, Continued**

Following is a discussion of significant variances in key expense categories from the prior fiscal year:

- 1. **General Government**. Year over Year general government expenses decreased \$141,370 or 5%. The decrease is the result of various factors; Allocations to the Internal Service Funds decreased by \$100k. Net Pension Plan obligations are new in FY2015 in the amount of \$41k (see reconciliation page 34)
- 2. **Public Safety**. Expenses decreased \$204,493 or 5%. The decrease is primarily due to the purchase of a fire vehicle in FY13-14 (\$193k). No such vehicle was required or purchased in FY14-15 and therefore vehicle costs went down.
- 3. **Community Development**. Expenses decreased \$622,483 or 43%. The decrease is the result of several factors; the Harrison Street Rehabilitation project from FY13-14 had \$637,084 of costs however the project had no activity in FY14-15.
- 4. **Public Works**. Expenses decreased \$529,925 or 18%. The decrease is the result of several factors. Salaries, Wages & Benefits were down \$114k which was offset by increased professional services of \$131k. The purchase of multiple vehicles caused fleet costs to be up \$54k. Infrastructure costs were up \$27k due to sidewalk and curb replacements. Additionally, Landfill post closure liability increased (\$276k) as better information led to a better estimate of the liability. Lastly, depreciation increased \$138k due to re-classifications of assets.
- 5. **Water Enterprise.** Expenses decreased \$259,536 or 10%. The decrease is the result of various factors. The required participation rate in Internal Service funds decreased approximately \$75k, cost savings related to the 2014 bond refunding were approximately \$99k and cost savings of approximately \$68k were realized in the areas of contractual services and materials and supplies.
- 6. **Sewer Enterprise.** Expenses decreased \$195,461 or 7%. The decrease is the result of various factors. The required participation rate in Internal Service Funds decreased approximately \$107k and \$62k in savings were realized in contractual services due to a lower volume of wastewater sludge requiring transport.
- 7. **C.V. Starr Center.** Expenses increased \$119,804 or 5% resulting from increased personnel costs as vacant positions were filled and required equipment maintenance costs. Increases were offset somewhat with savings in utilities costs.

**Management's Discussion and Analysis** 

#### **GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS, Continued**

#### **Governmental Activities**

The following table shows the cost of each of the City's major programs and the net cost of the programs. Net cost is the total cost less fees and other direct revenue generated by the activities. The net cost reflects the financial burden that was placed on the City's taxpayers by each of the programs. The total cost of services and the net cost of services for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015, and 2014, are as follows:

	20			2014						
	Total Cost of Services		Net Cost of Services	Total Cost of Services			Net Cost of Services			
General government	\$ 2,593,268	\$	(573,377)	\$	1,500,393	\$	(1,446,457)			
Public safety	3,663,063		3,245,094		3,850,907		1,302,883			
Public works	2,434,526		1,747,520		2,175,857		3,580,404			
Community development	840,275		(4,934,263)		3,485,109		1,174,648			
Interest and fiscal charges	30,478		30,478		41,977		41,977			
Total	\$ 9,561,610	\$	(484,548)	\$	11,054,243	\$	4,653,455			


## Management's Discussion and Analysis

#### **GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS, Continued**


#### **Governmental Activities, continued**

Revenues by source for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015, and 2014, are as follows:

#### **Revenues by Source - Governmental Activities 2015**



#### **Revenues by Source - Governmental Activities 2014**



#### Management's Discussion and Analysis

#### **GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS, Continued**

#### **Business-type Activities**

Business-type activities have increased the City's net position by \$259,778. The City has three business-type activities: Water, Sewer and the C.V. Starr Center.

The Water enterprise increased 16% to \$2.8 million; the Sewer enterprise Net Position increased 7% from \$7.2 million to \$7.7 million and the C.V. Starr Center Net Position decreased 2.5% to \$23.9 million.

Both the Water and Sewer enterprises implemented a revised rate structure on July 1, 2013, based on a re-evaluation of the costs associated with each use class. The revised rate structures were also intended to encourage conservation by residential and commercial rate payers through the establishment of consumption tiers. The changes in the rate structures resulted in increased revenues from water and wastewater activity charges for services in fiscal year 2013-2014. However, due to errors in the development of the tiers, revenues did not meet expectations. Revised rates were implemented in August, 2014 and contributed to increased net position in fiscal year 2014-2015.

Water operating revenues exceeded operating expenditures by \$356,533, primarily due to increased rates as mentioned previously. This is a significant improvement over last year's \$47,736 loss. After non-operating revenues, non-operating expenses and transfers are accounted for the change in net position improves further to \$399,388.

Sewer operating revenues exceeded operating expenditures by \$410,104 again due primarily to the previously mentioned rate increases. This is a significant improvement over last year's \$26,678 net income. After non-operating revenues, non-operating expenses and transfers are accounted for the change in net position improves further to \$448,465.


The operation of the C. V. Starr Center is contracted to the Mendocino Coast Recreation and Park District and is supported by property and sales tax and user fees. The C.V. Starr Center operating expenditures exceeded operating revenue by \$1.7 million. This operating loss is offset to some extent by non-operating revenue including the tax revenue mentioned above, with the resulting change in Net Position a decrease of \$588k. The decrease however includes a significant non- cash expense – depreciation. Depreciation expense for the C.V. Starr Center totaled \$729k.


Management's Discussion and Analysis


#### **GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS, Continued**

#### **Business-type Activities, continued**

Operating Revenues and Expenses Business-type Activities 2015









#### Management's Discussion and Analysis

#### **GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS, Continued**

#### **Business-type Activities, continued**

The revenues by source for the business-type activities for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015, and 2014, are as follows:





Management's Discussion and Analysis

#### **GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS, Continued**

#### Financial Analysis of the Government's Funds

The City of Fort Bragg uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. The fund financial statements focus on individual parts of the City government, reporting the City's operations in more detail than the government-wide financial statements.

**Governmental funds**. The City's governmental funds provide information on near-term inflows, outflows, and balances of spending resources. At the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, the City's governmental funds reported combined fund balances of \$7,836,803. This is an increase of \$60k from last year, essentially flat.

The General Fund is the chief operating fund of the City. At the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, General Fund unassigned fund balance totaled \$4,552,764. This is an increase of \$118k from the prior year. The increase results from increased revenues and lower than expected expenditures.

**Proprietary funds**. The City's proprietary funds unrestricted net position increased by \$259,778 in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015. Further detail on the change in net position may be found in the section titled **Business-type Activities** on page 16.

#### **General Fund Budgetary Highlights**

The difference between the final budget and actual revenues differs favorably by \$1,020,770, or 11% of budget. The City generated revenues in excess of budgeted revenue by \$602k due to strong showings in Transient Occupancy Tax and Charges for Services. Expenditures were held below budget by \$759k with savings across all categories.

#### **Capital Assets**

The City's investment in capital assets for its governmental and business-type activities as of June 30, 2015, amount to \$72,266,839. This investment in capital assets includes land, construction in progress costs, buildings, machinery and equipment, infrastructure and land improvements.

#### Management's Discussion and Analysis

#### **GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS, Continued**

#### Capital Assets, Continued

	Government	tal Activities	Business-ty	pe Activities	Totals		
	2015	2014	2015	2015 2014		2014	
Land	\$ 12,952,262	\$ 12,952,262	\$ 785,079	\$ 785,079	\$ 13,737,341	\$ 13,737,341	
Construction in progress	2,838,177	652,709	469,938	329,142	3,308,115	981,851	
Buildings	1,997,364	1,831,738	23,605,816	24,123,575	25,603,180	25,955,313	
Machinery, equipment and vehicles	198,957	174,073	1,984,779	2,116,197	2,183,736	2,290,270	
Infrastructure	20,297,667	17,320,430	6,761,707	7,690,047	27,059,374	25,010,477	
Land improvements			375,093		375,093		
Total	\$ 38,284,427	\$ 32,931,212	\$ 33,982,412	\$ 35,044,040	\$ 72,266,839	\$ 67,975,252	

There was an increase in capital assets of \$4.3 million primarily due to construction of the Fort Bragg Coastal Restoration and Trail project offset somewhat by depreciation expense.

#### **Economic Outlook**

At the time of budget preparation for FY 2015/16, the economic outlook for the City continued to be stable. The General Fund Budget for FY 2015/16 of approximately \$8.9 million was adopted in June of 2015. Particularly positive revenue categories are charges for services and sales and use tax which have shown slow but steady growth over the past three years. Also Transient Occupancy Taxes have been exceptionally strong, with an 11% increase in FY14-15.

The FY 2015/16 budget continues the practice of conservative revenue estimates, especially in the General Fund. Overall, the FY 2015/16 Adopted Budget anticipates increases in most revenues. For the General Fund this translates into a projected 10% increase over FY 2014/15 revenues. The City continues to replenish its reserves at target levels.

#### **Requests for Information**

This financial report is designed to provide our citizens, taxpayers, creditors and government regulators with a general overview of the City's finances and to demonstrate the City's accountability for the money it receives. Additional information may be found on our website at www.fortbragg.com. Below is the contact address for questions about the report or requests for additional financial information.

City of Fort Bragg Finance Department 416 No. Franklin St. Fort Bragg, CA 95437 707-961-2825

# **BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**



This page intentionally left blank.



# City of Fort Bragg, California Statement of Net Position June 30, 2015

		vernmental Activities		usiness-type Activities		Total
ASSETS						
Cash and investments	\$	6,723,639	\$	4,311,419	\$	11,035,058
Restricted cash and investments	*	-	T	108,064	-	108,064
Receivables:						
Accounts		3,784,326		767,744		4,552,070
Loans/Notes receivable		2,616,727		-		2,616,727
Internal balances		123,189		(123,189)		-
Due from fiduciary activities		37,766		-		37,766
Inventory		81,836		66,301		148,137
Total current assets		13,367,483		5,130,339		18,497,822
Capital assets:						
Nondepreciable		15,790,439		1,255,017		17,045,456
Depreciable		22,493,988		32,727,395		55,221,383
Total assets		51,651,910		39,112,751		90,764,661
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES		_		<u> </u>		
Pension plan		535,408		58,599		594,007
Deferred loss on debt refunding		32,661		324,595		357,256
Total deferred outflows of resources	1	568,069		383,194		951,263
Total assets and deferred outflows	\$	52,219,979	\$	39,495,945	\$	91,715,924
LIABILITIES						
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		1,627,649		233,804		1,861,453
Due to fiduciary activities		1,027,049		15,533		15,533
Interest payable		2,486		23,158		25,644
Unearned revenue		2,400		49,576		49,576
Deposits payable		29,547		195,780		225,327
Compensated absences - current portion		43,696		7,268		50,964
Due within one year		424,422		487,559		911,981
Total current liabilities		2,127,800		1,012,678		3,140,478
		2,127,000		1,012,070		3,110,170
Long-term liabilities:		205.090		70 101		475 190
Compensated absences		395,989 538,671		79,191		475,180
Lease payable Landfill post-closure				-		538,671
Due after one year		1,945,875		2 955 729		1,945,875
CalPERS side fund		972 177		3,855,738		3,855,738
Net OPEB obligation		872,177 915,415		-		872,177 915,415
Net pension liability		5,396,138		590,596		5,986,734
Total noncurrent liabilities		10,064,265	-	4,525,525		14,589,790
Total liabilities	-	12,192,065		5,538,203		17,730,268
		12,172,003		3,330,203		17,750,200
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES Pension plan		1 402 222		152 590		1 556 902
Total deferred inflows of resources		1,403,223 1,403,223		153,580 153,580		1,556,803 1,556,803
NET POSITION		1,403,223		133,300		1,550,005
Net investment in capital assets		25 014 120		20.047.295		65,961,515
Restricted for:		35,914,130		30,047,385		03,901,313
Gas Tax		(7 (41				(7 (11
Gas Tax State and Federal Grants		67,641		-		67,641
Special Sales Tax		34,922		-		34,922
Asset Forfeiture		2,189,417		-		2,189,417
Debt Service		621,737		100 064		621,737
Unrestricted		(202 156)		108,064		108,064
Total net position		(203,156)		3,648,713		3,445,557
	<u></u>	38,624,691	Φ.	33,804,162	Φ.	72,428,853
Total liabilities, deferred inflows and net position	\$	52,219,979	\$	39,495,945	\$	91,715,924

# City of Fort Bragg, California Statement of Activities

For the year ended June 30, 2015

					Prog	ram Revenues		
Functions/Programs	Expenses		Charges for Services		Operating Grants and Contributions		Capital Grants and Contributions	
Primary government:								
Governmental activities:								
General government	\$	2,593,268	\$	2,808,727	\$	357,918	\$	-
Public safety		3,663,063		50,157		367,812		-
Public works		2,434,526		102,391		226,343		358,272
Community development		840,275		50		3,749,935		2,024,553
Interest and fiscal charges		30,478						_
Total governmental activities		9,561,610		2,961,325		4,702,008		2,382,825
Business-type activities:								
Water		2,286,150		2,495,613		-		-
Sewer		2,800,501		3,194,149		-		-
CV Starr Center		2,382,091		705,546		-		-
Total business-type activities		7,468,742		6,395,308		-		-
Total primary government	\$	17,030,352	\$	9,356,633	\$	4,702,008	\$	2,382,825

#### General revenues:

Taxes:

Property taxes, levied for general purposes

Sales and use taxes

Transient occupancy tax, levied for general purposes

Franchise taxes

Other taxes

Use of money and property

Other general revenues

Total general revenues

Transfers

Total general revenues and transfers

Change in net position

Net position:

Beginning of year

Adjustments

Beginning, as adjusted

End of year

# Net (Expense) Revenue and Changes in Net Position

Governmental Activities			iness-Type ctivities		Totals
\$	573,377	\$	-	\$	573,377
7	(3,245,094)	*	-	_	(3,245,094)
	(1,747,520)		-		(1,747,520)
	4,934,263		-		4,934,263
	(30,478)		-		(30,478)
	484,548		-		484,548
	_		209,463		209,463
			393,648		393,648
	_		(1,676,545)		(1,676,545)
-	-		(1,073,434)		(1,073,434)
	484,548		(1,073,434)		(588,886)
	909,374		233,861		1,143,235
	2,523,203		806,967		3,330,170
	1,857,831		-		1,857,831
	493,064		_		493,064
	435,266		_		435,266
	86,501		42,043		128,544
	67,153		160,975		228,128
	6,372,392		1,243,846		7,616,238
	(89,366)		89,366		-
	6,283,026		1,333,212		7,616,238
	6,767,574		259,778		7,027,352
	38,162,925		34,234,542		72,397,467
	(6,305,808)		(690,158)		(6,995,966)
	31,857,117		33,544,384		65,401,501
\$	38,624,691	\$	33,804,162	\$	72,428,853

This page intentionally left blank.



# FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS MAJOR FUNDS

Fund	Description
Governmental Funds:	Governmental funds are used for taxes and grants.
General	Primary operating fund of the City; accounts for all activities except those legally or administratively required to be accounted for in other funds.
CDBG Program Income Special Revenue	Accounts for activities related to housing rehabilitation and business assistance loans provided with CDBG funding
Housing Successor Agency Special Revenue	Accounts for activities related to housing activities of the former Fort Bragg Redevelopment Agency
Special Sales Tax - Street Repair Special Revenue	Accounts for the City's Special Sales Tax for Street and Alley Repairs
Street Structural Repairs Capital Projects	Accounts for Street Structural Repairs Capital Projects Performed on a Regular Basis with Special Sales Tax for Street and Alley Repairs
Street Resurfacing Capital Projects	Accounts for Street Resurfacing Capital Projects Performed on a Regular Basis with Special Sales Tax for Street and Alley Repairs

# City of Fort Bragg, California Balance Sheet Governmental Funds June 30, 2015

			Major Funds				
		General Fund		CDBG Program Income Special Revenue		Housing essor Agency ial Revenue	
ASSETS							
Cash and investments	\$	1,441,172	\$	-	\$	365,152	
Receivables:							
Taxes		3,513		-		-	
Intergovernmental		-		-		-	
Loans/Notes receivable		3,648		2,346,704		266,375	
Other receivable		639,274		-		-	
Due from other funds		3,309,912		-		-	
Due from fiduciary activities		-		-		37,766	
Advances to other funds		123,189		-		-	
Inventory		81,836				_	
Total assets	\$	5,602,544	\$	2,346,704	\$	669,293	
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS AND FUND BALANCES							
Liabilities:							
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$	815,208	\$	-	\$	-	
Due to other funds		, -		18,801		-	
Deposits payable		29,547		· -		-	
Total liabilities		844,755		18,801		_	
Deferred inflows of resources:							
Unavailable revenue		-		2,346,704		266,375	
Total deferred inflows of resources:				2,346,704		266,375	
Total Liabilities and deferred inflows		844,755		2,365,505		266,375	
Fund balances:							
Nonspendable							
Prepaids and Inventory		81,836		-		-	
Advances to other funds		123,189		-		-	
Restricted		-		-		-	
Assigned		-		-		402,918	
Unassigned (deficit)		4,552,764		(18,801)		-	
Total fund balances		4,757,789		(18,801)		402,918	
Total liabilities, deferred inflows and fund balances	\$	5,602,544	¢	2,346,704	¢	669,293	
2 0000 manifest well and mile in and in a suite selection	φ	3,002,344	\$	2,340,704	\$	007,473	

Major Funds Street Special Structural Sales Tax Repairs Special Revenue Capital Projects		Street Resurfacing Capital Projects	Nonmajor Governmental Funds	Totals	
\$ 2,051,817	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,647,415	\$ 5,505,556	
137,600	- - - - -	- - - - -	2,964,316 - 39,623	3,513 3,101,916 2,616,727 678,897 3,309,912 37,766	
\$ 	- - \$ -	- - \$ -	\$ 4,651,354	123,189 81,836	
\$ - -	\$ 127,502 2,466	\$ 248 24,983	\$ 609,541 3,263,662	\$ 1,552,499 3,309,912 29,547	
-	129,968	25,231	3,873,203	4,891,958	
 <u>-</u>			117,472 117,472	2,730,551 2,730,551	
	129,968	25,231	3,990,675	7,622,509	
				81,836	
-	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>	-	123,189	
2,189,417	-	-	724,300	2,913,717	
-	-	-	919,147	1,322,065	
 	(129,968)	(25,231)	(982,768)	3,395,996	
2,189,417	(129,968)	(25,231)	660,679	7,836,803	
\$ 2,189,417	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,651,354	\$ 15,459,312	

# City of Fort Bragg, California

# **Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet**

# to the Statement of Net Position

June 30, 2015

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Net Position are different because:  Capital assets used in governmental activities are not current financial resources and, therefore, are not reported in the governmental funds balance sheet.  Deferred outflows of resources represent losses associated with the refunding of long-term debt which are deferred and amortized over the period during which the debt is outstanding. The losses are reported as expenditures of current financial resources in governmental funds.  Loss on refunding of debt Less: accumulated amortization  Compensated absences  Compensated absences  Lease payable Landfill post-closure CalPERS side fund Net OPEB obligation Net pension liability  Governmental funds notes receivable from various loan programs are deferred under the current financial resources measurement focus. The notes receivable are recognized in the government-wide Statement of Net Position under the accrual basis of accounting.  Interest payable on long-term debt does not require the use of current financial resources and, therefore, is not reported in the governmental funds.  Pension obligations result in deferred outflows and inflows of resources associated with the actuarial value of contributions, assets, and liabilities Deferred outflows Deferred outflows Deferred inflows Load of the internal service funds are:  Current assets Noncurrent assets Noncurrent assets Noncurrent liabilities Sada Accounts payable and accrued liabilities Noncurrent liabilities Sada Accounts payable and secrued liabilities Noncurrent liabilities Sada Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	Total fund balances - total governmental funds		\$ 7,836,803
resources and, therefore, are not reported in the governmental funds balance sheet.  Deferred outflows of resources represent losses associated with the refunding of long-term debt which are deferred and amortized over the period during which the debt is outstanding. The losses are reported as expenditures of current financial resources in governmental funds.  Loss on refunding of debt Less: accumulated amortization  Competerm liabilities are not due and payable in the current period and, therefore, are not reported in the governmental funds balance sheet.  Compensated absences  Lease payable Landfill post-closure CalPERS side fund Net OPEB obligation Net pension liability  Governmental funds notes receivable from various loan programs are deferred under the current financial resources measurement focus. The notes receivable are recognized in the government-wide Statement of Net Position under the accrual basis of accounting.  Interest payable on long-term debt does not require the use of current financial resources and, therefore, is not reported in the governmental funds.  Pension obligations result in deferred outflows and inflows of resouces associated with the actuarial value of contributions, assets, and liabilities  Deferred outflows Deferred inflows  Internal services funds are used by management to charge the costs of certain activities, such as fleet services, to individual funds. The assets and liabilities of the internal service funds are:  Current assets  Noncurrent assets  Noncurrent assets  Accounts payable and accrued liabilities  Current assets  Noncurrent liabilities  1,382,796	· · · · · ·		
refunding of long-term debt which are deferred and amortized over the period during which the debt is outstanding. The losses are reported as expenditures of current financial resources in governmental funds.  Loss on refunding of debt Less: accumulated amortization  Cong-term liabilities are not due and payable in the current period and, therefore, are not reported in the governmental funds balance sheet.  Compensated absences Lease payable Landfill post-closure CalPERS side fund Net OPEB obligation Net OPEB obligation Net pension liability  Governmental funds notes receivable from various loan programs are deferred under the current financial resources measurement focus. The notes receivable are recognized in the government-wide Statement of Net Position under the accrual basis of accounting.  Interest payable on long-term debt does not require the use of current financial resources associated with the actuarial value of contributions, assets, and liabilities Deferred outflows Deferred outflows Deferred outflows Deferred inflows  Internal services funds are used by management to charge the costs of certain activities, such as fleet services, to individual funds. The assets and liabilities of the internal service funds are:  Current assets Noncurrent assets Noncurrent assets Noncurrent assets Noncurrent liabilities  Noncurrent liabilities  Deferred outflows Noncurrent liabilities  Noncurrent liabilities  Noncurrent liabilities  Noncurrent liabilities  1,218,083  Accounts payable and accrued liabilities  1,382,796	resources and, therefore, are not reported in the governmental funds balance		38,044,564
Less: accumulated amortization (63,176) 32,661  Long-term liabilities are not due and payable in the current period and, therefore, are not reported in the governmental funds balance sheet.  Compensated absences \$ (439,685)   Lease payable (660,089)   Landfill post-closure (2,124,580)   CalPERS side fund (996,476)   Net OPEB obligation (915,415)   Net pension liability (5,396,138) (10,532,383)  Governmental funds notes receivable from various loan programs are deferred under the current financial resources measurement focus. The notes receivable are recognized in the government-wide Statement of Net Position under the accrual basis of accounting.  Interest payable on long-term debt does not require the use of current financial resources and, therefore, is not reported in the governmental funds.  Pension obligations result in deferred outflows and inflows of resources associated with the actuarial value of contributions, assets, and liabilities  Deferred outflows	refunding of long-term debt which are deferred and amortized over the period during which the debt is outstanding. The losses are reported as		
therefore, are not reported in the governmental funds balance sheet.  Compensated absences  Lease payable  Landfill post-closure  CalPERS side fund  Net OPEB obligation  Net pension liability  Governmental funds notes receivable from various loan programs are deferred under the current financial resources measurement focus. The notes receivable are recognized in the government-wide Statement of Net Position under the accrual basis of accounting.  Interest payable on long-term debt does not require the use of current financial resources and, therefore, is not reported in the governmental funds.  Pension obligations result in deferred outflows and inflows of resouces associated with the actuarial value of contributions, assets, and liabilities  Deferred outflows  Deferred inflows  Internal services funds are used by management to charge the costs of certain activities, such as fleet services, to individual funds. The assets and liabilities of the internal service funds are:  Current assets  Noncurrent assets  Accounts payable and accrued liabilities  Noncurrent liabilities  - (439,685)  (660,089)  (2,124,580)  (915,415)  (10,532,383)  (10,532,383)  (2,730,551)  (2,486)  2,730,551  (2,486)  (2,486)  (2,486)  (3,403,223)  (867,815)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 	32,661
Net pension liability (5,396,138) (10,532,383)  Governmental funds notes receivable from various loan programs are deferred under the current financial resources measurement focus. The notes receivable are recognized in the government-wide Statement of Net Position under the accrual basis of accounting. 2,730,551  Interest payable on long-term debt does not require the use of current financial resources and, therefore, is not reported in the governmental funds.  Pension obligations result in deferred outflows and inflows of resouces associated with the actuarial value of contributions, assets, and liabilities  Deferred outflows  Deferred inflows (1,403,223) (867,815)  Internal services funds are used by management to charge the costs of certain activities, such as fleet services, to individual funds. The assets and liabilities of the internal service funds are:  Current assets 1,218,083  Noncurrent assets 239,863  Accounts payable and accrued liabilities (75,150)  Noncurrent liabilities - 1,382,796	therefore, are not reported in the governmental funds balance sheet.  Compensated absences  Lease payable  Landfill post-closure  CalPERS side fund	\$ (660,089) (2,124,580) (996,476)	
deferred under the current financial resources measurement focus. The notes receivable are recognized in the government-wide Statement of Net Position under the accrual basis of accounting.  2,730,551  Interest payable on long-term debt does not require the use of current financial resources and, therefore, is not reported in the governmental funds.  Pension obligations result in deferred outflows and inflows of resouces associated with the actuarial value of contributions, assets, and liaiblities  Deferred outflows  Deferred inflows  Deferred inflows  Internal services funds are used by management to charge the costs of certain activities, such as fleet services, to individual funds. The assets and liabilities of the internal service funds are:  Current assets  Current assets  1,218,083  Noncurrent assets  239,863  Accounts payable and accrued liabilities  (75,150)  Noncurrent liabilities  - 1,382,796	•		(10,532,383)
financial resources and, therefore, is not reported in the governmental funds.  Pension obligations result in deferred outflows and inflows of resouces associated with the actuarial value of contributions, assets, and liaiblities  Deferred outflows  Deferred inflows  Deferred inflows  Internal services funds are used by management to charge the costs of certain activities, such as fleet services, to individual funds. The assets and liabilities of the internal service funds are:  Current assets  Noncurrent assets  Accounts payable and accrued liabilities  Noncurrent liabilities  Tendro de governmental funds.  (2,486)  (3,486)  (4,403,223)  (867,815)  (867,815)  (867,815)	deferred under the current financial resources measurement focus. The notes receivable are recognized in the government-wide Statement of Net Position		2,730,551
associated with the actuarial value of contributions, assets, and liaiblities  Deferred outflows  Deferred inflows  Deferred inflows  Internal services funds are used by management to charge the costs of certain activities, such as fleet services, to individual funds. The assets and liabilities of the internal service funds are:  Current assets  Current assets  Accounts payable and accrued liabilities  Noncurrent liabilities  Noncurrent liabilities  Total liabilities  1,218,083  239,863  (75,150)  Noncurrent liabilities  - 1,382,796			(2,486)
activities, such as fleet services, to individual funds. The assets and liabilities of the internal service funds are:  Current assets  Noncurrent assets  Accounts payable and accrued liabilities  Noncurrent liabilities  The assets and 1,218,083  239,863  (75,150)  - 1,382,796	associated with the actuarial value of contributions, assets, and liaiblities  Deferred outflows		(867,815)
Noncurrent assets Accounts payable and accrued liabilities Concurrent liabilities Concurren	activities, such as fleet services, to individual funds. The assets and		
	Noncurrent assets Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	239,863	1 382 796
			\$

# City of Fort Bragg, California

# Reconciliation of Fund Basis Balance Sheet to Government-wide Statement of Net Position Governmental Activities

June 30, 2015

	Governmental Funds Balance Sheet	Reclassifications	Changes in GAAP	Internal Services Balances	Statement of Net Position
ASSETS					_
Current assets:					
Cash and investments	\$ 5,505,556	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,218,083	\$ 6,723,639
Restricted cash and investments	-	-	-	-	-
Receivables:					
Taxes	3,513	-	-	-	3,513
Interest Intergovernmental	2 101 016	-	-	-	2 101 016
Loans/Notes receivable	3,101,916	-	-	-	3,101,916
Other receivable	2,616,727	-	-	-	2,616,727
Due from other funds	678,897 3,309,912	(2 200 012)	-	-	678,897
Due from fiduciary activities	, ,	(3,309,912)	-	-	27.766
Advances to other funds	37,766 123,189	(123,189)	-	-	37,766
Internal balances	123,169	123,189	-	-	123,189
Inventory	81,836	123,169	-	-	81,836
Total current assets	15,459,312	(3,309,912)		1,218,083	13,367,483
Noncurrent assets:	13,737,312	(3,307,712)		1,210,003	13,307,403
Capital assets, net	-	_	38,044,564	239,863	38,284,427
Total noncurrent assets			38,044,564	239,863	38,284,427
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES			30,044,304	237,003	30,204,427
Pension plan	_	_	535,408	_	535,408
Deferred loss on refunding	_	_	32,661	_	32,661
Total assets and deferred outflows of resources	\$ 15,459,312	\$ (3,309,912)	\$ 38,612,633	\$ 1,457,946	\$ 52,219,979
A A DAY MOVED	ψ 10,107,012	ψ (5,55),>12)	Ψ 50,012,055	Ψ 1,107,710	4 52,217,777
LIABILITIES					
Current liabilities:					
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 1,552,499	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 75,150	\$ 1,627,649
Interest payable	-	-	2,486	-	2,486
Due to other funds	3,309,912	(3,309,912)	-	-	-
Deposits payable	29,547	-	-	-	29,547
Compensated absences - current portion  Due within one year	-	-	43,696	-	43,696
Total current liabilities	4 001 050	(2.200.012)	424,422	75.150	424,422
Noncurrent liabilities:	4,891,958	(3,309,912)	470,604	75,150	2,127,800
Long-term liabilities:					
Compensated absences			395,989		395,989
Lease payable	-	-	538,671	-	538,671
Landfill post-closure	-	-	1,945,875	-	1,945,875
CalPERS side fund	-	-	872,177	-	872,177
Net OPEB obligation	-	-	915,415	-	915,415
Net pension liability		_	5,396,138		5,396,138
Total noncurrent liabilities			10,064,265		10,064,265
Total liabilities	4,891,958	(3,309,912)	10.534.869	75,150	12,192,065
DEFENDED IN EL OWIG OF DEGOLIDATE	.,071,700	(8,865,512)	10,00 1,000	70,100	12,172,000
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES					
Unavailable revenue	2,730,551	-	(2,730,551)	-	-
Pension Plan			1,403,223		1,403,223
Total liabities and deferred inflows:	7,622,509	(3,309,912)	9,207,541	75,150	13,595,288
FUND BALANCES/NET POSITION					
Fund balances:					
Nonspendable	205,025	(205,025)	-	-	-
Restricted	2,913,717	(2,913,717)	-	-	-
Assigned	1,322,065	(1,322,065)	-	-	-
Unassigned (deficit)	3,395,996	(3,395,996)	-	-	-
		. ,			-
Net position:					-
Net investment in capital assets	-	-	35,674,267	239,863	35,914,130
Restricted	-	-	2,913,717	-	2,913,717
Unrestricted		7,836,803	(9,182,892)	1,142,933	(203,156)
			20 405 002	1 202 50 5	20.624.601
Total fund balances/net position Total liabilities, deferred inflows and net position	7,836,803 \$ 15,459,312	\$ (3,309,912)	\$ 38,612,633	1,382,796 \$ 1,457,946	\$ 52,219,979

City of Fort Bragg, California Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances Governmental Funds

For the year ended June 30, 2015

Major Funds						
	General Fund	CDBG Program Income Special Revenue	Housing Successor Agency Special Revenue			
•	4 000 454	Φ.	•			
\$		\$ -	\$ -			
		=	-			
	17,201	-	-			
	- 22 101	40.715				
	,	49,/13	6,263			
		-	<del>-</del>			
		-	<del>-</del>			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	40.715				
	8,612,863	49,715	6,263			
	2,875,646	-	-			
	3,521,118	-	-			
	1,448,279	-	-			
	369,180	-	-			
	-	-	-			
		-	-			
	31,027	-				
	8,361,792					
	251,071	49,715	6,263			
		(20.244)				
	(112,992)	` ' '	- -			
	138,079	(78,929)	6,263			
	4,619,710	60,128	396,655			
\$	4,757,789	\$ (18,801)	\$ 402,918			
	\$	\$ 4,902,171 253,970 17,201 33,101 417,374 2,929,978 59,068 8,612,863  2,875,646 3,521,118 1,448,279 369,180 116,542 31,027 8,361,792  251,071  (112,992) (112,992) (138,079  4,619,710	General Fund         CDBG Program Income Special Revenue           \$ 4,902,171         \$ -           253,970         -           17,201         -           33,101         49,715           417,374         -           2,929,978         -           59,068         -           8,612,863         49,715           2,875,646         -           3,521,118         -           1,448,279         -           369,180         -           -         -           116,542         -           31,027         -           8,361,792         -           251,071         49,715           -         (20,344)           (112,992)         (108,300)           (112,992)         (128,644)           138,079         (78,929)			

Major Funds Street Special Structural Sales Tax Repairs			Street Resurfacing	Other Governmental	m 4.1
Spec	cial Revenue	Capital Projects	Capital Projects	Funds	Totals
\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 47,226	\$ 4,949,397
	-	· =	· =	-	253,970
	-	-	-	160,758	177,959
	837,087	-	-	6,626,133	7,463,220
	17,938	-	-	13,288	120,305
	-	-	-	39,194	456,568
	=	-	-	-	2,929,978
				9	59,077
	855,025			6,886,608	16,410,474
	-	-	<u>-</u>	71	2,875,717
	8,236	-	-	51,416	3,580,770
	-	-	-	2,021,329	3,469,608
	-	-	-	464,453	833,633
	-	156,611	25,231	4,875,786	5,057,628
	-	_	-	_	116,542
	-	-	-		31,027
	8,236	156,611	25,231	7,413,055	15,964,925
	946 790	(156 (11)	(25, 221)	(526 447)	445.540
	846,789	(156,611)	(25,231)	(526,447)	445,549
	163,000	75,761	<u>-</u>	5,464,831	5,683,248
	(55,541)	(49,118)	(163,000)	(5,579,747)	(6,068,698)
	107,459	26,643	(163,000)	(114,916)	(385,450)
	954,248	(129,968)	(188,231)	(641,363)	60,099
	1 225 1 50		122.000	1 202 042	7 77 70 4
	1,235,169		163,000	1,302,042	7,776,704
\$	2,189,417	\$ (129,968)	\$ (25,231)	\$ 660,679	\$ 7,836,803

# City of Fort Bragg, California Reconciliation of Fund Basis Statements to Government-wide Statement of Activities For the year ended June 30, 2015

		Compensated			Capital				
		Absences/			Asset				Government-
	Fund Based	Debt	Internal		(Additions)/	OPEB			wide
Functions/Programs	Totals	Service	Service	Depreciation	Retirements	Obligation	Amortization	Pension plan	Totals
Governmental activities:									
General government	\$ 2,875,717	\$ 20,006	\$ (242,833)	\$ 82,243	\$ -	\$(100,010)	\$ -	\$ (41,855)	\$ 2,593,268
Public safety	3,580,770	-	-	82,293	-	-	-	-	3,663,063
Public works	3,469,608	(542,405)	-	724,384	(1,217,061)	-	-	-	2,434,526
Community development	833,633	-	-	-	-	-	6,642	-	840,275
Capital outlay	5,057,628	-	-	-	(5,057,628)	-	-		-
Debt service/Interest	147,569	(117,091)	-		-				30,478
Total governmental activities	\$ 15,964,925	\$ (639,490)	\$ (242,833)	\$ 888,920	\$ (6,274,689)	\$(100,010)	\$ 6,642	\$ (41,855)	\$ 9,561,610

# City of Fort Bragg, California

# Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities For the year ended June 30, 2015

Net change in fund balances - total governmental funds			\$ 60,099
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Activities are different because:	t		
Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures while governmental activities report depreciation expense to allocate those expenditures over the life of the assets:			
Capital asset purchases capitalized Depreciation expense	\$	6,274,689 (888,920)	5,385,769
Certain notes receivable are reported in the governmental funds as expenditures and then offset by a deferred revenue as they are not available to pay current expenditures. Likewise, when the note is collected it is reflected in revenue. This is the net change between notes receivable collected and issued.			8,076
Repayment of debt principal is an expenditure in the governmental funds, but the repayment reduces long-term liabilities in the Statement of Net Position:			
Capital lease obligations CalPERS side fund		116,542 46,872	163,414
Debt issuance costs are expenditures in the governmental funds, but these costs are capitalized and amortized on the Statement of Net Position. This is the amount of amortization in the current period.			
Amortization of deferred loss on refunding		(6,642)	(6,642)
Some expenses reported in the Statement of Activities do not require the use of current financial resources and, therefore, are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds:			
Change in interest payable		549	
Landfill closure liability Change in compensated absences		542,405 (66,878)	476,076
Certain employee benefit obligations are recorded on a pay-as-you-go basis in the governmental funds, but are accrued as liabilities in the Statement of Net Position:		(00,070)	470,070
Net OPEB obligation Net Pension obligation		100,010 41,855	141,865
Internal services funds are used by management to charge the costs of certain activities, such as fleet services, to individual funds. The change in Net Position of the Internal Service Funds net of transfers out of \$514,020 are included in the governmental activities in the government-wide Statement of Net Position less amounts due to the change in net investment in capital assets of \$(130,926) reported above for capital		538,917	
assets.			538,917
Change in Net Position of governmental activities			\$ 6,767,574

City of Fort Bragg, California
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances Budget to Actual - General and Major Special Revenue Funds
For the year ended June 30, 2015

	General Fund						
	Budgeted	Amounts		Variance w/Final Positive			
	Original	Final	Actual	(Negative)			
REVENUES:							
Taxes and assessments	\$ 4,651,129	\$ 4,651,129	\$ 4,902,171	\$ 251,042			
Licenses and permits	251,845	251,845	253,970	2,125			
Fines and forfeitures	15,100	15,100	17,201	2,101			
Intergovernmental	-	-	-	-			
Use of money and property	37,000	37,000	33,101	(3,899)			
Charges for services	132,875	132,875	417,374	284,499			
Reimbursements	2,848,303	2,848,303	2,929,978	81,675			
Other revenues	75,000	75,000	59,068	(15,932)			
Total revenues	8,011,252	8,011,252	8,612,863	601,611			
EXPENDITURES:							
Current:							
General government	2,914,290	3,094,722	2,875,646	219,076			
Public safety	3,621,908	3,693,185	3,521,118	172,067			
Public works	1,555,643	1,768,057	1,448,279	319,778			
Community development	414,757	416,910	369,180	47,730			
Capital outlay	-	-	-	-			
Debt service:							
Principal	116,532	116,532	116,542	(10)			
Interest and fiscal charges	31,037	31,037	31,027	10			
Total expenditures	8,654,167	9,120,443	8,361,792	758,651			
REVENUES OVER (UNDER)							
EXPENDITURES	(642,915)	(1,109,191)	251,071	1,360,262			
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):							
Transfers in	226,500	226,500	-	(226,500)			
Transfers out			(112,992)	(112,992)			
<b>Total other financing sources (uses)</b>	226,500	226,500	(112,992)	(339,492)			
Net change in fund balances	(416,415)	(882,691)	138,079	1,020,770			
FUND BALANCES (DEFICITS):							
Beginning of year	4,619,710	4,619,710	4,619,710				
End of year	\$ 4,203,295	\$ 3,737,019	\$ 4,757,789	\$ 1,020,770			

	CDBG I	Program	Incom	e Specia	al Rever	nue F	und	Housing Successor Agency Special Revenue Fu					und		
	Budgeted	l Amount	.s				nce w/Fina Positive	1	Budgeted	l Am	ounts				nce w/Final ositive
(	Original	Fir	nal	Ac	tual	(1)	Negative)	(	Original		Final		Actual	(Negative)	
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
	4,750		4,750	2	49,715		44,965		1,160		1,160		6,263		5,103
	-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
	4,750		4,750		49,715	_	44,965		1,160		1,160		6,263		5,103
	-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
	- -		- - <u>-</u>		- -		-		-		<u>-</u>		-		- -
									-		_		-		_
	4,750		4,750		19,715		44,965		1,160		1,160		6,263		5,103
	- -		- -		20,344)		(20,344) (108,300)		- -		<u>-</u>		-		- -
				(12	28,644)		(128,644)		-				-		
	4,750		4,750	(*	78,929)		(83,679)		1,160		1,160		6,263		5,103
	60,128	6	0,128		50,128				396,655		396,655		396,655		
\$	64,878	\$ 6	4,878	\$ (	18,801)	\$	(83,679)	\$	397,815	\$	397,815	\$	402,918	\$	5,103

(continued)

# City of Fort Bragg, California

Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances -

**Budget to Actual - General and Major Special Revenue Funds** 

For the year ended June 30, 2015

Special Sales Tax Special Revenue							
		Actual	Variance w/Final Positive (Negative)				
Original	Filiai	Actual	(Negative)				
\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -				
-	-	-	-				
-	-	-	-				
820,000	820,000	837,087	17,087				
7,500	7,500	17,938	10,438				
-	-	-	-				
-	-	-	-				
		-					
827,500	827,500	855,025	27,525				
-	-	-	-				
-	8,171	8,236	(65)				
-	-	-	-				
-	-	-	-				
-	-	-	-				
-	-	-	-				
- -	- -	- -	- -				
	8 171	8 236	(65)				
		0,230	(65)				
827,500	819,329	846,789	27,460				
-	-	163,000	163,000				
(110,000)	(135,500)	(55,541)	79,959				
(110,000)	(135,500)	107,459	242,959				
717,500	683,829	954,248	270,419				
1,235,169	1,235,169	1,235,169					
\$ 1,952,669	\$ 1,918,998	\$ 2,189,417	\$ 270,419				
	Original \$ - 820,000 7,500 - 827,500 - 827,500 - (110,000) (110,000) (110,000) 1,235,169	Budgeted Amounts   Final     \$	Budgeted Amounts           Original         Final         Actual           \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -				

(concluded)

# **ENTERPRISE FUNDS**

Fund	Description
Major Funds:	
Water Fund	Accounts for the operation and maintenance of the City's water treatment and distribution system.
Sewer Fund	Accounts for the operation and maintenance of the City's wastewater treatment plant and collection facilities.
CV Starr Center	Accounts for activities related to the CV Starr Community Center.

# City of Fort Bragg, California Statement of Net Position Proprietary Funds June 30, 2015

		Water Fund		Sewer Fund	CV Starr Center Fund		
ASSETS							
Current assets:							
Cash and investments	\$	2,363,921	\$	1,429,065	\$	518,433	
Restricted cash and investments		108,064		-		-	
Receivables:							
Accounts		261,487		339,436		166,266	
Intergovernmental		-		-		555	
Inventory and prepaids		58,035		7,820		446	
Total current assets		2,791,507		1,776,321		685,700	
Noncurrent assets:							
Capital assets, net		4,036,171		7,000,089		22,946,152	
Total Assets		6,827,678		8,776,410		23,631,852	
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES							
Pension plan		_		58,599		_	
Deferred loss on debt refunding		324,595		-		_	
Total assets and defered outflows of resources	\$	7,152,273	\$	8,835,009	\$	23,631,852	
		.,,		2,000,000			
LIABILITIES Current liabilities:							
Accounts payable	\$	42,920	\$	51,380	\$	91,863	
Accrued payroll	Ψ	72,720	Ψ	30,643	Ψ	16,998	
Due to fiduciary activities		_		15,533		10,998	
Interest payable		20,376		2,782		-	
Unearned revenue		20,370		36,249		13,327	
		195,780		30,249		13,327	
Deposits payable		1,583		5,685		-	
Compensated absences - current portion  Due within one year		437,559		50,000		-	
Total current liabilities		698,218		192,272		122,188	
Noncurrent liabilities:		098,218		192,272		122,100	
		14 251		51 160		13,780	
Compensated absences Advance from other funds		14,251		51,160			
		2 (51 929		160,000		123,189	
Due after one year		3,651,838		160,000		43,900	
Net pension liability	-	2 ((( 000		590,596		100.000	
Total noncurrent liabilities		3,666,089		801,756		180,869	
Total liabilities		4,364,307		994,028		303,057	
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES							
Pension Plan				153,580			
Total deferred inflows of resources				153,580			
NET POSITION							
Net Position:							
Net investment in capital assets		384,333		6,840,089		22,822,963	
Restricted for debt service		108,064		-		_	
Unrestricted		2,295,569		847,312		505,832	
Total net position		2,787,966		7,687,401		23,328,795	
Total liabilities, deferred inflows and net position	•	7,152,273	\$	8,835,009	\$	23,631,852	
2 3 ma manufaction mile in the position	Ψ	1,134,413	ψ	0,033,007	ψ	23,031,032	

	Governmental Activities				
		Internal			
 Totals	Se	rvice Funds			
\$ 4,311,419 108,064	\$	1,218,083			
767,189 555 66,301		-			
 5,253,528		1,218,083			
 3,233,326		1,210,003			
 33,982,412 39,235,940		239,863 1,457,946			
58,599 324,595		- -			
\$ 39,619,134	\$	1,457,946			
\$ 186,163	\$	67,930			
47,641		7,220			
15,533		-			
23,158		-			
49,576		-			
195,780		-			
7,268		_			
 487,559		75,150			
 1,012,678		75,130			
79,191 123,189		-			
3,855,738		-			
 590,596					
 4,648,714					
 5,661,392		75,150			
153,580		<u>-</u>			
153,580		-			
30,047,385 108,064		239,863			
 3,648,713		1,142,933			
 33,804,162		1,382,796			
\$ 39,619,134	\$	1,457,946			

# City of Fort Bragg, California Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Fund Net Position Proprietary Funds

For the year ended June 30, 2015

		Water Fund	Sewer Fund	CV	Starr Center Fund
OPERATING REVENUES:	·				_
Charges for service	\$	2,460,658	\$ 3,194,149	\$	705,546
Interdepartmental charges		-	-		-
Other operating revenue		34,955	_		
Total operating revenues		2,495,613	 3,194,149		705,546
OPERATING EXPENSES:					
Personnel services		669,320	1,127,997		956,969
Administration		636,837	785,794		21,820
Repairs and maintenance		53,894	133,670		83,269
Materials and supplies		274,495	189,140		-
Utilities		106,887	119,030		271,059
Contractual services		64,918	88,856		47,882
Insurance		-	23,821		43,042
Other operating		-	1,721		227,308
Depreciation		332,729	314,016		729,481
Total operating expenses		2,139,080	2,784,045		2,380,830
OPERATING INCOME		356,533	 410,104		(1,675,284)
NONOPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES):					
Property taxes		-	-		233,861
Sales taxes					806,967
Other nonoperating revenues		63,741	52,310		6,328
Interest revenue		27,168	12,157		2,718
Refunds and rebates		-	-		38,596
Interest expense		(147,070)	(16,456)		(1,261)
Total non-operating revenues, net		(56,161)	48,011		1,087,209
NET INCOME BEFORE TRANSFERS		300,372	458,115		(588,075)
Transfers in		1,809,025	933,394		-
Transfers out		(1,710,009)	(943,044)		-
Total transfers		99,016	(9,650)		_
CHANGE IN NET POSITION		399,388	448,465		(588,075)
NET POSITION:					
Beginning of year		2,388,578	7,929,094		23,916,870
Adjustments			(690,158)		
Beginning, as adjusted		2,388,578	7,238,936		23,916,870
End of year	\$	2,787,966	\$ 7,687,401	\$	23,328,795

	Governmental Activities
	Internal
Totals	Service Funds
\$ 6,360,353	\$ -
-	1,100,543
 34,955	
 6,395,308	1,100,543
2,754,286	305,644
1,444,451	102,212
270,833	86,112
463,635	147,020
496,976	-
201,656	-
66,863	-
229,029	209,115
1,376,226	32,554
 7,303,955	882,657
(908,647)	217,886
233,861	-
806,967	-
122,379	-
42,043	50
38,596	-
 (164,787)	
1,079,059	50
170,412	217,936
2,742,419	1,121,915
(2,653,053)	(825,831)
89,366	296,084
259,778	514,020
34,234,542	868,776
(690,158)	
 33,544,384	868,776
\$ 33,804,162	\$ 1,382,796

# City of Fort Bragg, California

# **Statement of Cash Flows**

# **Proprietary Funds**

For the year ended June 30, 2015

		Water Fund		Sewer Fund
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:	<u>-</u>			
Cash received from customers/users for services provided	\$	2,519,078	\$	3,176,081
Cash received from interfund services provided Cash payments to suppliers for goods and services		- (1 457 172)		(1,300,657)
1.		(1,457,173)		
Cash payments to employees for services		(667,119)		(1,110,609)
Net cash provided by operating activities		394,786		764,815
CASH FLOWS FROM NONCAPITAL FINANCING ACTIVITIES:				
Transfers received		1,809,025		933,394
Transfers paid		(1,710,009)		(943,044)
Taxes received		-		- (4.501)
Changes in net pension obligations		-		(4,581)
Nonoperating revenue received		63,741		52,310
Net cash used by noncapital financing activities		162,757		38,079
CASH FLOWS FROM CAPITAL AND RELATED FINANCING				
Acquisition and construction of capital assets		(180,596)		(123,455)
Principal paid on long term debt		(449,244)		(45,000)
Interest paid on long term debt		(133,996)		(17,053)
Net cash (used) by capital and related financing activities		(763,836)		(185,508)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:				
Investment income received		27,168		12,157
Net cash provided by investing activities		27,168		12,157
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		(179,125)		629,543
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS:				
Beginning of year		2,651,110		799,522
End of year	\$	2,471,985	\$	1,429,065
Presentation in Statement of Financial Position:			1	
Cash and investments	\$	2,363,921	\$	1,429,065
Restricted cash and investments	Ψ	108,064	Ψ	1,427,003
Total Cash and investments	\$	2,471,985	\$	1,429,065
	Ψ	2,171,200	4	1,125,000
Reconciliation of income from operations to net				
cash provided by operating activities: Operating income	\$	356,533	\$	410,104
Adjustments to reconcile operating income	Ф	330,333	Ф	410,104
to net cash provided by operating activities:				
Depreciation		332,729		314,016
(Increase) decrease in current assets:		552,725		21.,010
Accounts receivable		(28,827)		(18,068)
Intergovernmental receivables		-		-
Inventory and prepaids		(11,382)		(63)
Increase (decrease) in liabilities:				
Accounts payable		(308,760)		5,189
Accrued liabilities		-		10,018
Unearned revenues		-		36,249
Deposits payable		52,292		-
Compensated absences		2,201		7,370
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	394,786	\$	764,815
There were no neposed investing conited or financing activities offseting recognized ass	11: 1:1:	C 41 1 1	1 20	2015

There were no noncash investing, capital, or financing activities affecting recognized assets and liabilities for the year ended June 30, 2015.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these basic financial statements.

					vernmental Activities
CV	Starr Center				Internal
	Fund		Totals		rvice Funds
\$	698,912	\$	6,394,071	¢	
Ф	090,912	φ	0,394,071	\$	1,100,543
	(696,552)		(3,454,382)		(498,676)
	(977,840)		(2,755,568)		(303,931)
	(975,480)		184,121		297,936
	-		2,742,419		1,121,915
	(60,927)		(2,713,980)		(825,831)
	1,040,828		1,040,828		-
	-		(4,581)		
	44,924		160,975		-
	1,024,825		1,225,661		296,084
	/40 <b>~</b> 40°		(011.700)		(15135
	(10,548)		(314,599)		(154,256)
	(1.261)		(494,244) (152,310)		-
	(1,261) (11,809)		(961,153)		(154,256)
	(11,00)		(701,133)		(134,230)
	2,718		42,043		50
	2,718		42,043		50
	40,254		490,672		439,814
	478,179	_	3,928,811		778,269
\$	518,433	\$	4,419,483	\$	1,218,083
¢	510 422	ď	4 211 410	¢	1 210 002
\$	518,433	\$	4,311,419 108,064	\$	1,218,083
\$	518,433	\$	4,419,483	\$	1,218,083
¢	(1 (75 204)	¢	(009 (47)	¢	217.006
\$	(1,675,284)	\$	(908,647)	\$	217,886
	729,481		1,376,226		32,554
	, 27, 101				32,33 T
	(3,384)		(50,279)		-
	(555)		(555)		-
	1		(11,444)		-
	(2,173)		(305,744)	•	45,783
	(20,871)		(10,853)		1,713
	(64)		36,185		-
	(2,631)		49,661		-
			9,571		
\$	(975,480)	\$	184,121	\$	297,936

This page intentionally left blank.



#### FIDUCIARY ACTIVITIES

Fund Description

Private Purpose Trust Funds are used for resources held for other individuals and entities in a manner similar to private enterprise.

#### **Private Purpose Trust Fund**

Successor Agency - Fort Bragg Redevelopment Agency Accounts for funds collected and disbursed for the

Accounts for funds collected and disbursed for the dissolution of the former Fort Bragg Redevelopment Agency related to Administration and Retirement of enforceable obligations

# City of Fort Bragg, California Statement of Fiduciary Net Position Fiduciary Activities June 30, 2015

	0	
	Successor Agency	
	Former Fort Bragg	
	Redevelopment	
ACCEPTE AND DEPENDED ON THE ONE		Agency
ASSETS AND DEFERRED OUTFLOWS		
Current assets:	Φ.	157 150
Cash and investments	\$	157,158
Total current assets		157,158
Total assets		157,158
Deferred outlows of resources		
Deferred loss on debt refunding		103,646
Total deferred outflows of resources		103,646
Total assets and deferred outflows of resources	\$	260,804
LIABILITIES AND NET POSITION		
Current liabilities:		
Interest payable	\$	36,759
Payable to the City of Fort Bragg		22,233
Due within one year		88,380
Total current liabilities	<u> </u>	147,372
Long-term liabilities:	<u> </u>	
Due after one year		3,915,978
Total long-term liabilities		3,915,978
Total liabilities		4,063,350
Net Position (Deficit)		(3,802,546)
Total liabilities and net position	\$	260,804

# City of Fort Bragg, California Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Assets Private Purpose Trust Funds For the year ended June 30, 2015

	Successor Agency Former Fort Bragg Redevelopment Agency
ADDITIONS:	
Property taxes	\$ 800,078
Total operating revenues	800,078
DEDUCTIONS:	
Community development	565,983
Interest and trustee fees	113,095
Total operating expenses	679,078
CHANGE IN FIDUCIARY NET ASSETS	121,000
NET ASSETS (DEFICIT):	
Beginning of year	(3,923,546)
End of year	\$ (3,802,546)

This page intentionally left blank.



# NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS



This page intentionally left blank.



# City of Fort Bragg, California Basic Financial Statements For the year ended June 30, 2015

# **Index to Notes to Basic Financial Statements**

	<b>Page</b>
Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies	54
A. Description of Government-Wide Financial Statements	
B. Reporting Entity	
C. Basis of Presentation	
D. Measurement Focus	
E. Budgetary Information	
F. Unearned Revenue.	
G. Assets, Liabilities, Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources and Net Position/ Fund Balance	60
H. Revenues, Expenditures/Expenses.	
I. Use of Estimates	
J. Implementation of GASB 68 and 71 Related to Pension Plans	
K. Prior Period Adjustment	
J. Reclassifications	
Note 2 – Cash and Investments	69
Note 3 – Accounts Receivable	72
Note 4 – Loans and Notes Receivable	73
Note 5 – Capital Assets	74
Note 6 – Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities	76
Note 7 – Long-term Liabilities	76
Note 8 – Net Position/Fund Balances	81
Note 9 – Interfund Transactions	83
Note 10 – Risk Management	85
Note 11 – Public Employee Retirement System	86
Note 12 – Other Post-Employment Benefits	93
Note 13 – Successor Agency for Assets of Former Redevelopment Agency	95
Note 14 – Commitments and Contingencies	98
Note 15 – New Accounting Pronouncements	98

#### 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The basic financial statements of the City of Fort Bragg (City) have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles (USGAAP) generally accepted in the United States of America as applied to governmental agencies. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles. The more significant principles are described below.

## A. Description of Government-wide Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net position and the statement of activities) report information on all of the non-fiduciary activities of the primary government and its component units. All fiduciary activities are reported only in the fiduciary fund financial statements. Governmental activities, which normally are supported by taxes, intergovernmental revenues, and other non-exchange transactions, are reported separately from business-type activities, which rely to a significant extent on fees and charges to external customers for support. Likewise, the primary government is reported separately from certain legally separate component units for which the primary government is financially accountable.

## **B.** Reporting Entity

The City of Fort Bragg was incorporated August 5, 1889, under the applicable laws and regulations of the State of California. The City operates under a Council-City Manager form of government and provides a variety of services including public safety (police and fire); streets and highways; wastewater collection, treatment, and disposal; water treatment; planning and zoning; public improvement and redevelopment; and general administrative services. The accompanying financial statements present the City and its component units, entities for which the City is considered to be financially accountable.

#### **Blended Component Units**

Because of their relationship with the City and the nature of their operations, component units are, in substance, part of the City's operations and, accordingly, the activities of these component units are combined, or blended with the activities of the City for purposes of reporting in the accompanying basic financial statements. The basis for blending the component units is that their governing bodies are substantially the same as the City's Council.

Fort Bragg Municipal Improvement District No. 1 (District) includes all of the funds and operations for the City's wastewater collection and treatment processes. The District is governed by a Board of Directors comprised of the members of the Fort Bragg City Council. The City of Fort Bragg exercises significant financial and management control over the District. The activities of the District are reported as a major enterprise fund within the City's financial statements.

Complete financial statements of the District can be obtained directly from the City of Fort Bragg, 416 N. Franklin St., Fort Bragg, CA 95437.

#### C. Basis of Presentation

The accounts of the City are organized and operated on the basis of funds, each of which is defined as a separate fiscal and accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. These funds are established for the purpose of carrying on specific activities or attaining certain objectives in accordance with special regulations, restrictions or limitations.

In accordance with Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 34 (GASB 34), "Basic Financial Statements—and Management's Discussion and Analysis—for State and Local Governments," the financial statements consist of the following:

- Government-wide financial statements,
- Fund financial statements, and
- Notes to the financial statements

#### **Government-wide Financial Statements**

While separate government-wide and fund financial statements are presented, they are interrelated. The governmental activities column incorporates data from governmental funds and internal service funds, while business-type activities incorporate data from the government's enterprise funds. Separate financial statements are provided for governmental funds, proprietary funds, and fiduciary funds, even though the latter are excluded from the government-wide financial statements.

The Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities report information on all of the non-fiduciary activities of the primary government (the City) and its component units. As a general rule, the effect of interfund activity has been eliminated from the government-wide financial statements. Exceptions to this general rule are payments in lieu of taxes where the amounts are reasonably equivalent in value to the interfund services provided and other charges between the City's water and sewer functions and various other functions of the City. Elimination of these charges would distort the direct costs and program revenues reported for the various functions concerned. Governmental activities generally are financed through taxes, intergovernmental revenues, and other non-exchange transactions.

The Statement of Activities presents a comparison between direct expenses and program revenues for each segment of the City's governmental activities. Direct expenses are specifically associated with a program or function and, therefore, are clearly identifiable to a particular function. Program revenues include (a) charges paid by the recipients of goods or services offered by the programs, (b) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational need of a particular program, and (c) fees, grants, and contributions that are restricted to financing the acquisition or construction of capital assets. Revenues that are not classified as program revenues, including all taxes, are presented as general revenues.

#### C. Basis of Presentation, Continued

#### **Fund Financial Statements**

The fund financial statements provide information about the City's funds, including its fiduciary fund and blended component units. Separate statements for each fund category – governmental, proprietary, and fiduciary – are presented. The emphasis of fund financial statements is on major governmental and enterprise funds, each displayed in a separate column. All remaining governmental funds are aggregated and reported as non-major funds.

The City reports the following major governmental funds:

The **General Fund** is the City's primary operating fund. It accounts for all financial resources of the general government except those required to be accounted for in specialized funds.

The Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program Income Fund special revenue fund was established to account for program income generated by CDBG funded projects. The funds are used for activities that benefit low- and moderate-income persons to ensure decent affordable housing and to create jobs through the expansion and retention of businesses.

The **Housing Successor Agency** special revenue fund was established to account for the housing assets, rights, power, duties, obligations and functions previously performed by the Redevelopment Agency in administering its Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund.

The **Special Sales Tax Street Repair Fund** special revenue fund is used to account for revenue received from local sales taxes restricted to street repairs.

The **Street Structural Repairs Fund** is a capital project fund used to account for costs associated with the Street Structural Repair project. This project is funded with local sales taxes collected specifically for street maintenance and repair.

The **Street Resurfacing Project Fund** is a capital project fund used to account for costs associated with the Street Resurfacing project. This project is funded with local sales taxes collected specifically for street maintenance and repair.

The City reports the following major proprietary funds:

The **Water** enterprise fund accounts for the activities of the City's water treatment and distribution operations.

The **Sewer** enterprise fund accounts for the activities of the Fort Bragg Municipal Improvement District No. 1 which provides the City's wastewater collection, treatment, and disposal operations.

The **C.V. Starr Center** enterprise fund accounts for all operations of the C.V. Starr Center, including the local sales tax restricted to funding the operations of the C.V. Starr Center and the property taxes collected by the City as part of the Property Tax Exchange agreement.

Additionally, the City reports the following fund types:

**Internal Service Funds** account for maintenance and repair of City facilities, information technology, and vehicle operations that provide services to other departments of the City on a cost reimbursement basis.

The **Private-Purpose Trust Fund** is a fiduciary fund type used by the City to report trust arrangements under which principal and income benefit other governments. This fund reports the assets, liabilities, and activities of the Fort Bragg Redevelopment Successor Agency (Successor Agency). The Successor Agency operates under the auspices of a legislatively formed Oversight Board comprised of representatives of the local agencies that serve the redevelopment project area. The Oversight Board, in its fiduciary capacity, has authority over the operations and the timely dissolution of the former RDA. It is tasked with fulfilling the obligations of the former RDA, and is also responsible for revenue collection, maintaining necessary bond reserves, and disposing of excess property.

During the course of operations the City has activity between funds for various purposes. Any residual balances outstanding at fiscal year-end are reported as due from/to other funds and advances to/from other funds. While these balances are reported in fund financial statements, certain eliminations are made in the preparation of the government-wide financial statements. Balances between the funds included in governmental activities (i.e., the governmental and internal service funds) are eliminated so that only the net amount is included in business-type activities (i.e., the enterprise funds) are eliminated so that only the net amount is included as internal balances in the business-type activities column.

Further, certain activity occurs during the fiscal year involving transfers of resources between funds. In fund financial statements these amounts are reported at gross amounts as transfers in/out. While reported in fund financial statements, certain eliminations are made in the preparation of the government-wide financial statements. Transfers between the funds included in governmental activities are eliminated so that only the net amount is included as transfers in the governmental activities column. Similarly, balances between the funds included in business-type activities are eliminated so that only the net amount is included as transfers in the business-type activities column.

## D. Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting

The accounting and financial reporting treatment is determined by the applicable measurement focus and basis of accounting. Measurement focus indicates the type of resources being measured such as current financial resources or economic resources. The basis of accounting indicates the timing of transactions or events for recognition in the financial statements.

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

## D. Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting, continued

The governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the City considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period.

Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures, as well as expenditures related to compensated absences, and claims and judgments, are recorded only when payment is due. General capital asset acquisitions are reported as expenditures in governmental funds. Issuance of long-term debt and acquisitions under capital leases are reported as other financing sources.

Property taxes, sales taxes, franchise taxes, licenses, and interest associated with the current fiscal period are all considered to be susceptible to accrual and so have been recognized as revenues of the current fiscal period. Entitlements are recorded as revenues when all eligibility requirements are met, including any time requirements, and the amount is received during the period or within the availability period for this revenue source (within 60 days of a fiscal year-end). Expenditure-driven grants are recognized as revenue when the qualifying expenditures have been incurred and all other eligibility requirements have been met, and the amount is received during the period or within the availability period for this revenue source (within 60 days of a fiscal year-end). All other revenue items are considered to be measurable and available only when cash is received by the City.

Proprietary and private-purpose trust funds are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Proprietary funds distinguish operating revenues and expenses from non-operating items. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services and producing and delivering goods in connection with a proprietary fund's principal ongoing operations. The principal operating revenues of the Water and Sewer enterprise funds and of the City's internal service funds are charges to customers for sales and services. Operating expenses for enterprise funds and internal service funds include the cost of sales and services, administrative expenses, and depreciation/amortization on capital assets. All revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as non-operating revenues and expenses.

## E. Budgetary Information

## 1) Budgetary basis of accounting

Annual budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for the general fund and enterprise funds. The capital projects funds are appropriated on a project-length basis. Other special revenue funds do not have appropriated budgets since other means control the use of these resources (e.g., grant awards and endowment requirements) and sometimes span a period of more than one fiscal year.

## E. Budgetary Information, Continued

The City Council follows the following procedures in establishing the budgetary data reflected in the required supplementary information:

- Before the end of each fiscal year, the City Manager and Finance Director prepare and submit to the City Council a proposed budget for the subsequent fiscal year. The budget includes proposed expenditures and the means for financing them.
- The City Council reviews the proposed budget in public hearings which provides interested citizens opportunity to comment. The Council may add to, subtract from, or change appropriations, but may not change the form of the budget.
- Prior to July 1, or soon after, the budget is legally adopted by the City Council through resolution.

The appropriated budget is prepared by fund, function, and department. The City's department heads may make transfers of appropriations within a department with City Manager approval. Transfers of appropriations between departments require the approval of the Council. The legal level of budgetary control (i.e., the level at which expenditures may not legally exceed appropriations) is the department level.

Appropriations in all budgeted funds lapse at the end of the fiscal year unless they have related encumbrances. Encumbrances are commitments related to unperformed (executory) contracts for goods or services (i.e., purchase orders, contracts, and commitments). Encumbrance accounting is utilized to the extent necessary to assure effective budgetary control and accountability and to facilitate effective cash planning and control. Valid outstanding encumbrances (those for which performance under the executory contract is expected in the next year) are brought forward and become part of the subsequent year's budget pursuant to City policy.

## F. Unearned Revenue

Under both the accrual and modified accrual basis of accounting, revenue may be recognized only when earned. Therefore, the government-wide statement of net position as well as governmental and enterprise funds defer revenue recognition in connection with resources that have been received as of fiscal year-end, but not yet earned. Assets recognized in connection with a transaction before the earnings process is complete are offset by a corresponding liability for unearned revenue.

Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, it is not enough that revenue had been earned if it is to be recognized in the current period. Revenue must also be susceptible to accrual (i.e., measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period). Governmental funds report unearned revenues, in connection with receivables for revenue not considered available to liquidate, as deferred inflows of resources.

## G. Assets, Liabilities, Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources, and Net Position/Fund Balance

## 1) Cash and cash equivalents

The City's cash and cash equivalents are considered to be cash on hand, demand deposits, and short-term investments with original maturities of three months or less from the date of acquisition.

## 2) Investments

Investments for the City and its component units are reported at fair value (generally based on quoted market prices).

## 3) <u>Inventories and prepaid items</u>

Inventories for both governmental and proprietary funds consist principally of materials and supplies held for consumption and are valued at cost, approximating fair value, using the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method. The cost of such inventories is recorded as expenditures/expenses when consumed rather than when purchased. Inventories recorded in the governmental funds do not reflect current appropriable resources and thus, an equivalent portion of fund balance is shown as non-spendable.

Certain payments to vendors represent costs applicable to future accounting periods and would be recorded as prepaid items in both the government-wide and fund financial statements. The City had no prepaid items as of June 30, 2015.

#### 4) Capital Assets

Capital assets, which include land, buildings, infrastructure (roads, sidewalks and similar items), and machinery and equipment, are reported in the applicable governmental or business-type activities column in the governmental-wide financial statements. Capital assets, except for infrastructure assets, are defined by the City as assets with an initial individual cost of more than \$5,000 and an estimated useful life in excess of two years. For infrastructure assets the same estimated minimum useful life is used (in excess of two years), but only those infrastructure projects that cost more than \$50,000 are reported as capital assets.

In the case of the initial capitalization of general infrastructure assets (i.e., those reported by governmental activities), the City chose to include all such items regardless of their acquisition date or amount. The City was able to estimate the historical cost for the initial reporting of these assets through back trending (i.e., estimating the current replacement cost of the infrastructure to be capitalized and using an appropriate price-level index to deflate the cost to the acquisition year or estimated acquisition year). As the City constructs or acquires additional capital assets each period, including infrastructure assets, they are capitalized and reported at historical cost.

# G. Assets, Liabilities, Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources, and Net Position/Fund Balance, Continued

The reported value excludes normal maintenance and repairs which are essentially amounts spent in relation to capital assets that do not increase the capacity or efficiency of the item or increase its estimated useful life. Donated capital assets are recorded at their estimated fair value at the date of donation. During the current fiscal period, infrastructure projects that were incomplete are reported as "construction in progress."

Interest is capitalized on the construction of major assets acquired with debt. The amount of interest to be capitalized is calculated by offsetting interest expense incurred from the date of the borrowing until completion of the project with interest earned on invested proceeds over the same period. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, there were no projects meeting the criteria for interest capitalization.

The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend assets lives are not capitalized. Improvements are capitalized and depreciated over the remaining useful lives of the related capital assets, as applicable. Capitalization thresholds are \$5,000 for any single item.

Land and construction in progress are not depreciated. Property, plant, equipment, and infrastructure of the City are depreciated using the straight-line method using the following estimated useful lives:

	Estimated
Capital Asset Class	Useful Life
Buildings	40 -75 years
Infrastructure	10 - 50 years
Utility Plant	10 - 50 years
Machinery & Equipment	3 - 40 years
Vehicles	5 - 10 years
Improvements	5 - 30 years

## 5) Net position flow assumption

Sometimes the City will fund outlays for a particular purpose from both restricted (e.g., restricted bond or grant proceeds) and unrestricted resources. In order to calculate the amounts to report as restricted – net assets and unrestricted – net position in the government-wide and proprietary fund financial statements, a flow assumption must be made about the order in which the resources are considered to be applied. It is the City's policy to consider restricted – net position to have been depleted before unrestricted – net position is applied.

# G. Assets, Liabilities, Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources, and Net Position/Fund Balance, Continued

#### 6) Deferred outflows/inflows of resources

In addition to assets, the statement of net position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred outflows of resources, represents a consumption of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an outflow of resources (expense/expenditure) until then. The City has two items reported as a deferred outflow of resources. The first item, deferred loss on refunding, is reported in the government-wide statement of net position. A deferred loss on refunding results from the difference in the carrying value of refunded debt and its reacquisition price. This amount is deferred and amortized over the shorter of the life of the refunded or refunding debt. The second item is a deferred charge on pension plan contributions in the government-wide statement of net position.

In addition to liabilities, the statement of position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred inflows of resources, represents an acquisition of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until that time. The City has two items reported as a deferred inflow of resources. The first item arises only under the modified accrual basis of accounting. Accordingly, the item, unavailable revenue, is reported only in the governmental funds balance sheet. The governmental funds report unavailable revenues from two sources: sales taxes and grants. These amounts are deferred and recognized as an inflow of resources in the period that the amounts become available. The second deferred inflow of resources is the net difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments and is reported in the government-wide statement of net position.

#### 7) Fund Balance flow assumptions

Sometimes the City will fund outlays for a particular purpose from both restricted and unrestricted resources (the total of committed, assigned, and unassigned fund balance). In order to calculate the amounts to report as restricted, committed, assigned, and unassigned fund balance in the governmental fund financial statements, a flow assumption must be made about the order in which the resources are considered to be applied. It is the City's policy to consider restricted fund balance to have been depleted before using any of the components of unrestricted fund balance. Further, when the components of unrestricted fund balance can be used for the same purpose, committed fund balance is depleted first, followed by assigned fund balance. Unassigned fund balance is applied last.

## 8) Net Position/Fund Balance

GASB Statement No. 63 adds the concept of Net Position, which is measured on the full accrual basis, to the concept of Fund Balance, which is measured on the modified accrual basis.

# G. Assets, Liabilities, Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources, and Net Position/Fund Balance, Continued

Net Position represents the difference between assets and liabilities and are divided into three captions under GASB Statement No. 34. These captions apply only to Net Position as determined at the government-wide level, and are described below:

*Net Investment in Capital Assets* describes the portion of Net Position which is represented by the current net book value of the City's capital assets, less the outstanding balance of any debt issued to finance these assets.

Restricted describes the portion of Net Position which is restricted as to use by the terms and conditions of agreements with outside parties, governmental regulations, laws, or other restrictions which the City cannot unilaterally alter. These principally include debt service requirements and funds restricted for various purposes, such as low and moderate income housing, community development, streets and roads, and public safety.

*Unrestricted* describes the portion of Net Position which is not restricted as to use.

GASB Statement No. 54 establishes fund balance classifications for the Fund Financial Statements that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

**Nonspendable Fund Balance** – This amount is comprised of net position that cannot be spent because of their form or must remain intact pursuant to legal or contractual requirements.

**Restricted Fund Balance** – This balance is comprised of net position that can be spent only for the specific purposes stipulated by constitution, external resource providers, or through enabling legislation.

Committed Fund Balance – This balance includes amounts that can be used only for the specific purposes determined by a formal action of the City's highest level of decision-making authority. The City Council (Council) is the highest level of decision-making authority for the City that can, by adoption of an ordinance prior to the end of the fiscal year, commit fund balance. Once adopted, the limitation imposed by the ordinance remains in place until a similar action is taken (the adoption of another ordinance) to remove or revise the limitation.

**Assigned Fund Balance** – This balance is intended to be used by the City for specific purposes but do not meet the criteria to be classified as committed. The Council has by resolution authorized the Finance Director to assign fund balance. The Council may also assign fund balance as it does when appropriating fund balance to cover a gap between estimated revenue and appropriations in the subsequent year's appropriated budget.

# G. Assets, Liabilities, Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources, and Net Position/Fund Balance, Continued

Unlike commitments, assignments generally only exist temporarily. In other words, an additional action does not normally have to be taken for the removal of an assignment. Conversely, as discussed above, an additional action is essential to either remove or revise a commitment.

**Unassigned Fund Balance** – This balance represents net position in excess of resources classified in one of the three categories described above.

The City applies restricted resources first when expenditures are incurred for purposes for which either restricted or unrestricted (committed, assigned, and unassigned) amounts are available. Similarly, within unrestricted fund balance, committed amounts are reduced first followed by assigned, and then unassigned amounts when expenditures are incurred for purposes for which amounts in any of the unrestricted fund balance classifications could be used.

#### 9) Restricted Assets

Certain cash and investments in the Water Fund are held by the City's fiscal agents and classified as restricted assets on the statement of net position because their use is limited by applicable bond or other covenants.

## 10) Lease Obligations

The City leases various assets under both operating and capital lease agreements. In the government-wide and proprietary funds' financial statements, capital leases and the related lease obligations are reported as liabilities in the applicable governmental activities or proprietary funds' statement of net position.

## 11) Encumbrances

Encumbrances resulting from issuing purchase orders as a result of normal purchasing activities approved by appropriate authorities as of June 30, 2015, are summarized below.

Fund	Amount			
General Fund	\$ 314,185			
HMHIP Federal Grant Fund	199,079			
CDBG General Allocation Grants	452,274			
HCD HOME Grant	73,973			
Coastal Trail	876,239			
Street Structural Repairs	4,700			
Town Hall Remodel	20,887			
Information Technology Fund	387			
Vehicle Operations	47,204			
Water fund	49,119			
Sewer fund	 739,617			
	\$ 2,777,664			

# G. Assets, Liabilities, Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources, and Net Position/Fund Balance, Continued

## 12) Long-Term Obligations

In the government-wide financial statements, the proprietary fund financial statements, and the private-purpose trust fund, long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities. Initial-issue bond premiums and discounts are deferred and amortized over the life of the bonds using the straight-line method. Amortization of bond premiums or discounts is included as a component of interest expense.

#### H. Revenues and expenditures/expenses

#### 1) <u>Program revenues</u>

Amounts reported as program revenues include 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function or segment and 2) grants and contributions (including special assessments) that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. All taxes, including those dedicated for specific purposes, and other internally dedicated resources are reported as general revenues rather than as program revenues.

The City only accrues revenues at fiscal year-end and accrues only those revenues it deems collectible; as such the City has no allowance for uncollectible accounts. Management has determined that uncollectible receivables, if any, would be immaterial based on an analysis of historical trends.

#### 2) Property taxes

Property taxes attach as an enforceable lien on real property and are levied as of July 1st. The City relies on the competency of the County of Mendocino Assessor's and Auditor-Controller's (County) offices to properly assess, collect, and distribute property taxes. The method of allocation used by the County is subject to review by the State of California. The City and the County have adopted the Alternative Method of Tax Apportionment – Teeter Plan. First enacted in 1949, the Teeter Plan provides California counties with an optional alternative method for allocating delinquent property tax revenues. Using the accrual method of accounting under the Teeter Plan, counties allocate property tax revenues based on the total amount of property taxes billed, but not yet collected. In exchange, the counties receive the penalties and interest on delinquent taxes when collected. Mendocino County assesses properties, bills for, and collects secured and unsecured property taxes as follows:

	Secured	Unsecured
Lien dates	January 1	January 1
Levy dates	July 1	July 1
Due dates	50% on November 1	July 1
	50% on February 1	
Delinquent as of	December 10 (for November due date)	August 31
	April 10 (for February due date	

## H. Revenues and expenditures/expenses, Continued

## 3) Compensated absences

#### Vacation

Earned vacation and a portion of accumulated sick-leave payable upon termination or retirement are accrued as compensated absences. The accrual includes the City's share of payroll taxes. Regular employees earn vacation hours based on the number of years of continuous service. No more than 240 hours (340 hours after 10 years of continuous service) may be accumulated.

#### Sick Leave

Regular employees are given credit for eight (8) hours sick-leave for each month of employment with unlimited accumulation. Each employee who has two or more years of service with the City and who separates from City service for any reason, except discharge for cause, is entitled to payment of the monetary equivalent of 30% of unused sick-leave accrued to a maximum of 1,000 hours. This 30% is included in the compensated absences accrual.

## 4) Proprietary funds operating and nonoperating revenues and expenses

Proprietary funds distinguish *operating* revenues and expenses from *nonoperating* items. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services and producing and delivering goods in connection with a proprietary fund's principal ongoing operations. The principal operating revenues of the water, sewer, and internal service funds are charges to customers for sales and services. The C.V. Starr Center fund has three sources of revenue: property taxes, district sales tax, and user fees. The water and sewer funds also recognize as operating revenue the portion intended to recover the cost of connecting new customers to the system. Operating expenses for enterprise funds and internal service funds include the cost of sales and services, administrative expenses, and depreciation on capital assets. All revenues not meeting this definition are reported as nonoperating revenues and expenses.

In the fund financial statements, governmental fund types recognize bond premiums and discounts, as well as bond issuance costs, during the current period. The face amount of debt issued is reported as other financing sources. Premiums received on debt issuances are reported as other financing sources while discounts on debt issuances are reported as other financing uses. Issuance costs, whether or not withheld from the actual debt proceeds received, are reported as debt service expenditures.

#### I. Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

## J. Implementation of GASB Statements 68 and 71 related to Pension Plans

The GASB issued Statement No. 68, "Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions – An Amendment of GASB Statement No. 27" The primary objective of this Statement is to improve accounting and financial reporting by state and local governments for pensions. It also improves information provided by state and local governmental employers about financial support for pensions that is provided by other entities. This Statement establishes accounting and financial reporting requirements related to pensions for governments whose employees are provided with pensions through pension plans that are covered by the scope of this Statement, as well as for nonemployer governments that have a legal obligation to contribute to those plans.

In addition, the GASB issued Statement No. 71, "Pension Transition for Contributions Made Subsequent to the Measurement Date" The objective of this Statement is to address an issue regarding application of the transition provisions of Statement No. 68, "Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions".

The issue relates to amounts associated with contributions, if any, made by a state or local government employer or nonemployer contributing entity to a defined benefit pension plan after the measurement date of the government's beginning net pension liability.

In implementing these Statements, the City recognizes a net pension liability, which represents the City's proportionate share of the excess of the total pension liability over the fiduciary net position of the Plan reflected in an actuarial report provided by the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS). The net pension liability is measured as of the City's prior Plan year-end. Changes in the net pension liability are recorded, in the period incurred, as pension expense or as deferred inflows of resources or deferred outflows of resources depending on the nature of the change in the liability. The changes in net pension liability that are recorded as deferred inflows of resources or deferred outflows of resources (that arise from changes in actuarial assumptions or other inputs and differences between expected or actual experience) are amortized over the weighted average remaining service life of all participants in the respective pension plan and are recorded as a component of pension expense beginning with the period in which they are incurred.

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability and deferred outflows/inflows or resources relating to pensions and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the City's pension plan with CalPERS and additions to/deductions from the plan's fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by CalPERS. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefits terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

Projected earnings on pension investments are recognized as a component of pension expense. Differences between projected and actual investment earnings are reported as deferred inflows of resources or deferred outflows of resources and amortized as a component of pension expense on a closed basis over a five-year period beginning with the period in which the difference occurred. Each subsequent year will incorporate an additional closed basis five-year period of recognition.

## K. Prior Period Adjustment

Due to the City's adoption of GASB 68, net position was adjusted at June 30, 2015. The following is a reconciliation of the total net position as previously reported at July 1, 2014, to the restated net position.

	Governmental		Proprietary -		
	Activities			ewer Fund	
Net Position at June 30, 2014	\$	38,162,925	\$	7,929,094	
Adjustment:					
Adoption of GASB 68, pensions		(6,305,808)		(690,158)	
Total Adjustments		(6,305,808)		(690,158)	
Net Position at July 1, 2014, as adjusted	\$	31,857,117	\$	7,238,936	

## L. Reclassifications

Certain amounts have been reclassified to provide for comparable results on a year to year basis.

## 2. CASH AND INVESTMENTS

At June 30, 2015, the City's pooled cash and investments, classified by maturity, consisted of the following stated at fair value:

				Maturities (in years)								Fair		
					<1		1 to 3 3 to 5			Deposits	M	arket Value		
Cash equ	ivalents a	nd investments po	oled											
Pooled c	ash, at fair	value												
Cash in	n bank			\$	-		\$ -	\$	-	\$	4,451,424	\$	4,451,424	
Petty c					-								2,298	
Tota	l pooled it	ems			-		-		<u>-</u>		4,451,424		4,453,722	
Pooled in	vestments	s, at fair value												
Interes	t obligatio	ns												
<u>Pa</u>	<u>r</u>	Rate												
\$ 25	50,000	1.90%	Federal Agency Securities		-		=		255,688		-		255,688	
3,47	76,000	0.00%	Certificates of deposit		-		-		-		-		-	
2,04	10,000	0.85% - 1.75%	Corporate notes		500,380		1,299,968		251,737		-		2,052,085	
3,47	76,000	0.80% - 2.10%	Certificates of deposit		249,814		1,995,148		1,246,843				3,491,805	
			Money market funds		-		-		-		42,712		42,712	
		ia Local Agency I			-		-				1,004,196		1,004,196	
	-	vestments - intere	_		750,194		3,295,116		1,754,268	_	1,046,908	_	6,846,486	
Tota	l cash equ	ivalents and invest	tments pooled	\$	750,194	\$	3,295,116	\$	1,754,268	\$	5,498,332	\$	11,300,208	
					ounts repor									
Governmental activities							\$	6,723,567						
			Business-type activities							4,311,419				
					• •		ies - Restricte	ed					108,064	
					ciary activi	nes						Φ	157,158	
				Tota	ll							\$	11,300,208	

Investment Type	Fair Value	Weighted Average Maturity (Years)
Federal agency securities	\$ 255,688	3.05
Corporate	2,052,085	1.62
Fixed Income	3,491,805	2.56
Money market funds	42,712	-
LAIF	1,004,196	-
Total fair value	\$ 6,846,486	
Portfolio weighted average maturity		2.06

## 2. CASH AND INVESTMENTS, Continued

California statutes authorize cities to invest idle or surplus funds in a variety of credit instruments as provided for in the California Government Code, Section 53600, Chapter 4 – Financial Affairs. The table below identifies the investment types that are authorized for the City by the California Government Code (or the City's investment policy, where more restrictive) that address interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk. The table does not address investments of debt proceeds held by bond trustees that are governed by the provisions of debt agreements of the City, rather than the general provisions of the California Government Code of the City's investment policy. During the year ended June 30, 2015, the City's permissible investments included the following instruments:

		Maximum	Maximum
	Maximum	Percentage	Investment
Authorized Investment Type	Maturity	of Portfolio	in One Issuer
Local Agency Investment Fund (State Pool)	N/A	Unlimited	\$50 Million
U.S. Treasury Obligations	5 years	Unlimited	None
U.S. Government Agency Issues	5 years	Unlimited	None
Repurchase Agreements	90 days	10%	None
Bankers' Acceptances (must be dollar denominated)	270 days	40%	None
Commercial Paper - A rated minimum	180 days	15%	None
Certificates of Deposits - FDIC insured	5 years	50%	None
General obligations of any State or Political subdivision - AA rated minimum	5 years	30%	None
Money market mutual funds holding - Cash and U.S. Government Obligations	N/A	None	None

Per the City's investment policy, if special circumstances arise that necessitate the purchase of securities beyond the five year limitation, the requests must be approved by the Council prior to purchase.

## **Investments Authorized by Debt Agreements**

Investment of debt proceeds held by bond trustees are governed by provisions of the debt agreements, rather than the general provisions of the California Government Code or the City's investment policy. The table below identifies the investment types that are authorized for investments held by bond trustees. The table also identifies certain provisions of these debt agreements that address interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk.

Investments Authorized by Debt Agreements	Maximum Maturity	Maximum Percentage of Portfolio	Maximum Investment in One Issuer
U.S. Treasury Obligations	5 years	None	None
U.S. Government Agency Securities	5 years	None	None
Bankers Acceptance	270 days	40%	15%
Commercial paper	180 days	15%	15%
Money market mutual funds	None	None	None

## 2. CASH AND INVESTMENTS, Continued

Interest rate risk –Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. One of the ways that the City manages its exposure to interest rate risk is by purchasing a combination of shorter term and longer term investments and by timing cash flows from maturities so that a portion of the portfolio is maturing or coming close to maturity evenly over time as necessary to provide the cash flow and liquidity needed for operations.

*Credit risk* – As of June 30, 2015, the City's investments in money market funds were rated AAA by Standard & Poor's and Fitch Ratings, and Aaa by Moody's Investors Service. The State of California Local Agency Investment Fund is not rated.

Concentration of credit risk – The City's investment policy does not allow for an investment in any one issuer that is in excess of five percent of the government's total investments. The investments made by the City Treasurer are limited to those allowable under State statutes as incorporated into the City's Investment Policy, which is accepted annually by the City Council. There were no concentrations in any one issuer for the year.

The City participates in an investment pool managed by the State of California titled Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) which has invested 1.88% of the pool funds in Structured Notes and Asset-Backed Securities. LAIF's investments are subject to credit risk with the full faith and credit of the State of California collateralizing these investments. In addition, these Structured Notes and Asset-Backed Securities are subject to market risk as to changes in interest rates.

Custodial credit risk – deposits. Custodial credit risk for deposits is the risk that, in the event of the failure of a depository financial institution, the City will not be able to recover its deposits or will not be able to recover collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The California Government Code and the City's investment policy do not contain legal or policy requirements that would limit the exposure to custodial credit risk for deposits or investments, other than the following provision for deposits.

The California Government Code (Government Code Section 53630) requires that a financial institution secure deposits made by state or local governmental units by pledging securities in an undivided collateral pool held by a depository regulated under state law (unless so waived by the governmental unit). The fair value of the pledged securities in the collateral pool must equal at least 110% of the total amount deposited by the public agencies. California law also allows financial institutions to secure City deposits by pledging first trust deed mortgage notes having a value of 150% of the secured public deposits.

At June 30, 2015, the carrying amount of the City's deposits was \$4,451,424 and the balances in financial institutions were \$4,307,887. Of the balance in financial institutions, \$250,000 was covered by federal depository insurance and \$4,057,887 was collateralized as required by State law (Government Code Section 53630), by the pledging financial institution with assets held in a common pool for the City and other governmental agencies, but not in the name of the City. As of June 30, 2015, the City's investments were held by the City's custodial agent, but not in the City's name, and were insured up to specified limits by the Securities Investor Protection Corporation (SIPC) and supplemental private insurance up to a limit of \$150 million.

## 2. CASH AND INVESTMENTS, Continued

Custodial credit risk – investments. Custodial credit risk – investment generally applied only to direct investments in marketable securities. Custodial credit risk – investment does not apply to a local government's indirect investment in securities through the use of mutual funds or government investment pools (such as Local Agency Investment Fund).

#### **Investment in LAIF**

The City is a voluntary participant in California Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF), which is regulated by California Government Code Section under the oversight of the Local Investment Advisory Board (Board). The Board consists of five members as designated by state statute, and is chaired by the State Treasurer who is responsible for day to day administration of LAIF. The total amount invested by all public agencies as of June 30, 2015 was \$21,495,234,784 of which the City had a balance of \$1,004,196. LAIF is part of the California Pooled Money Investment Account (PMIA), which at June 30, 2015 has a portfolio with market valuation of \$69,672,945 thousand. Of the total invested, 99.903% was invested in non-derivative financial products and 0.97% in structured notes and asset-backed securities. The fair value of the City's investment in this pool is reported in the accompanying financial statements at amounts based upon the City's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by LAIF for the entire LAIF portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by LAIF, which are recorded on an amortized cost basis.

#### 3. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Accounts receivable consisted of the following at June 30, 2015:

	R	eceivables
Governmental Activities		
Taxes	\$	3,513
Intergovernmental		3,101,916
Other		678,897
	\$	3,784,326
Business-type activities		
Accounts receivable	\$	767,189
Intergovernmental		555
	\$	767,744

These amounts resulted in the following concentrations in receivables:

Other Governments 68.2% Individuals/Business 31.8%

Amounts do not indicate a significant concentration (greater than 25%) with any single individual, business or agency.

#### 4. LOANS AND NOTES RECEIVABLE

The City periodically receives Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funding through the State Housing and Community Development Department to provide housing and economic development loans to individuals and businesses. Repayment terms and interest rates vary depending on the borrower's funding needs and ability to repay the loan. Interest is accrued on the loans that bear interest.

Loans and notes receivable for the year ended June 30, 2015, consisted of the following:

	Beginning							Ending
	July 1, 2014		Additions		Additions Deletions		June 30, 2015	
CDBG Revolving Loans	\$	755,817	\$	686,594			\$	1,442,411
Mendocino Coast Hospitality Center Loan		-		904,293		-		904,293
Rural Community Housing Development Corporation Loans		259,625		6,750		-		266,375
Employee Loans		2,486		1,162		-		3,648
Total loans/notes receivable	\$	1,017,928	\$	1,598,799	\$	-	\$	2,616,727

The following is a summary of the loans and notes receivable outstanding as of June 30, 2015:

CDBG Revolving Loans – These are loans issued from CDBG grant funds received by the City or issued from unrestricted CDBG Program Income on hand. Loans are provided from CDBG Economic Development funding to assist local business that will provide or retain jobs. Business loans require repayment of interest and principal. Loans are also provided from CDBG Community Development funds to income-qualified home owners in order to rehabilitate their homes. Terms of housing loans vary depending upon the homeowners' income and may require payments of interest and principal; or interest only; or loans may be fully deferred for up to 30 years.

*Mendocino Coast Hospitality Center Loan* – On January 12, 2015, the Fort Bragg City Council adopted a Resolution approving the use of 2014 Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds for acquisition and rehabilitation of the vacant Old Coast Hotel for the benefit of Mendocino Coast Hospitality Center, Inc. (MCHC). The facility will accommodate a variety of homeless and mental health services including transitional housing. The facility will be owned and operated by MCHC. This loan is deferred for a term of 20 years. If various conditions are met during the term of the loan, the loan will be forgiven at the end of the 20 year term.

Rural Community Housing Development Corporation Loans – These loans are to the Rural Community Housing Development Corporation (RCHDC), a non-profit organization for housing rehabilitation projects within the City. The balance of these loans at June 30, 2015 was \$266,375.

*Employee Loans* – Employees have the option of entering into equipment purchasing loan plans with the City, which may be utilized to assist the employee to purchase equipment that may be used both on and off duty to improve the employee's job performance. The balance of these loans at June 30, 2015 was \$3,648.

## 5. CAPITAL ASSETS

Governmental capital asset activity for the year ended June 30, 2015, was as follows:

	Balance July 1, 2014	Additions	Deletions	Transfers/ Adjustments	Balance June 30, 2015	
Governmental activities:						
Nondepreciable assets:						
Land	\$ 12,952,262	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 12,952,262	
Construction in progress	652,709	2,185,468			2,838,177	
Total nondepreciable assets	13,604,971	2,185,468			15,790,439	
Depreciable assets:						
Buildings	4,994,522	295,346	-	-	5,289,868	
Machinery, equipment and vehicles	1,280,109	95,113	-	-	1,375,222	
Infrastructure	21,105,435	3,669,214	-	-	24,774,649	
Total depreciable assets	27,380,066	4,059,673	-	-	31,439,739	
Total	40,985,037	6,245,141			47,230,178	
Accumulated depreciation:						
Buildings	(3,162,784)	(129,720)	-	-	(3,292,504)	
Machinery, equipment and vehicles	(1,106,036)	(72,129)	-	1,900	(1,176,265)	
Infrastructure	(3,785,005)	(719,625)		27,648	(4,476,982)	
Total accumulated depreciation	(8,053,825)	(921,474)	_	29,548	(8,945,751)	
Net depreciable assets	19,326,241	3,138,199		29,548	22,493,988	
Total net capital assets	\$ 32,931,212	\$ 5,323,667	\$ -	\$ 29,548	\$ 38,284,427	

Depreciation expense for capital assets was charged to functions as follows:

General government	\$ 82,243
Public safety	82,293
Public works	724,384
Community development	-
Vehicle operations	32,554
	\$ 921,474

# 5. CAPITAL ASSETS, Continued

Business-type capital asset activity for the year ended June 30, 2015, was as follows:

	Balance July 1, 2014	Additions	Deletions	Transfers	Balance June 30, 2015
Business-type activities					
Nondepreciable assets:					
Land	\$ 785,079	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 785,079
Construction in progress	329,142	140,796			469,938
<b>Total nondepreciable assets</b>	1,114,221	140,796		_	1,255,017
Depreciable assets:					
Buildings	27,677,497	32,286	-	-	27,709,783
Machinery, equipment and vehicles	6,519,060	144,728	-	-	6,663,788
Infrastructure	14,478,534	-	(8,421)	-	14,470,113
Land improvements	542,303				542,303
Total depreciable assets	49,217,394	177,014	(8,421)		49,385,987
Total	50,331,615	317,810	(8,421)		50,641,004
Accumulated depreciation:					
Buildings	(3,440,943)	(668,233)	-	5,209	(4,103,967)
Machinery, equipment and vehicles	(4,402,862)	(276,147)	-	-	(4,679,009)
Infrastructure	(7,330,790)	(377,616)	-	-	(7,708,406)
Land improvements	(112,980)	(54,230)			(167,210)
Total accumulated depreciation	(15,287,575)	(1,376,226)		5,209	(16,658,592)
Net depreciable assets	33,929,819	(1,199,212)	(8,421)	5,209	32,727,395
Total net capital assets	\$ 35,044,040	\$ (1,058,416)	\$ (8,421)	\$ 5,209	\$ 33,982,412

Depreciation expense for capital assets was charged to functions as follows:

Water	\$ 332,729
Sewer	314,016
CV Starr Center	 729,481
	\$ 1,376,226

## 6. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities consisted of the following at June 30, 2015:

	Governmental Activities	Business-type Activities	Fiduciary Activities	Total
Accounts payable Accrued payroll and related liabilities	\$ 1,170,006 457,643	\$ 186,163 47,641	\$ -	\$ 1,356,169 505,284
Total	\$ 1,627,649	\$ 233,804	\$ -	\$ 1,861,453

These amounts resulted in the following concentrations in payables:

Vendors 73% Employees 27%

Amounts do not indicate a significant concentration (greater than 25%) with any single vendor or employee.

## 7. LONG-TERM LIABILITIES

The following is a summary of changes in long-term liabilities for the year ended June 30, 2015:

	Balance				Balance		Due Within			
	Ju	ly 1, 2014	Ade	Additions Retirements		Ju	June 30, 2015		ne Year	
Governmental Activities:		_				_		_		_
Compensated absences	\$	506,563	\$ 4	39,685	\$	(506,563)	\$	439,685	\$	43,969
Capital Lease Obligations		776,632		-		(116,543)		660,089		121,418
Landfill post-closure		1,582,175	7	56,562		(214,157)		2,124,580		178,705
CalPERS side fund		1,043,348		-		(46,872)		996,476		124,299
OPEB obligation		1,015,425		-		(100,010)		915,415		-
Net pension liability		-	5,3	96,138		-		5,396,138		
Total governmental activities	\$	4,924,143	\$ 6,5	92,385	\$	(984,145)	\$	10,532,383	\$	468,391
Business-type activities										
Bonds payable:										
1998 Revenue Bond		255,000		-		(45,000)		210,000		50,000
2014 Water Revenue Refunding Bond		2,962,000		-		(276,000)		2,686,000		268,000
Loans payable:										
SDWRL		968,622		-		(62,187)		906,435		31,093
SDWRL discount		300,716		-		(43,591)		257,125		21,796
California energy commission		43,900		-		-		43,900		_
Capital lease		350,914				(111,077)		239,837		116,670
Total business-type activity debt		4,881,152				(537,855)		4,343,297		487,559
Payable to successor agency trust fund		15,533		-		(15,533)		-		-
Compensated absences		76,888		72,679		(63,108)		86,459		7,268
Net Pension Liability				58,599				58,599		
Total business-type activities	\$	4,973,573	\$	72,679	\$	(600,963)	\$	4,445,289	\$	494,827

#### Governmental Activities

## **Caspar Landfill Closure and Post-Closure Cost**

The Caspar Landfill site was closed in 1995. State and Federal laws and regulations require that the City place a final cover on its landfill when closed, and perform certain maintenance and monitoring functions at the landfill site for thirty years after closure. These costs are shared equally by the City and County of Mendocino. At June 30, 2015, the City's estimated liability for its share of landfill closure and post-closure care costs was \$2,124,580. This estimated total current cost of the landfill closure and post-closure care is based on the amount that would be paid if all equipment, facilities, and services required to close, monitor, and maintain the landfill were acquired as of June 30, 2015, as determined by the last engineering study performed. However, the actual cost of closure and post-closure care may change due to inflation, changes in technology, or changes in landfill laws and regulations.

The City has made a pledge of future user surcharge revenues for anticipated remaining expected costs, future inflation costs, and any additional costs (including debt service) that might arise from changes in post-closure requirements (due to changes in technology or more rigorous environmental regulations, for example).

#### **Business-type** Activities

#### 1998 Wastewater Revenue Bond

In 1998, the City on behalf of the Fort Bragg Municipal Improvement District entered into an agreement with the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) whereby ABAG issued \$770,000 in revenue bonds to provide resources for the District to acquire and construct capital improvements to the District's sewer system. The bonds are an obligation of ABAG and are payable solely from and secured by revenues that consist primarily of payments on an installment obligation of the City. For the purposes of this report, the City has reported its liability under the terms of the installment agreement as 1998 Wastewater Revenue Bonds. Annual interest accrues at varying rates between 3.75% and 5.30%. Interest became payable commencing October 1, 1998, and semi-annually thereafter each October 1st and April 1st. Principal is paid in annual installments commencing October 1, 1998, through the year 2018 in amounts ranging from \$25,000 through \$55,000. The outstanding principal balance as of June 30, 2015 was \$210,000.

## **2014 Water Revenue Refunding Bonds**

On June 5, 2014, the City issued \$2,962,000 of Water Revenue Refunding Bonds bearing interest of 3.06% and payable semi-annually on October 1 and April 1, maturing on October 1, 2023. These bonds were used to advance refund the 2004 California Statewide Communities Development Authority Bonds, which were issued originally to advance refund the 1993 COP's, which had been used for various capital improvements to the City's water system. The outstanding principal balance as of June 30, 2015 was \$2,686,000.

## 2014 Water Revenue Refunding Bonds, Continued

\$2,891,751 from the 2014 Water Revenue Refunding Bonds was placed in an irrevocable trust that was used to pay off the 2004 California Statewide Communities Development Authority Bonds on July 7, 2014. The funding resulted in an economic gain (difference between the present value of the debt service payments on the old and new debts) of \$201,814. The aggregate difference in debt service between the 2004 California Statewide Communities Development Authority Bonds and the 2014 Water Revenue Refunding Bonds was \$234,793. The outstanding amount of the defeased debt was \$2,855,000 as of June 30, 2014.

The City defeased the 2004 California Statewide Communities Development Authority Bonds by placing the proceeds of the 2014 Water Revenue Refunding Bonds in an irrevocable trust to provide for all future debt service payments on the 2004 California Statewide Communities Development Authority Bonds. Accordingly, the trust account assets and the liability of the defeased 2004 California Statewide Communities Development Authority Bonds are not included in the City's financial statements.

## **Safe Drinking Water Revolving Loan (SDWRL)**

In fiscal year 2005, the City entered into a non-interest bearing loan agreement with the State of California Department of Water Resources to finance the construction of a project to meet safe drinking water standards. The maximum amount of the loan cannot exceed \$2,325,500, of which the City had borrowed \$2,141,532. At June 30, 2015, the balance of the loan payable was \$906,435. Loan principal payments of \$53,538 are required semi-annually on January 1st and July 1st for twenty (20) years commencing January 1, 2007.

## **California Energy Commission Loan**

The City has entered into a loan agreement with the California Energy Commission for a loan in the amount of \$607,596. The loan is payable in semi-annual installments of \$38,091 and has an annual interest rate of 1.0%. The loan has a maturity of December 22, 2023.

The outstanding principal balance of the loan at June 30, 2015 was \$43,900. As of June 30, 2015, the total amount of the loan has not been drawn-down by the City.

## **Compensated Absences**

The City records employee absences, such as vacation, illness, and holidays, for which it is expected that employees will be paid as compensated absences. Compensated absences had a balance of \$526,144 at June 30, 2015; of that amount \$50,964 is expected to be paid within a year.

## **Net OPEB Obligation**

A net OPEB obligation is the cumulative differences between annual OPEB cost and an employer's contributions to a plan. At June 30, 2015, the City had a Net OPEB Obligation of \$915,415. See Note 12 for further discussion on OPEB.

## **Capital Leases**

The City of Fort Bragg has entered into lease agreements as lessee for financing the acquisition of automatic meter reading equipment valued at \$838,499 and to refinance 1995 Landfill COP's issued to financial landfill closure/post-closure costs. The automatic meter reading equipment had a 25-year estimated useful life. These lease agreements qualify as capital leases for accounting purposes and, therefore, have been recorded at the present value of future minimum lease payments.

#### **Debt Service**

Future debt service for Governmental Activities at June 30, 2015, is as follows:

	Governmental Activities								
Year Ending		Cit-	11						
June 30,		Саріта	ıl Lease						
		Principal	1	nterest					
2016	\$	121,418	\$	26,151					
2017		126,509		21,060					
2018		131,814		15,755					
2019		137,341		10,228					
2020		143,007		4,469					
2021-2025									
Total	\$	660,089	\$	77,663					
Due within one year	\$	121,418	\$	26,151					
Due after one year		538,671		51,512					
Total	\$	660,089	\$	77,663					

# **Debt Service, Continued**

Future debt service for Business-Type Activities at June 30, 2015, is as follows:

	Business-Type Activities												
Year Ending	1998 Wastewater					2014 Water Revenue				Safe Drinking Water			
June 30,		Revenu	ie Bonds	3		Refundir	ng Bono	ls		Revolvi	ng Loa	n	
	P	Principal		Interest		Principal		Interest		Principal		Interest	
2016	\$	50,000	\$	9,805	\$	268,000	\$	78,091	\$	52,889	\$	-	
2017		50,000		7,155		276,000		69,768		105,778		-	
2018		55,000		4,373		284,000		61,200		105,778		-	
2019		55,000		1,458		290,000		52,418		105,778		-	
2020		-		-		300,000		43,391		105,778		-	
2021-2025		-		-		1,268,000		79,009		528,891		-	
2026-2030		-		-		-		-		158,668		_	
Total	\$	210,000	\$	22,791	\$	2,686,000	\$	383,877	\$	1,163,560	\$	-	
Due within one year	\$	50,000	\$	9,805	\$	268,000	\$	78,091	\$	52,889	\$	-	
Due after one year		160,000		12,986		2,418,000		305,786		1,110,671		-	
Total	\$	210,000	\$	22,791	\$	2,686,000	\$	383,877	\$	1,163,560	\$	-	
		~											
Year Ending		Californ Commis	_	.5		Capital				То	. 1		
June 30,		Commis	sion Loa	ın		Сарпа	Lease			10	tai		
	P	Principal Interest		Interest		Principal		Interest	Principal		Interest		
2016	\$	-	\$	-	\$	116,670	\$	9,896	\$	487,559	\$	97,792	
2017		21,360		1,349		123,167		4,627		576,305		82,899	
2018		22,540		169		-		-		467,318		65,742	
2019		-		-		-		-		450,778		53,876	
2020		-		-		-		-		405,778		43,391	
2021-2025		-		-		-		-		1,796,891		79,009	
2026-2030		<u> </u>		-						158,668		-	
Total	\$	43,900	\$	1,518	\$	239,837	\$	14,523	\$	4,343,297	\$	422,709	
Due within one year	\$	-	\$	-	\$	116,670	\$	9,896	\$	487,559	\$	97,792	
Due after one year		43,900		1,518		123,167		4,627		3,855,738		324,917	
Total	\$	43,900	\$	1,518	\$	239,837	\$	14,523	\$	4,343,297	\$	422,709	

## 8. NET POSITION/ FUND BALANCES

## **Net position**

	Governmental Activities		Business-type Activities	Total
Net investment in capital assets	\$	35,914,130	\$ 30,047,385	\$ 65,961,515
Restricted		102,563	108,064	210,627
Unrestricted		2,607,998	3,648,713	6,256,711
Total	\$	38,624,691	\$ 33,804,162	\$ 72,428,853

• Restricted balances are for the same purposes as fund balance restrictions because external restriction requirements are the same. See descriptions of the restrictions below.

### **Fund Balance**

Nonspendable and Restricted fund balance consisted of the following at June 30, 2015:

Nonspendable:	
Inventory	\$ 81,836
Advances to other funds	123,189
Total Nonspendable	\$ 205,025
Restricted:	
Governmental Funds:	
Special Sales Tax	\$ 2,189,417
Asset Forfeiture	621,737
Gas tax	67,641
State and Federal Grants	34,922
<b>Total Restricted</b>	\$ 2,913,717

The following describe the purpose of each nonspendable, restricted, and committed category used by the City:

## Nonspendable

- **Inventory** represents nonspendable amounts classified as inventory.
- Advances to other funds represents nonspenable amounts advanced to other funds.

#### **Restricted**

- Special Sales Tax represents amounts restricted by voter approved ordinance for street repairs.
- **Asset Forfeiture** represents amounts restricted by State and Federal guidelines to support law enforcement.

## 8. NET POSITION/ FUND BALANCES, Continued

## **Restricted, Continued**

- Gas Tax represents amounts restricted for street maintenance purposes as defined in Sections 2105, 2106, 2107 and 2107.5 of the Streets and Highway Code.
- **State and Federal Grants** represents restricted amounts received from State and Federal Grants for various city programs.

## **Fund Balance Deficits**

Deficit fund balances consisted of the following:

Funds	As of June 30, 2015			
runus		ine 30, 2013		
Major Funds:				
CDBG Program Income Special Revenue Fund	\$	(18,801)		
Street Structural Repairs Capital Projects Fund		(129,968)		
Street Resurfacing Capital Projects Fund		(25,231)		
Non-Major Funds:				
Special Revenue Funds				
Noyo Center for Marine Sciences		(19,847)		
State and Federal Grant Funds		(126,561)		
Capital Project Funds				
Coastal Trail		(836,063)		
Chestnut Street Multi Use Trail		(297)		
Total	\$	(1,156,768)		

The above deficit fund balances have occurred due to the spending of funds prior to the receipt of revenues (cost reimbursements). The Fund balances will be restored in the near future as revenues are received.

## **Excess of Expenditures and Transfers over Appropriations:**

Expenditures and transfers exceeded appropriations for the year ended June 30, 2015, for the following funds:

	]	Final	Expen	ditures and		
Fund	Appropriations		Transfers		Excess	
Major Funds:						
Special Sales Tax Special Revenue Fund	\$	8,171	\$	8,236	\$	(65)

#### 9. INTERFUND TRANSACTIONS

Due to and from balances result from the time lag between the dates that (1) interfund goods and services are provided or reimbursable expenditures occur, (2) transactions are recorded in the accounting system, and (3) payments between funds are made.

Due to and due from other funds consisted of the following as of June 30, 2015:

	Due from Other Funds			Due to ther Funds
<b>Governmental Funds</b>				
Major Funds:				
General Fund	\$	3,309,912	\$	-
CDBG Program Income Special Revenue		_		18,801
Street Structural Repairs Capital Projects		-		2,466
Street Resurfacing Capital Projects		-		24,983
Total Major Funds		3,309,912		46,250
Nonmajor Funds:				
State and Federal Grant Special Revenue Funds		-		2,859,653
Coastal Trail Capital Projects Fund		_		403,888
Chestnut Street Multi Use Trail Capital Projects Fund		-		121
Total Nonmajor Funds		-		3,263,662
Total Governmental Funds		3,309,912		3,309,912
Total	\$	3,309,912	\$	3,309,912

Transfers are used to (1) move revenues from the fund that statute or budget requires to collect them to the fund that statute or budget requires to expend them, and (2) use unrestricted revenues collected in the general fund to finance various programs accounted for in other funds in accordance with budgetary authorizations.

# 9. INTERFUND TRANSACTIONS, Continued

Transfers consisted of the following at June 30, 2015:

consisted of the following at June 30, 2015:	Tuomat	ana In	т	
Covernmental Funda	Transfers In		Transfers Out	
Governmental Funds				
Major Funds:	¢.		Φ	(112.002)
General Fund	\$	-	\$	(112,992)
CDBG Program Income Special Revenue	_	-		(128,644)
Special Sales Tax Special Revenue		63,000		(55,541)
Street Structural Repairs Capital Projects		75,761		(49,118)
Street Resurfacing Capital Projects		-		(163,000)
Total Major Funds	2	38,761		(509,295)
Non-major Funds:				
Special Revenue Funds:				
General Plan Maintenance Fee		-		(65,667)
Parking		-		(8,200)
Parking In-lieu Fees		8,200		_
State Disability Access Fee		-		(2)
Asset Forfeiture		12		(146,395)
Waste Management Community Benefit Payment		_		(117,892)
Gas Tax		_		(195,000)
Traffic and Safety		_		(7,129)
MCOG Main St. Planning	1	89,811		-
MCOG Overall Work Program	-	-		(3,804)
Fire Equipment		_		(1,435)
Franklin St. Intersection Evaluation		160		(1,133)
North Coast Integrated Water Management		-		(155,713)
Noyo Center for Marine Sciences	1	34,657		(133,713)
State and Federal Grant Funds		02,953		(4,559,106)
	Ü	02,933		(4,339,100)
Capital Projects Fund				(2.100)
Otis Johnson Park	4.1	75 002		(3,198)
Coastal Trail	4,1	75,983		(313,549)
Noyo Center for Marine Sciences	2	-		(2,657)
Town Hall Remodel		31,091		-
Chestnut Street Multi Use Trail		21,964		-
Total Non-major Funds	5,4	64,831		(5,579,747)
Internal Service Funds	_			
Building Maintenance		47,665		(275,665)
Information Technology Fund		20,000		(143,000)
Vehicle Operations		54,250		(407,166)
Total Internal Service Funds	1,1	21,915		(825,831)
Total Governmental Funds	6,8	25,507		(6,914,873)
Proprietary Funds				_
Major Enterprise Funds				
Water	1.8	09,025		(1,710,009)
Sewer		33,394		(943,044)
Total Proprietary Funds		42,419		(2,653,053)
Total Transfers			•	
Tutal Transicis	\$ 9,5	67,926	\$	(9,567,926)

#### 10. RISK MANAGEMENT

The City is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. The City provides property, liability, and worker's compensation insurance through the Redwood Empire Municipal Insurance Fund (REMIF), a public entity risk pool currently operating as a common risk management and insurance program for several Northern California municipalities. The City pays quarterly and annual premiums to the REMIF for its general insurance coverage. The joint powers formation agreement of the REMIF provides that the REMIF will be self-sustaining through member premiums for liability insurance and will reinsure through commercial companies for other coverage.

REMIF is a risk sharing, self-funded pool which is a direct purchase program. The REMIF cost sharing pool provides coverage between the City's deductible and \$500,000 (liability program) and \$1,000,000 (workers' compensation program). Losses in excess of the REMIF cost sharing pool limits are covered by REMIF through the California Joint Powers Risk Management Authority and commercial insurance policies. Loss limits and deductibles are per occurrence as detailed on the table below. Losses exceeding these limits are the responsibility of the City. Settled claims resulting from these risks have not exceeded insurance coverage in any of the past three fiscal years.

Insurance Program	Loss Limits		Deductibles	
Property	\$	290,000,000	\$	10,000
Boiler and Machinery		245,000		5,000
Liability		20,000,000		5,000
Earthquake and Flood		20,000,000		100,000 of 5%
			of	value of building
Auto Physical Damage		9,990,000		5,000
Workers' Compensation		2,000,000		5,000

Participating members of REMIF do not have a refundable deposit, and no dividends are paid. A refund in the Liability program was made in which the City received \$22,928. The annual premiums paid to REMIF in FY 2014/15 are as follows:

Insurance Program	Premium	
Workers' Compensation	\$ 148,663	
Liability	101,642	
Earthquake and Flood	31,086	
Property	15,354	
Auto Physical Damage	4,582	
Boiler and Machinery	1,592	
Pollution & Environment	 5,776	
	\$ 308,695	

Claims Paid by the City for the 2014-15 fiscal year:

Insurance Program	 Claims	
Workers' Compensation	\$ 315,465	
Liability and Property	 112,827	
	\$ 428,292	

#### 11. PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

#### General Information about the Pension Plans

Plan Descriptions - All qualified permanent and probationary employees are eligible to participate in the City's separate Safety (police and fire) and Miscellaneous (all other) Employee Pension Plans, cost-sharing multiple employer defined benefit pension plans administered by the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CaIPERS). Benefit provisions under the Plans are established by State statute and City resolution. CalPERS issues publicly available reports that include a full description of the pension plans regarding benefit provisions, assumptions and membership information that can be found on the CalPERS website.

**Benefits Provided** - CalPERS provides service retirement and disability benefits, annual cost of living adjustments and death benefits to plan members, who must be public employees and beneficiaries. Benefits are based on years of credited service, equal to one year of full time employment. Members with five years of total service are eligible to retire at age 50 with statutorily reduced benefits. All members are eligible for non-duty disability benefits after 10 years of service. The death benefit is one of the following: the Basic Death Benefit, the 1957 Survivor Benefit, or the Optional Settlement 2W Death Benefit. The cost of living adjustments for each plan are applied as specified by the Public Employees' Retirement Law.

Miscellaneous

The Plans' provisions and benefits in effect at June 30, 2015, are summarized as follows:

	Prior to
Hire date	January 1, 2013
Benefit formula	2% @ 55
Benefit vesting schedule	5 years service
Benefit payments	monthly for life
Retirement age	55
Monthly benefits, as a % of eligible compensation	1.4% - 2.0%
Required employee contribution rates	7%
Required employer contribution rates	8.84%
	Safety - Police
	Prior to
Hire date	January 1, 2013
Benefit formula	2% @ 50
Benefit vesting schedule	5 years service
Benefit payments	monthly for life
Retirement age	50
Monthly benefits, as a % of eligible compensation	2%
Required employee contribution rates	9%
Required employer contribution rates	13.813%

## 11. PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM, Continued

	PEPRA Miscellaneous
	On or after
Hire date	January 1, 2013
Benefit formula	2% @ 62
Benefit vesting schedule	5 years service
Benefit payments	monthly for life
Retirement age	52 - 67
Monthly benefits, as a % of eligible compensation	1.0% to 2.5%
Required employee contribution rates	6.50%
Required employer contribution rates	6.73%
	PEPRA Safety - Police
	On or after
Hire date	January 1, 2013
Benefit formula	2.7% @ 57
Benefit vesting schedule	5 years service
Benefit payments	monthly for life
Retirement age	50 - 57
Monthly benefits, as a % of eligible compensation	2.0% to 2.7%
Required employee contribution rates	11.5%
Required employer contribution rates	11.153%
	Fire Safety
	Prior to
Hire date	January 1, 2013
Benefit formula	2% @ 50
Benefit vesting schedule	5 years service
Benefit payments	monthly for life
Retirement age	50
Monthly benefits, as a % of eligible compensation	2.00%
Required employee contribution rates	0%
Required employer contribution rates	0%

Contributions - Section 20814(c) of the California Public Employees' Retirement Law requires that the employer contribution rates for all public employers be determined on an annual basis by the actuary and shall be effective on the July 1 following notice of a change in the rate. Funding contributions for both Plans are determined annually on an actuarial basis as of June 30 by CaIPERS. The actuarially determined rate is the estimated amount necessary to finance the costs of benefits earned by employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded accrued liability. The City is required to contribute the difference between the actuarially determined rate and the contribution rate of employees.

For the year ended June 30, 2015, the contributions recognized as part of pension expense for each Plan were as follows:

							F	EPKA		
			9	Safety - PEPRA -		EPRA -	S	afety -		
	Mis	scellaneous		Police	Miso	cellaneous		Police	Fire	e Safety
Contributions - employer	\$	263,429	\$	285,300	\$	10,052	\$	14,329	\$	1,966
Contributions - employee (paid employer)	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

## Pension Liabilities, Pension Expenses and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

As of June 30, 2015, the City reported net pension liabilities for its proportionate shares of the net pension liability of each Plan as follows

	Proportionate Share				
	of Net	Pension Liability			
Miscellaneous	\$	2,782,487			
Safety - Police		3,148,254			
PEPRA Miscellaneous		51			
PEPRA Safety - Police		53			
Fire Safety		55,890			
<b>Total Net Pension Liability</b>	\$	5,986,735			

The City's net pension liability for each Plan is measured as the proportionate share of the net pension liability. The net pension liability of each of the Plans is measured as of June 30, 2014, and the total pension liability for each Plan used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2013 rolled forward to June 30, 2014 using standard update procedures. The City's proportion of the net pension liability was based on a projection of the City's long-term share of contributions to the pension plans relative to the projected contributions of all participating employers, actuarially determined. The City's proportionate share of the net pension liability for each Plan as of June 30, 2013 and 2014 was as follows:

	Miscellaneous	Safety - Police	PEPRA - Miscellaneous	PEPRA Safety - Police	Fire Safety
Proportion - June 30, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Proportion - June 30, 2014	0.04472%	0.05059%	0.00000%	0.00000%	0.00090%
Change - Increase (Decrease)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

For the year ended June 30, 2015, the City recognized pension expense of \$563,807. At June 30, 2015, the City reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	Defer	red Outflows	Deferred Inflow			
	of	Resources	of	Resources		
Pension contributions subsequent to measurement date	\$	610,243	\$	-		
Differences between actual and expected experience		-		-		
Changes in assumptions		-		-		
Change in employer's proportion and differences between the employer's contributions and the employer's						
proportionate share of the contributions		(16,236)		-		
Net differences between projected and actual earnings						
on plan investments		-		1,556,803		
Total	\$	594,007	\$	1,556,803		

\$610,243 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to contributions subsequent to the measurement date that will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended June 30, 2016. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized as pension expense as follows:

Year Ended	
30-Jun	
2016	\$ (184,322)
2017	409,685
2018	409,685
2019	327,748
2020	-
Thereafter	-

89

**Actuarial Assumptions** - The total pension liabilities in the June 30, 2013 actuarial valuations were determined using the following actuarial assumptions:

	Miscellaneous		PEPRA - Miscellaneous	PEPRA Safety - Police	Fire Safety
Valuation Date	30-Jun-13	30-Jun-13	30-Jun-13	30-Jun-13	30-Jun-13
Measurement	30-Jun-14	30-Jun-14	30-Jun-14	30-Jun-14	30-Jun-14
Actuarial Cost Method		Entry-Age	Normal Cost Metho	d	
Actuarial Assumptions:					
Discount Rate	7.50%	7.50%	7.50%	7.50%	7.50%
Inflation	2.75%	2.75%	2.75%	2.75%	2.75%
Payroll Growth	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
Projected Salary Increase	3.3% - 14.2% (1)	3.3% - 14.2% (1)	3.3% - 14.2% (1)	3.3% - 14.2% (1).3	% - 14.2% (1)
Investment Rate of Return	7.5% (2)	7.5% (2)	7.5% (2)	7.5% (2)	7.5% (2)
Mortality	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX

- (1) Depending on age, service, and type of employment
- (2) Net of pension plan investment expenses, including inflation

The underlying mortality assumptions and all other actuarial assumptions used in the June 30, 2013 valuation were based on the results of a January 2014 actuarial experience study for the period 1997 to 2011. Further details of the Experience Study can found on the CalPERS website.

Discount Rate -The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.50% for each Plan. To determine whether the municipal bond rate should be used in the calculation of a discount rate for each plan, CalPERS stress tested plans that would most likely result in a discount rate that would be different from the actuarially assumed discount rate. Based on the testing, none of the tested plans run out of assets. Therefore, the current 7.50 percent discount rate is adequate and the use of the municipal bond rate calculation is not necessary. The long term expected discount rate of 7.50 percent will be applied to all plans in the Public Employees Retirement Fund (PERF). The stress test results are presented in a detailed report that can be obtained from the CalPERS website.

According to Paragraph 30 of Statement 68, the long-term discount rate should be determined without reduction for pension plan administrative expense. The 7.50 percent investment return assumption used in this accounting valuation is net of administrative expenses. Administrative expenses are assumed to be 15 basis points. An investment return excluding administrative expenses would have been 7.65 percent. Using this lower discount rate has resulted in a slightly higher Total Pension Liability and Net Pension Liability. CalPERS checked the materiality threshold for the difference in calculation and did not find it to be a material difference.

CalPERS is scheduled to review all actuarial assumptions as part of its regular Asset Liability Management (ALM) review cycle that is scheduled to be completed in February 2018. Any changes to the discount rate will require Board action and proper stakeholder outreach. For these reasons, CalPERS expects to continue using a discount rate net of administrative expenses for GASB 67 and 68 calculations through at least the 2017-18 fiscal year. CalPERS will continue to check the materiality of the difference in calculation until such time as we have changed our methodology.

The long -term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a buildingblock method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class.

In determining the long-term expected rate of return, CalPERS took into account both short-term and long-term market return expectations as well as the expected pension fund cash flows. Using historical returns of all the funds' asset classes, expected compound returns were calculated over the short-term (first 10 years) and the long-term (11-60 years) using a building-block approach. Using the expected nominal returns for both short-term and long-term, the present value of benefits was calculated for each fund. The expected rate of return was set by calculating the single equivalent expected return that arrived at the same present value of benefits for cash flows as the one calculated using both short-term and long-term returns. The expected rate of return was then set equivalent to the single equivalent rate calculated above and rounded down to the nearest one quarter of one percent.

The table below reflects the long-term expected real rate of return by asset class. The rate of return was calculated using the capital market assumptions applied to determine the discount rate and asset allocation. These rates of return are net of administrative expenses.

Asset Class	New Strategic Allocation	Real Return Years 1 - 10 (a)	Real Return Years 11+ (b)
Global Equity	47%	5.25%	5.71%
Global Fixed Income	19%	0.99%	2.43%
Inflation Sensitive	6%	0.45%	3.36%
Private Equity	12%	6.83%	6.95%
Real Estate	11%	4.50%	5.13%
Infrastructure and Forestland	3%	4.50%	5.09%
Liquidity	2%	-0.55%	-1.05%
Total	100%		

- (a) An expected inflation of 2.5% used for this period.
- (b) An expected inflation of 3.0% used for this period.

Sensitivity of the Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate - The following presents the City's proportionate share of the net pension liability for each Plan, calculated using the discount rate for each Plan, as well as what the City's proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is I-percentage point lower or I-percentage point higher than the current rate:

	M	iscellaneous	Sa	fety - Police	 EPRA - cellaneous	S	EPRA afety - Police	Fire Safety		
1% Decrease		6.50%		6.50%	6.50%		6.50%		6.50%	
Net Pension Liability	\$	4,910,861	\$	4,792,685	\$ 90	\$	91	\$	96,179	
Current Discount Rate		7.50%		7.50%	7.50%		7.50%		7.50%	
Net Pension Liability	\$	2,782,487	\$	3,148,254	\$ 51	\$	53	\$	55,890	
1% Increase		8.50%		8.50%	8.50%		8.50%		8.50%	
Net Pension Liability	\$	1,016,138	\$	1,793,315	\$ 18	\$	22	\$	22,694	

**Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position** - Detailed information about each pension plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued CalPERS financial reports.

92

#### 12. OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

**Plan Description:** The City offers its employees a post-retirement health program, which includes medical and dental coverage. Upon retirement for service or disability, employees hired prior to July 1, 2011 (January 1, 2012 for Fort Bragg Police Officer Association members) retiring directly from service at age 50 or over with 10 years of service may continue coverage for themselves and their spouse's (to whom they are married at retirement) as detailed below. Currently 42 employees meet those eligibility requirements. Expenditures for these post-retirement benefits are recognized as monthly premiums are paid. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, expenditures of \$586,766 were recognized for the cost of these post-retirement benefits. The City pays portions of the premiums dependent on bargaining group and employee hire date as follows:

Tier 1: For retirees hired before January 1, 1992, the City pays the full cost of medical and dental premium for the former employee. In addition, the City pays a percentage of the spouse's medical plan premium starting at the retiree's age 60. The percentage is 10% for each year of service after 10 years, reaching 100% for those retiring with 19 or more years of service. In addition, the spouse may participate in the dental program-but at their own cost.

Tier 2: For retirees hired after January 1, 1992 and before July 1, 2003 (July 1, 2004 for Fort Bragg Police Officer Association members), the City pays the full cost of medical and dental premiums for the former employee only. Spouses of management retirees may participate in the City health plans at their own cost.

Tier 3: For retirees hired after July 1, 2003 (July 1, 2004 for Fort Bragg Police Officer Association members) and before July 1, 2007, the City pays the full cost of medical and dental premiums for the former employee until age 65 when Medicare becomes payable. Thereafter, coverage is limited to a supplemental prescription drug plan, which is paid for by the City. Spouses of management retirees may participate in the City health plans until age 65 at their own cost.

Tier 4: For retirees hired after July 1, 2007 and before July 1, 2011 (January 1, 2012 for Fort Bragg Police Officer Association members), the retiree only may remain in the City's health and dental plan until age 65, but at their own cost. Spouses of management retirees may participate in the City health plans until age 65 at their own cost.

Tier 5: For retirees hired on or after July 1, 2011 (January 1, 2012 for Fort Bragg Police Officer Association members), the retiree and spouse may not participate in the City's health plans.

Annual OPEB and Net OPEB Obligation: The City's annual other post-employment benefit (OPEB) cost (expense) is calculated based on the annual required contribution of the employer (ARC). The ARC is an amount actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB Statement No. 45. The ARC represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover normal cost each year and amortize any unfunded actuarial liabilities (or funding excess) over a period not to exceed thirty years.

### 12. OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS, Continued

The following table shows the components of the City's annual OPEB cost for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, the amount actually contributed to the plan, and changes in the City's net OPEB obligation:

Annual required contribution (ARC)	\$ 566,586
Interest on net OPEB Obligation	67,166
Amortization of net OBEP Obligation	(78,181)
Adjustment to annual required contribution	20,179
Annual OPEB cost (expense)	\$ 575,750
Employer contributions made	(586,766)
Increase (Decrease) in net OPEB obligation	\$ (11,016)
Net OPEB Obligation - beginning of year	1,015,425
Adjustment to Net OPEB Obligation	(88,994)
Net OPEB Obligation - Beginning of year, as adjusted	926,431
Net OPEB Obligation - end of year	\$ 915,415

The City's annual OPEB cost, the percentage of annual OPEB cost contributed to the plan, and the net OPEB obligation for 2015 and the two preceding years, are as follows:

Fiscal		Percentage of			
Year	Annual	Annual OPEB	Net OPEB		
Ended	<b>OPEB Cost</b>	Cost Contributed	Obligation		
6/30/13	\$ 520,862	101%	\$ 1,038,220		
6/30/14	519,138	104%	1,015,425		
6/30/15	575,750	102%	915,415		

#### Funded Status and Funding Progress

The funded status of the plan as June 30, 2013, the Plan's most recent actuarial valuation date, was as follows:

Actuarial accrued liability (AAL)	\$ 5,790,855
Actuarial value of Plan assets	(672,100)
Unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL)	\$ 5,118,755
Funded ratio (actuarial value of Plan assets/AAL)	11.6%
Covered payroll (active Plan participants)	\$ 2,670,713
UAAL as a percentage of covered payroll	192%

Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment, mortality, and the healthcare cost trend. Amounts determined regarding the funded status of the plan and the annual required contributions of the employer are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared to past expectations and new estimates are made about the future. The schedule of funding progress, presented as required supplementary information following the notes to the financial statements, presents multi-year trend information about whether the actuarial value of plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liabilities for benefits.

#### 12. OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS, Continued

Actuarial Methods and Assumptions: In the June 30, 2013, actuarial valuation, the level percentage of pay Entry Age Normal Cost Method has been used to calculate contribution levels and the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability. The actuarial assumptions included a 7.25% percent investment rate of return, which is the expected long-term investment returns on plan assets and an annual healthcare cost trend rate starting from 8.25% in 2013 decreasing each year until 2020 to a minimum of 5.25% for Blue Cross premiums and starting from 8.25% in 2013 decreasing to a minimum of 5.25% for Hartford premiums. The actuarial value of assets was \$672,100. The UAAL is being amortized as a flat percentage of covered payroll over thirty years. The remaining amortization period at June 30, 2015 was twenty-eight years.

## 13. SUCCESSOR AGENCY TRUST FOR ASSETS OF FORMER REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

On December 29, 2011, the California Supreme Court upheld Assembly Bill 1X 26 ("the Bill") that provides for the dissolution of all redevelopment agencies in the State of California. This action impacted the reporting entity of the City of Fort Bragg that previously had reported a redevelopment agency within the reporting entity of the City as a blended component unit.

The Bill provides that upon dissolution of a redevelopment agency, either the city or another unit of local government will agree to serve as the "successor agency" to hold the assets until they are distributed to other units of state and local government. On January 9, 2012 the City Council elected to become the Successor Agency for the former Redevelopment Agency (RDA) in accordance with the Bill as part of City resolution number 3504-2012.

After enactment of the law, which occurred on June 28, 2011, redevelopment agencies in the State of California cannot enter into new projects, obligations or commitments. Subject to the control of a newly established oversight board, remaining assets can only be used to pay enforceable obligations in existence at the date of dissolution (including the completion of any unfinished projects that were subject to legally enforceable contractual commitments). In future fiscal years, successor agencies will only be allocated revenue in the amount that is necessary to pay the estimated annual installment payments on enforceable obligations of the former redevelopment agency until all enforceable obligations of the prior redevelopment agency have been paid in full and all assets have been liquidated.

In accordance with the timeline set forth in the Bill (as modified by the California Supreme Court on December 29, 2011), all redevelopment agencies in the State of California were dissolved and ceased to operate as legal entities as of February 1, 2012. As allowed under Section 34176(a) of the Bill, the City elected to retain the housing functions previously performed by the former RDA. The assets and activities for the Successor Agency Housing fund continue to be reported in the City's governmental fund financial statements. The remaining assets, liabilities, and activities of the dissolved RDA, are reported in the Successor Agency fiduciary fund (private purpose trust fund) in the financial statements of the City.

## 13. SUCCESSOR AGENCY TRUST FOR ASSETS OF FORMER REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY, Continued

The transfer of the assets and liabilities of the former RDA as of February 1, 2012 (effectively the same date as January 31, 2012) from governmental funds of the City to fiduciary funds was reported as an extraordinary item in the governmental fund financial statements in FY 2011/12.

### **Long-term Liabilities**

The following is a summary of changes in long-term liabilities for the year ended June 30, 2015:

	Balance						Balance		Due Within	
	July 1, 2014		Additions		Retirements		June 30, 2015		C	ne Year
Trust Activities:										
2004 Tax Alloation	\$	4,005,000	\$	-	\$	(4,005,000)	\$	-	\$	-
2004 Tax Allocation Premium		(73,158)		-		73,158		-		-
2015 Refunding Tax Allocation Bonds		-	4	,040,000		-		4,040,000		90,000
2015 Refunding Tax Allocation Bonds Discount		_		(35,642)		-		(35,642)		(1,620)
Total trust fund debt	\$	3,931,842	\$ 4	,004,358	\$	(3,931,842)	\$	4,004,358	\$	88,380

#### 2004 Tax Allocation Bonds

In 2004 the former Fort Bragg Redevelopment Agency issued \$4,830,000 of Tax Allocation Bonds for the purpose of refunding \$1,860,000 of outstanding 1993 Tax Allocation Bonds and making funds available for future capital projects. The refunding took advantage of lower interest rates which were available. The refunding resulted in a difference between the reacquisition price and the carrying amount of the old debt, which has been deferred in accordance with GASB Statement No. 23. Deferred amounts for the loss on refunding and the original discount associated with the issuance of the 2004 Bonds are being amortized over the life of the 2004 issue using the straight line method. The outstanding 2004 Tax Allocation Bonds were refunded with the issuance of the 2015 Refunding Tax Allocation Bonds.

#### 2015 Refunding Tax Allocation Bonds

In 2015 the former Fort Bragg Redevelopment Agency issued \$4,040,000 of Tax Allocation Bonds for the purpose of refunding \$4,005,000 of outstanding 2004 Tax Allocation Bonds and making funds available for future capital projects. The refunding took advantage of lower interest rates which were available. The refunding resulted in a difference between the reacquisition price and the carrying amount of the old debt, which has been deferred in accordance with GASB Statement No. 23. Deferred amounts for the loss on refunding and the original discount associated with the issuance of the 2015 Bonds are being amortized over the life of the 2015 issue using the straight line method.

## 13. SUCCESSOR AGENCY TRUST FOR ASSETS OF FORMER REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY, Continued

#### Long-term Liabilities, continued

The Bonds bear annual interest at rates varying between 2.00% and 3.25%. The Bonds were issued as a fully registered note in denominations of \$5,000. Interest on the bonds are payable semi-annually each September 1 and March 1. Principal is paid in annual installments each September 1, 2015, through the year 2036 in amounts ranging from \$90,000 through \$250,000. The outstanding principal balance as of June 30, 2015 was \$4,040,000.

					Fiduciary Ac	tivities					
Year Ending	2015 R	efundin	g		2015 Refun	ding Bond	ds				
June 30,	Во	onds			Disc	ount		Total			
	Principal		Interest	Р	Principal	Int	erest		Principal		Interest
2016	\$ 90,000	\$	111,475	\$	(1,620)	\$	-	\$	88,380	\$	111,475
2017	150,000		105,450		(1,620)		-		148,380		105,450
2018	150,000		102,450		(1,620)		-		148,380		102,450
2019	155,000		99,400		(1,620)		-		153,380		99,400
2020	155,000		96,300		(1,620)		-		153,380		96,300
2021-2025	835,000		432,600		(8,100)		-		826,900		432,600
2026-2030	930,000		322,000		(8,100)		-		921,900		322,000
2031-2035	1,085,000		168,850		(8,100)		-		1,076,900		168,850
2036-2040	490,000		16,088		(3,242)				486,758		
Total	\$ 4,040,000	\$	1,454,613	\$	(35,642)	\$		\$	4,004,358	\$	1,438,525
Due within one year	\$ 90,000	\$	111,475	\$	(1,620)	\$	-	\$	88,380	\$	111,475
Due after one year	3,950,000		1,343,138		(34,022)				3,915,978		1,327,050
Total	\$ 4,040,000	\$	1,454,613	\$	(35,642)	\$		\$	4,004,358	\$	1,438,525

#### Receivable and Payable to the City of Fort Bragg

Fund Receiving Advance	Fund Making Advance		mount
Major proprietary fund:	Fiduciary fund:		
Sewer Enterprise	Successor Agency Trust Fund	\$	15,533
Fiduciary fund:	Major governmental fund:		
Successor Agency Trust Fund	Housing Successor Special Revenue Fund	\$	(37,766)
Payable to the City of Fort Bragg		\$	(22,233)

On June 30, 2010, the Redevelopment Agency Project Area special revenue fund advanced \$76,800 to the Municipal Improvement District #1 (MID) sewer enterprise fund. The term of the advance is five years with payments of interest due at 0.56% and any and all unencumbered sewer enterprise capital fees and revenue available each fiscal year shall be paid quarterly commencing September 30, 2010. Principal repayments of \$15,026 and interest of \$406 were made during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012. As of February 1, 2011 the Fort Bragg Redevelopment Agency was dissolved pursuant to California Assembly Bill 1X 26 (Bill). The assets and liabilities of the Redevelopment Agency Project Area special revenue fund were transferred to the Successor to the Fort Bragg Redevelopment Agency trust fund. Currently the quarterly payments are paid to the Successor Agency trust fund.

# 13. SUCCESSOR AGENCY TRUST FOR ASSETS OF FORMER REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY, Continued

On June 10, 2010 the Redevelopment Agency Project Area fund borrowed \$528,297 from the Redevelopment Agency Low and Moderate Housing fund to help finance the Agency's 2010 commitment to the Supplemental Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund (SERAF). An additional amount of \$110,101 was borrowed on June 10, 2011 to finance the Agency's 2011 SERAF commitment. Principal repayments of \$128,924 and interest of \$2,680 were made during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012. As part of the dissolution of the Fort Bragg Redevelopment Agency the cash assets and the liabilities of the Agency's Low and Moderate Housing fund were transferred to the Successor Agency Low and Moderate Income Housing special revenue fund. Currently the California Department of Finance has suspended payments on all interfund loans between the Successor Agency trust fund and the Successor Agency Low and Moderate Income Housing special revenue fund until the Successor Agency has received a Finding of Completion. The Fort Bragg Redevelopment Successor Agency was issued Finding of Completion on October 12, 2013.

#### 14. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

#### Litigation

The City is involved in litigation incurred in the normal course of conducting City business. Although the outcome of these lawsuits is not presently determinable, in the opinion of the City's counsel, the resolution of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of the City.

#### **Grants and Allocations**

Amounts received or receivable from grant agencies are subject to audit and adjustment by grantor agencies, principally the federal and state government. Any disallowed claims, including amounts already collected, may constitute a liability of the applicable funds. The amount, if any, of expenditures that may be disallowed by the grantor cannot be determined at this time, although the City expects such amounts, if any, to be immaterial.

#### **Commitments**

The City had no significant unexpended contractual commitments as of June 30, 2015.

#### 15. NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

The GASB has issued Statement No. 72, "Fair Value Measurement and Application". The objective of this Statement is to improve financial reporting by clarifying the definition of fair value for financial reporting purposes, establishing general principles for measuring fair value, providing additional fair value application guidance, and enhancing disclosures about fair value measurements. These improvements are based in part on the concepts and definitions established in Concepts Statement No. 6, Measurement of Elements of Financial Statements, and other relevant literature. The requirements of this Statement are effective for financial statements for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2015. The City will implement this statement, as applicable, to its financial statements for the year ending June 30, 2016.

#### 15. NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS, Continued

The GASB has issued Statement No. 73, "Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions and Related Assets That Are Not within the Scope of GASB Statement 68, and Amendments to Certain Provisions of GASB Statements 67 and 68". The objective of this Statement is to improve the usefulness of information about pensions included in the general purpose external financial reports of state and local governments for making decisions and assessing accountability. This Statement results from a comprehensive review of the effectiveness of existing standards of accounting and financial reporting for all postemployment benefits with regard to providing decision-useful information, supporting assessments of accountability and interperiod equity, and creating additional transparency. This Statement establishes requirements for defined benefit pensions that are not within the scope of Statement No. 68, "Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions", as well as for the assets accumulated for purposes of providing those pensions. In addition, it establishes requirements for defined contribution pensions that are not within the scope of Statement 68. It also amends certain provisions of Statement No. 67, "Financial Reporting for Pension Plans", and Statement 68 for pension plans and pensions that are within their respective scopes.

The requirements of this Statement that address accounting and financial reporting by employers and governmental nonemployer contributing entities for pensions that are not within the scope of Statement 68 are effective for financial statements for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2016, and the requirements of this Statement that address financial reporting for assets accumulated for purposes of providing those pensions are effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2015. The requirements of this Statement for pension plans that are within the scope of Statement 67 or for pensions that are within the scope of Statement 68 are effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2015. The City will evaluate the applicability of the provisions of this Statement and implement it in the applicable year, accordingly.

The GASB has issued Statement No. 74, "Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans". The objective of this Statement is to improve the usefulness of information about postemployment benefits other than pensions (other postemployment benefits or OPEB) included in the general purpose external financial reports of state and local governmental OPEB plans for making decisions and assessing accountability. This Statement results from a comprehensive review of the effectiveness of existing standards of accounting and financial reporting for all postemployment benefits (pensions and OPEB) with regard to providing decision-useful information, supporting assessments of accountability and interperiod equity, and creating additional transparency. This Statement replaces Statements No. 43,

"Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans", as amended, and No. 57, OPEB Measurements by Agent Employers and Agent Multiple-Employer Plans. It also includes requirements for defined contribution OPEB plans that replace the requirements for those OPEB plans in Statement No. 25, Financial Reporting for Defined Benefit Pension Plans and Note Disclosures for Defined Contribution Plans, as amended, Statement 43, and Statement No. 50, Pension Disclosures. The provisions in Statement 74 are effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2016. The City will implement this Statement in fiscal year ending June 30, 2017.

#### 15. NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS, Continued

The GASB has issued Statement No. 75, "Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions". The primary objective of this Statement is to improve accounting and financial reporting by state and local governments for postemployment benefits other than pensions (other postemployment benefits or OPEB). It also improves information provided by state and local governmental employers about financial support for OPEB that is provided by other entities. This Statement results from a comprehensive review of the effectiveness of existing standards of accounting and financial reporting for all postemployment benefits (pensions and OPEB) with regard to providing decision-useful information, supporting assessments of accountability and interperiod equity, and creating additional transparency. This Statement replaces the requirements of Statements No. 45, "Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions", as amended, and No. 57, "OPEB Measurements by Agent Employers and Agent Multiple-Employer Plans", for OPEB. Statement No. 74, "Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans", establishes new accounting and financial reporting requirements for OPEB plans. The provisions in Statement 75 are effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2017. The City will implement this statement, as applicable, to its financial statements for the year ending June 30, 2018.

The GASB has issued Statement No. 76, "The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles for State and Local Governments". The objective of this Statement is to identify—in the context of the current governmental financial reporting environment—the hierarchy of generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The "GAAP hierarchy" consists of the sources of accounting principles used to prepare financial statements of state and local governmental entities in conformity with GAAP and the framework for selecting those principles. This Statement reduces the GAAP hierarchy to two categories of authoritative GAAP and addresses the use of authoritative and nonauthoritative literature in the event that the accounting treatment for a transaction or other event is not specified within a source of authoritative GAAP. This Statement supersedes Statement No. 55, "The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles for State and Local Governments". The requirements of this Statement are effective for financial statements for periods beginning after June 15, 2015, and should be applied retroactively. The City will implement this statement, as applicable, to its financial statements for the year ending June 30, 2016.

The GASB has issued Statement No. 77, "Tax Abatement Disclosures". This information is intended, among other things, to assist these users of financial statements in assessing (1) whether a government's current-year revenues were sufficient to pay for current-year services (known as interperiod equity), (2) whether a government complied with finance-related legal and contractual obligations, (3) where a government's financial resources come from and how it uses them, and (4) a government's financial position and economic condition and how they have changed over time. The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015. The City will implement this statement, as applicable, to its financial statements for the year ending June 30, 2017.

## REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION



## City of Fort Bragg, California Required Supplementary Information - Schedule of Funding Progress June 30, 2015

Other Post Employment Benefits

			Unfunded			
	Actuarial	Actuarial	Liability		Annual	UAAL as a
	Accrued	Value of	(Excess	Funded	Covered	% of
Valuation	Liability	Assets	Assets)	Status	Payroll	Payroll
Date	(a)	(b)	(a)-(b)	(b)/(a)	(c)	[(a)-(b)]/(c)
1/1/2010	\$ 856,135	\$ -	\$ 8,562,135	0.0%	\$ 3,383,762	253.0%
6/30/2011	4,693,481		4,693,481	0.0%	3,321,101	141.3%
6/30/2013	5,790,855	672,100	5,118,755	11.6%	2,670,713	191.7%

## **Required Supplementary Information - Schedule of Contributions**

Miscellaneous Plan

Last 10 Fiscal Years\*

	 2014
Contractually required contribution (actuarially determined)	\$ 263,429
Contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contributions	 (263,429)
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$ 
Covered-employee payroll	\$ 2,389,021
Contribution as a percentage of covered-employee payroll	11.03%

<sup>1)</sup> Covered employee payroll represents compensation earnable and pensionable compensation. Only compensation earnable and pensionable compensation that would possibly go into the determination of retirement benefits are included.

<sup>\* -</sup> Fiscal year 2015 was the first year of implementation, therefore only the first year was available.

## **Required Supplementary Information - Schedule of Contributions**

PEPRA Miscellaneous Plan

Last 10 Fiscal Years\*

	 2014
Contractually required contribution (actuarially determined)	\$ 10,052
Contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contributions	 (10,052)
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$ 
Covered-employee payroll	\$ 37,212
Contribution as a percentage of covered-employee payroll	27.01%

<sup>1)</sup> Covered employee payroll represents compensation earnable and pensionable compensation. Only compensation earnable and pensionable compensation that would possibly go into the determination of retirement benefits are included.

<sup>\* -</sup> Fiscal year 2015 was the first year of implementation, therefore only the first year was available.

## **Required Supplementary Information - Schedule of Contributions**

Safety Police Plan

Last 10 Fiscal Years\*

	 2014
Contractually required contribution (actuarially determined)	\$ 285,300
Contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contributions	 (285,300)
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$ 
Covered-employee payroll	\$ 1,063,646
Contribution as a percentage of covered-employee payroll	26.82%

- 1) Covered employee payroll represents compensation earnable and pensionable compensation. Only compensation earnable and pensionable compensation that would possibly go into the determination of retirement benefits are included.
- \* Fiscal year 2015 was the first year of implementation, therefore only the first year was available.

## **Required Supplementary Information - Schedule of Contributions**

PEPRA Safety Police Plan

Last 10 Fiscal Years\*

	 2014
Contractually required contribution (actuarially determined)	\$ 14,329
Contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contributions	 (14,329)
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$ 
Covered-employee payroll	\$ 57,040
Contribution as a percentage of covered-employee payroll	25.12%

<sup>1)</sup> Covered employee payroll represents compensation earnable and pensionable compensation. Only compensation earnable and pensionable compensation that would possibly go into the determination of retirement benefits are included.

<sup>\* -</sup> Fiscal year 2015 was the first year of implementation, therefore only the first year was available.

## **Required Supplementary Information - Schedule of Contributions**

Safety Fire Plan

Last 10 Fiscal Years\*

	 2014
Contractually required contribution (actuarially determined)	\$ 1,966
Contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contributions	 (1,966)
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$ 
Covered-employee payroll	N/A
Contribution as a percentage of covered-employee payroll	N/A

<sup>1)</sup> Covered employee payroll represents compensation earnable and pensionable compensation. Only compensation earnable and pensionable compensation that would possibly go into the determination of retirement benefits are included.

<sup>\* -</sup> Fiscal year 2015 was the first year of implementation, therefore only the first year was available.

# Required Supplementary Information - Schedule of the City's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability

Miscellaneous Plan

Last 10 Fiscal Years\*

	2	014
Plan's Proportion of the Net Pension Liability/(Asset)		0.04472%
Plan's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability/(Asset)	\$	2,782,487
Plan's Covered-Employee Payroll	\$	2,389,021
Plan's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability/(Asset) as a Percentage of its Covered-Employee Payroll		116.47%
Plan's Proportionate Share of the Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Plan's Total Pension Liability		82.66%
Plan's Proportionate Share of Aggregate Employer Contribution	\$	358,600

<sup>1)</sup> Covered employee payroll represents compensation earnable and pensionable compensation. Only compensation earnable and pensionable compensation that would possibly go into the determination of retirement benefits are included.

<sup>\* -</sup> Fiscal year 2015 was the first year of implementation, therefore only the first year was available.

## $\label{lem:condition} \textbf{Required Supplementary Information - Schedule of the City's Proportionate}$

## **Share of the Net Pension Liability**

PEPRA Miscellaneous Plan

Last 10 Fiscal Years\*

	 2014
Plan's Proportion of the Net Pension Liability/(Asset)	0.00000%
Plan's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability/(Asset)	\$ 51
Plan's Covered-Employee Payroll	\$ 37,212
Plan's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability/(Asset) as a Percentage of its Covered-Employee Payroll	0.14%
Plan's Proportionate Share of the Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Plan's Total Pension Liability	82.89%
Plan's Proportionate Share of Aggregate Employer Contribution	\$ 7

<sup>1)</sup> Covered employee payroll represents compensation earnable and pensionable compensation. Only compensation earnable and pensionable compensation that would possibly go into the determination of retirement benefits are included.

<sup>\* -</sup> Fiscal year 2015 was the first year of implementation, therefore only the first year was available.

# Required Supplementary Information - Schedule of the City's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability

Safety Police Plan

Last 10 Fiscal Years\*

	 2014
Plan's Proportion of the Net Pension Liability/(Asset)	0.05059%
Plan's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability/(Asset)	\$ 3,148,254
Plan's Covered-Employee Payroll	\$ 1,063,646
Plan's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability/(Asset) as a Percentage of its Covered-Employee Payroll	295.99%
Plan's Proportionate Share of the Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Plan's Total Pension Liability	74.36%
Plan's Proportionate Share of Aggregate Employer Contribution	\$ 258,436

<sup>1)</sup> Covered employee payroll represents compensation earnable and pensionable compensation. Only compensation earnable and pensionable compensation that would possibly go into the determination of retirement benefits are included.

<sup>\* -</sup> Fiscal year 2015 was the first year of implementation, therefore only the first year was available.

# Required Supplementary Information - Schedule of the City's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability

PEPRA Safety Police Plan

Last 10 Fiscal Years\*

	 2014
Plan's Proportion of the Net Pension Liability/(Asset)	0.00000%
Plan's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability/(Asset)	\$ 53
Plan's Covered-Employee Payroll	\$ 57,040
Plan's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability/(Asset) as a Percentage of its Covered-Employee Payroll	0.09%
Plan's Proportionate Share of the Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Plan's Total Pension Liability	81.34%
Plan's Proportionate Share of Aggregate Employer Contribution	\$ 7

<sup>1)</sup> Covered employee payroll represents compensation earnable and pensionable compensation. Only compensation earnable and pensionable compensation that would possibly go into the determination of retirement benefits are included.

<sup>\* -</sup> Fiscal year 2015 was the first year of implementation, therefore only the first year was available.

# Required Supplementary Information - Schedule of the City's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability

Safety Fire Plan

Last 10 Fiscal Years\*

	 2014
Plan's Proportion of the Net Pension Liability/(Asset)	0.00090%
Plan's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability/(Asset)	\$ 55,890
Plan's Covered-Employee Payroll	N/A
Plan's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability/(Asset) as a Percentage of its Covered-Employee Payroll	N/A
Plan's Proportionate Share of the Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Plan's Total Pension Liability	81.42%
Plan's Proportionate Share of Aggregate Employer Contribution	\$ 6,933

<sup>1)</sup> Covered employee payroll represents compensation earnable and pensionable compensation. Only compensation earnable and pensionable compensation that would possibly go into the determination of retirement benefits are included.

<sup>\* -</sup> Fiscal year 2015 was the first year of implementation, therefore only the first year was available.

COMBINING AND INDIVIDUAL FUND STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULES



This page intentionally left blank.



## NON-MAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

Fund Type	Description
Special Revenue	These funds account for restricted revenues (for specified purposes).
Capital Projects Funds	These funds account for construction or acquisition of governmental capital assets (capital outlay).

**Combining Balance Sheet** 

**Nonmajor Governmental Funds** 

June 30, 2015

	Governmental Funds			
	Special	Capital	Non-Major	
	Revenue Funds	Projects Funds	Funds Totals	
ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$ 1,582,326	\$ 65,089	\$ 1,647,415	
Receivables:				
Intergovernmental	2,964,316	-	2,964,316	
Other receivable	39,623		39,623	
Total assets	\$ 4,586,265	\$ 65,089	\$ 4,651,354	
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS AND FUND BALANCES				
Liabilities:				
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 164,679	\$ 444,862	\$ 609,541	
Due to other funds	2,859,653	404,009	3,263,662	
Total liabilities:	3,024,332	848,871	3,873,203	
Deferred inflows of resources:				
Unavailable revenue	110,051	7,421	117,472	
Total deferred inflows of resources	110,051	7,421	117,472	
Total liabilities and deferred inflows	3,134,383	856,292	3,990,675	
Fund Balances:				
Restricted	724,300	-	724,300	
Assigned	873,990	45,157	919,147	
Unassigned (deficit)	(146,408)	(836,360)	(982,768)	
Total fund balances	1,451,882	(791,203)	660,679	
Total liabilities, deferred inflows and fund balances	\$ 4,586,265	\$ 65,089	\$ 4,651,354	

## **Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances**

## **Nonmajor Governmental Funds**

For the year ended June 30, 2015

	Governmental Funds					
	Special		Capital		Non-Major	
	Revenue F	unds	Projects Fu	nds	Fu	nds Totals
REVENUES:						_
Taxes and assessments	\$ 47	7,226	\$	-	\$	47,226
Fines and forfeitures	160	),758		-		160,758
Intergovernmental	6,615	5,479	10	,654		6,626,133
Use of money and property	13	3,288		-		13,288
Charges for services	39	9,194		-		39,194
Other revenues		9				9
Total revenues	6,875	5,954	10	,654		6,886,608
EXPENDITURES:						
Current:						
General government		71		_		71
Public safety	51	1,416		-		51,416
Public works	2,021	1,329		-		2,021,329
Community development	464	1,453		-		464,453
Capital outlay		<u> </u>	4,875	,786		4,875,786
Total expenditures	2,537	7,269	4,875	,786		7,413,055
REVENUES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	4,338	3,685	(4,865	,132)		(526,447)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):						
Transfers in	935	5,793	4,529	,038		5,464,831
Transfers out	(5,260	),343)	(319	,404)		(5,579,747)
Total other financing	(1.22	4.770)				(111010)
sources (uses)	(4,324	1,550)	4,209	,634		(114,916)
REVENUES AND OTHER FINANCING SOURCES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES AND OTHER				100)		(514.050)
FINANCING (USES)	14	4,135	(655)	,498)		(641,363)
FUND BALANCES:						
Beginning of year	1,437	7,747	(135	,705)		1,302,042
End of year	\$ 1,451		`	,203)	\$	660,679
Life of year	Ψ 1,43	1,002	ψ (751)	,203)	Ψ	000,079

This page intentionally left blank.



## NON-MAJOR SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS

Fund	Description
committed to expenditure for specified purposes e	eived that have special restrictions placed on their use or are ither through statute or by Council policy. The City has a number of he non-operating budget. The City's nonmajor special revenue funds
General Plan Maintenance Fee	To account for General Plan Maintenance fee revenue which is required to be used for costs related to the update of the City's General Plan and zoning code.
Housing Trust	To account for Inclusionary Housing In-Lieu fee revenue which is required to be used for affordable housing activities.
Parking	To account for parking permit revenues and the cost of maintaining City owned public parking lots.
Parking In-Lieu Fees	To account for payments made by downtown property owners in lieu of providing on-site parking. Accumulated funds must be used for activities related to providing off-street parking facilities in the central business district.
Parkland Monitoring and Reporting	To account for payments made by Georgia Pacific for monitoring and maintenance of the Coastal Trail remediation area.
State Tobacco License Fee	To account for funds received for the annual Tobacco Retail License to cover costs associated with administration of the licensing program and compliance checks.
State Disability Access Fee	To account for funds received under California SB-1186 which mandates a state fee of \$1 on any applicant for or renewal of a local business license. The purpose is to increase disability access and compliance with construction-related accessibility requirements and to develop educational resources for businesses in order to facilitate compliance with federal and state disability laws.
Asset Forfeiture	To account for monies obtained from seized assets of criminal activities. The funds are used solely to support law enforcement purposes.

(continued)

Fund	Description
Construction/Demolition Ordinance Revenue	To account for deposits that are made in accordance with the City's Construction & Demolition Ordinance. Deposit are refunded if recycling goals are met. Otherwise, the deposits are forfeited and retained in the special revenue fund. The funds will be used to improve construction and demolition waste recycling facilities, education, and programs within the City.
Waste Management Community Benefit Payment	To account for contract extension fee received from Waste Management. The City Council has designated these funds for the community benefit purposes.
Highway User Gas Taxes	To account for the City's share of State of California's Highway User Tax collected by the State that are legally restricted to the maintenance and improvement of City roads and streets.
Traffic & Safety	To account for the revenue received from traffic fines which is restricted to City street repairs and traffic safety.
Mendocino Council of Governments (MCOG) Funds	To account for monies received from the Mendocino Council of Governments (MCOG), a joint powers agency that serves as the Regional Transportation Planning Agency in Mendocino County for various projects including the Main Street Realignment and Cedar Street Pedestrian Enhancement projects.
Mendocino Council of Governments (MCOG) Overall Work Program (OWP) Grants	To account for local transportation funds awarded for transportation planning and technical assistance.
Fire Equipment	To account for property tax revenues designated for the purchase of equipment for the Fort Bragg Fire Department.
Franklin Street Intersection Evaluation	To account for monies received from the Mendocino Council of Governments (MCOG) for traffic evaluation on Franklin Street.
North Coast Integrated Water Management Grant	To account for funds awarded by the State of California to NCIWM (now know as North Coast Resource Partnership) and sub-granted to the City. Prior funding was used for the Waterfall Gulch Raw Water Line Replacement project. Current funding provided by Prop. 84 was awarded for the Summers Lane Reservoir Project.

(continued)

Fund	Description
Noyo Center for Marine Sciences	To account for monies received from the California State Coastal Conservancy and the California Coastal Commission for the establishment of the Noyo Center for Marine Sciences.
Federal and State Grant Special Revenue F	unds:
Safe Routes to School	To account for a federal grant providing funding to improve the conditions and quality of bicycling and walking to school, as well as to educate the community about safety, health and environmental benefits of non-vehicular transport.
Community Development Block Grant Funds	The Community Development Block Grant program provides competitive grants to enables local government to undertake a wide range of activities intended to create suitable living environments, provide decent affordable house, and create economic opportunities, primarily for persons of low and moderate income.
Sustainable Communities Grant	To account for monies received from Prop 84 Funds to complete the City's Climate Action Plan and a Sustainability Element for the General Plan.
OWP Grants	To account for local transportation funds awarded for transportation planning and technical assistance.
COPS AB1913	To account for monies received from the State or law enforcement services under the Citizens Option for Public Safety (COPS) Program. [the CSO funding was expended long ago; future funding may be acquired]
Bulletproof Vest Partnership Grant	To account for monies received under the Bulletproof Vest Partnership Grant Act. This program is designed to pay up to 50% of the cost of National Institute of Justice (NIJ) compliant armored vests purchases for local law enforcement.
Statewide Park Program (Prop 84 Funds)	To account for Proposition 84 funds of \$4.85 million awarded for the construction of the Fort Bragg Coastal Restoration and Trail Project.

(continued)

Fund	Description
Federal Appropriation/California Department of Transportation (CalTrans) Planning Grant	To account for 2006 Housing & Urban Development and CalTrans monies received for the Coastal Trail project on the former Georgia Pacific mill site.
STBG Housing Rehabilitation Grant	To account for 2005 CDBG funding awarded under the General Allocation for a Housing Rehabilitation Program and a Storm Drainage public improvement activity.
CDBG General Allocation Grant	To account for CDBG grants awarded under the 2009 Recovery Act allocation for a housing project rehabilitation and under the 2010 General Allocation for two housing programs, public facility improvements, and a public service program.
CalTrans North Coastal Trail Grant	To account for monies received from CalTrans for construction of the North Coastal Trail on the former Georgia Pacific mill site.
EDBG Planning and Technology Grants	To account for CDBG planning and technical assistance grants awarded from the Economic Development Allocation through June 2013.
CDBG Enterprise	To account for CDBG Enterprise Fund awards for economic development activities including Business Assistance Loan Programs and Microenterprise Assistance Programs, until June 2013.
CDBG Planning and Technology Grants	To account for CDBG planning and technical assistance grants awarded from the General Allocation through December 2013.
Bicycle Transportation Grant	To account for grant monies received from CalTrans for completion of the "signs and lines" bicycle safety striping project for bicycle lanes within City limits.
STP D1 Streets & Highways Allocation	To account for the City's share of highways users' tax revenues that are legally restricted to the planning, construction, improvement, maintenance and operation of City roads and streets.

Fund	Description
2012 CDBG SuperNOFA	To account for Federal Community Development Block Grant funds received from the State of California to be used for the Homeless and Mental Health Intervention Program.
Noyo Center Land Acquisition	To account for monies received from the Coastal Conservancy for the acquisition of the Noyo Center Land on the former Georgia Pacific Mill site.
<b>Coastal Conservancy Grant</b>	To account for monies received from the California State Coastal Conservancy to complete the Noyo Headlands park construction.
State Parks Prop 84 Grant	To account for monies received from the State of California for construction of the Fort Bragg Coastal Restoration and Trail project.
CalTrans Federal Funding Grants	To account for Federal funds received from Cal Trans to be used in the construction of the Chestnut Street Multi-Use Trail.
HCD HOME Grant	To account for Federal Funding to be used to re-establish a Housing Rehabilitation Loan program for qualified low- and moderate-income homeowners.

(concluded)

## City of Fort Bragg, California Combining Balance Sheet Nonmajor Special Revenue Funds June 30, 2015

	neral Plan aintenance Fee	I	Housing	1	Parking	rking eu Fees
ASSETS						
Cash and investments	\$ 176,687	\$	93,174	\$	48,225	\$ 52
Receivables: Intergovernmental						
Other receivable	-		-		-	-
Total assets	\$ 176,687	\$	93,174	\$	48,225	\$ 52
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS AND FUND BALANCES						
Liabilities:						
Accounts payable	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
Due to other funds	-		-		-	 -
Total liabilities:	 					 
Deferred inflows of resources:						
Unavailable revenue	 -		-		-	 
Total deferred inflows of resources:	 					 
Total liabilities and deferred inflows	 -		-		-	 
Fund Balances: Restricted						
Assigned	176,687		93,174		48,225	52
Unassigned (deficit)	-		-			-
Total fund balances	176,687		93,174		48,225	 52
Total liabilities deferred inflows and fund balances	\$ 176,687	\$	93,174	\$	48,225	\$ 52

M	Parkland onitoring/ Reporting	T	State obacco eense Fee	Di	State sability cess Fee	F	Asset Forfeiture	De	astruction/ emolition rdinance	Co	Waste anagement community nefit Pymt	(	Gas Tax
\$	123,917	\$	5,363	\$	1,932	\$	649,938	\$	45,339	\$	187,108	\$	37,265
	-		-		1		1,780		-		-		30,376
\$	123,917	\$	5,363	\$	1,933	\$	651,718	\$	45,339	\$	187,108	\$	67,641
\$	436 - 436	\$	- - -	\$	238	\$	29,981 - 29,981	\$	- - -	\$	- - -	\$	- - -
_	<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>		<u>-</u> -		<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>
	436 - 123,481		5,363		1,695		29,981 621,737		45,339		187,108		67,641
\$	123,481 123,917	\$	5,363 5,363	\$	1,695 1,933	\$	621,737	\$	45,339 45,339	\$	187,108 187,108	\$	67,641

(continued)

# **City of Fort Bragg, California** Combining Balance Sheet

Nonmajor Special Revenue Funds, continued

June 30, 2015

ASSETS		Γraffic d Safety	MCOG Main St Planning		Ove	COG erall Program
Cash and investments	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
Receivables:	Ψ		Ψ		Ψ	
Intergovernmental		2,271		-		-
Other receivable		-		262		_
Total assets	\$	2,271	\$	262	\$	
AND FUND BALANCES						
Liabilities:						
Accounts payable		-		-		-
Due to other funds		-		-		
Total liabilities:		-		-		
Deferred inflows of resources:						
Unavailable revenue  Total deferred inflows of resources:		_		-		
Total liabilities and deferred inflows						
Fund Balances: Restricted						
Assigned		2,271		262		_
Unassigned (deficit)		2,2/1 -		-		_
Total fund balances		2,271		262	•	
Total liabilities deferred inflows and fund balances	\$	2,271	\$	262	\$	_

E	Fire quipment	Franklin St Intersection Evaluation		North C Integra Wate Manage	ited er	fo	yo Center r Marine ciences	State and deral Grant Funds		Totals
	187,214	\$	-	\$	-		360	\$ 25,752	\$	1,582,326
	3,119		-		-		- 36,242	2,929,888		2,964,316 39,623
\$	190,333	\$	-	\$	_	\$	36,602	\$ 2,955,640	\$	4,586,265
	- - -		- - -		- - -		24,049 - 24,049	\$ 109,975 2,859,653 2,969,628	\$	164,679 2,859,653 3,024,332
	<u>-</u> -		<u>-</u> -		<u>-</u> -		32,400 32,400 56,449	77,651 77,651 3,047,279		110,051 110,051 3,134,383
	190,333		- - -		- - -		(19,847)	34,922 - (126,561)		724,300 873,990 (146,408)
	190,333	Φ.		Ф.			(19,847)	 (91,639)	Φ.	1,451,882
\$	190,333	\$		\$		\$	36,602	\$ 2,955,640	\$	4,586,265

## **Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances**

Nonmajor Special Revenue Funds For the year ended June 30, 2015

	General Plan Maintenance Fee		H	Housing		arking	arking eu Fees
REVENUES:							
Taxes and assessments	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
Fines and forfeitures		-		-		-	=
Intergovernmental		2.002		- 026		-	-
Use of money and property		2,002		926		551	-
Charges for services Other revenues		39,194		-		-	-
Total revenues		41,196		926		551	
EXPENDITURES:							
Current:							
General government		-		-		-	-
Public safety		-		-		-	-
Public works		-		-		-	-
Community development							 8,184
Total expenditures		-					 8,184
REVENUES OVER (UNDER)							
EXPENDITURES		41,196		926		551	 (8,184)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):							0.200
Transfers in Transfers out		-		-		(0.200)	8,200
		(65,667)				(8,200)	 
Total other financing sources and uses		(65,667)		-		(8,200)	8,200
REVENUES AND OTHER FINANCING SOURCES OVER (UNDER)							
EXPENDITURES AND OTHER							
FINANCING (USES)		(24,471)		926		(7,649)	16
FUND BALANCES (DEFICITS):							
Beginning of year		201,158		92,248		55,874	36
End of year	\$	176,687	\$	93,174	\$	48,225	\$ 52

Parkland Monitoring/ Reporting	State Tobacco License Fee	State Disability Access Fee	Asset Forfeiture	Construction/ Demolition Ordinance	Waste Management Community Benefit Pymt	Gas Tax
\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,637
-	-	-	149,041	3,937	-	-
1,231	2,013 60	613 17	6,118	- 447	-	219,790
1,231	-	-	0,118	447	-	-
1,231	2,073	630	155,159	4,384		223,427
- - 436 - - 436	2,200	29 - - - - 29	49,910 - - 49,910	- - - - -	- - - - -	- - - - -
795	(127)	601	105,249	4,384		223,427
	- 	(2)	12 (146,395)	<u>-</u>	(117,892)	(195,000)
-	-	(2)	(146,383)	-	(117,892)	(195,000)
795	(127)	599	(41,134)	4,384	(117,892)	28,427
122,686	5,490	1,096	662,871	40,955	305,000	39,214
\$ 123,481	\$ 5,363	\$ 1,695	\$ 621,737	\$ 45,339	\$ 187,108	\$ 67,641

## City of Fort Bragg, California Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances Nonmajor Special Revenue Funds, continued For the year ended June 30, 2015

		Traffic	MCOO Main S Plannin	St	MCOG Overall Work Progr	am	Eq	Fire uipment	Inte	nklin St ersection aluation
REVENUES:	Ф		¢.		Φ		Ф	42.500	ф	
Taxes and assessments	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	43,589	\$	-
Fines and forfeitures		7,780	15,6	-	4,11	-		-		-
Intergovernmental Use of money and property		-	13,0	004	4,11	3		1,936		-
Charges for services		_		_		_		1,930		_
Other revenues		_		_		_		_		_
Total revenues		7,780	15,6	584	4,11	5		45,525		_
EXPENDITURES:										
Current:										
General government		-		-		-		-		-
Public safety		-		-		-		490		-
Public works		-	130,7	779		-		-		3,551
Community development				-		_				
Total expenditures			130,7	779		_		490		3,551
REVENUES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES		7,780	(115,0	)95)	4,11	.5		45,035		(3,551)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):										
Transfers in		-	189,8	311		-		-		160
Transfers out		(7,129)		_	(3,80	<u>(4)</u>		(1,435)		
Total other financing sources and uses		(7,129)	189,8	311	(3,80	14)		(1,435)		160
REVENUES AND OTHER FINANCING SOURCES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES AND OTHER										
FINANCING (USES)		651	74,7	716	31	1		43,600		(3,391)
FUND BALANCES (DEFICITS):										
Beginning of year		1,620	(74,4	<u>154)</u>	(31	1)		146,733		3,391
End of year	\$	2,271	\$ 2	262	\$	_	\$	190,333	\$	_

North Coast Integrated Water Management	Noyo Center for Marine Sciences	State and Federal Grant Funds	Totals
\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 47,226
ψ -	ψ -	<b>.</b>	160,758
155,755	22,472	6,195,037	6,615,479
-	, . , -	-	13,288
-	_	_	39,194
	9		9
155,755	22,481	6,195,037	6,875,954
42	-	-	71
-	-	1,016	51,416
-	173,001	1,711,362	2,021,329
		456,269	464,453
42	173,001	2,168,647	2,537,269
155,713	(150,520)	4,026,390	4,338,685
-	134,657	602,953	935,793
(155,713)		(4,559,106)	(5,260,343)
(155,713)	134,657	(3,956,153)	(4,324,550)
-	(15,863)	70,237	14,135
<del>-</del>	(3,984)	(161,876)	1,437,747
\$ -	\$ (19,847)	\$ (91,639)	\$ 1,451,882

## City of Fort Bragg, California Combining Balance Sheet Federal and State Grant Special Revenue Funds June 30, 2015

ASSETS	Safe Routes to School		CDBG Unclassified Program Income		Sustainable Communities Grant		OWP	Grants
Cash and investments	\$	-	\$	19,805	\$	-	\$	-
Receivables:		786						
Intergovernmental								
Total assets	\$	786	\$	19,805	\$		\$	
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS AND FUND BALANCES Liabilities: Accounts payable Due to other funds	\$	- -	\$	<u>-</u>	\$	- -	\$	- -
Total liabilities		-						
Deferred inflows of resources:	'			_				
Unavailable revenue  Total deferred inflows of resources:								
Total liabilities and deferred inflows		_						
Fund Balances:								
Restricted		786		19,805		-		-
Unassigned (deficit)		-						
Total fund balances		786		19,805				
Total liabilities deferred inflows and fund balances	\$	786	\$	19,805	\$		\$	

		Prop 84 Grant- Coastal Trail		CalTrans Planning Grant	Ho Reha	TBG ousing bilitation Grant	CDBG General Allocation Grants		CalTrans North Coastal Trail Grant		Planni Techr	BG ng and nology ants	
\$ 4,307	\$	1,568	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	72	\$	-	\$	-
8,456		-		-		<u>.                                      </u>			943,714		-		
\$ 12,763	\$	1,568	\$	_	\$ -	\$		\$	943,786	\$		\$	
\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	41,427 903,995	\$	-	\$	-
-		-		-			-		945,422		-		-
									19,472				
 -						<u> </u>			19,472				
 -					-				964,894				
12,763		1,568		-	-		-		-		-		-
 -						<u> </u>			(21,108)				
 12,763		1,568		-		<u> </u>			(21,108)				-
\$ 12,763	\$	1,568	\$	-	\$ -	\$	_	\$	943,786	\$	_	\$	_

(continued)

## City of Fort Bragg, California Combining Balance Sheet Federal and State Grant Special Revenue Funds, Continued June 30, 2015

	CD Enter	Planni Techr	BG ng and nology ants	Transpo	ycle ortation ant	Stre Hi	TP D1 eets and ghway ocation	
ASSETS								
Cash and investments	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Receivables:								
Intergovernmental								
Total assets	\$		\$	-	\$		\$	
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS AND FUND BALANCES Liabilities:								
Accounts payable	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
Due to other funds						_		40,307
Total liabilities				_				40,307
<b>Deferred inflows of resources:</b> Unavailable revenue								
Total deferred inflows of resources:		-		-		-		-
Total liabilities and deferred inflows		_		_		_		40,307
Fund Balances:								
Restricted		-		-		-		-
Unassigned (deficit)								(40,307)
Total fund balances								(40,307)
Total liabilities and fund balances	\$		\$		\$		\$	

2012 CDBG perNOFA	No Center Acqui	r Land isition	Coastal Conservancy Grant		P	te Parks Trop 84 Grant	CalTr Federal F Gran	unding	ŀ	HCD HOME Grant	 Totals
\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 25,752
225,559		_		312,594	1	,438,779		_		_	2,929,888
\$ 225,559	\$		\$	312,594		,438,779	\$		\$	_	\$ 2,955,640
\$ 65,748	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	\$	_	\$	2,800	\$ 109,975
159,812		_		312,594	1	,438,799		_		4,146	2,859,653
 225,560				312,594	1	,438,799		_		6,946	 2,969,628
58,179											77,651
58,179		-		=		-		-		-	77,651
 283,739				312,594	1	,438,799				6,946	 3,047,279
_		_		_		_		_			34,922
(58,180)						(20)				(6,946)	(126,561)
(58,180)		-		-		(20)		-		(6,946)	(91,639)
\$ 225,559	\$		\$	312,594	\$ 1	,438,779	\$		\$		\$ 2,955,640

## **Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances**

**Federal and State Grant Special Revenue Funds** 

For the year ended June 30, 2015

REVENUES.		Safe outes to School	CDBG Unclassified Program Income		Sustainable Communities Grant		OWP Grants	
REVENUES:	\$	787	\$		\$		\$	
Intergovernmental Use of money and property	φ	-	Ф	_	Ф	-	φ	_
Total revenues		787						
Total Tevenues		707						
EXPENDITURES:								
Current:								
Public safety		-		-		-		-
Public works		-		-		-		968
Community development		-						
Total expenditures		-						968
REVENUES OVER (UNDER)								
EXPENDITURES		787						(968)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):								
Transfers in		43,927		-		35,667		
Transfers out		-						
Total other financing sources and uses		43,927		-		35,667		-
REVENUES AND OTHER FINANCING SOURCES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES AND OTHER FINANCING (USES)		44,714		-		35,667		(968)
FUND BALANCES (DEFICIT):								
Beginning of year		(43,928)		19,805		(35,667)		968
End of year	\$	786	\$	19,805	\$		\$	

COPS	Bulletproof Vest Partnership Grant	Prop 84 Grant- Coastal Trail	CalTrans Planning Grant	STBG Housing Rehabilitation Grant	CDBG General Allocation Grants	CalTrans North Coastal Trail Grant	EDBG Planning and Technology Grants
\$ 112,763	\$ 2,439	\$ -	\$ 344,654	\$ -	\$ 1,650,041	607,545	\$ -
112,763	2,439		344,654		1,650,041	607,545	
- - -	1,016 - -	- - 3,614	- - -	- - 53,296	1,708,282	21	-
-	1,016	3,614		53,296	1,708,282	21	
112,763	1,423	(3,614)	344,654	(53,296)	(58,241)	607,524	
(100,000)	1,523	270,523	(348,112)	(13,550)	22,645	86,055	26,410
(100,000)	1,523	270,523	(348,112)	(13,550)	22,645	86,055	26,410
12,763	2,946	266,909	(3,458)	(66,846)	(35,596)	693,579	26,410
	(1,378)	(266,909)	3,458	66,846	14,488	(693,579)	(26,410)
\$ 12,763	\$ 1,568	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (21,108)	\$ -	\$ -

(continued)

## City of Fort Bragg, California Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances Federal and State Grant Special Revenue Funds, Continued For the year ended June 30, 2015

	CDBG Enterprise	CDBG Planning and Technology Grants	Bicycle Transportation Grant	STP D1 Streets and Highway Allocation	
REVENUES:	Ф	Φ.	Φ.	Ф	
Intergovernmental	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Use of money and property					
Total revenues					
EXPENDITURES:					
Current:					
Public safety	-	<del>-</del>	-	-	
Public works	2,090	1	-	-	
Community development					
Total expenditures	2,090	1			
REVENUES OVER (UNDER)					
EXPENDITURES	(2,090)	(1)			
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):					
Transfers in	3,198	-	-	-	
Transfers out	(26,410)		(10,051)	(341,989)	
Total other financing sources and uses	(23,212)	-	(10,051)	(341,989)	
REVENUES AND OTHER FINANCING SOURCES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES AND OTHER	(27.202)	40	(40.054)	(244,000)	
FINANCING (USES)	(25,302)	(1)	(10,051)	(341,989)	
FUND BALANCES (DEFICIT):					
Beginning of year	25,302	1	10,051	301,682	
End of year	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (40,307)	

2012 CDBG SuperNOFA	Noyo Center Land Acquisition Grant	Coastal Conservancy Grant	State Parks Prop 84 Grant	CalTrans Federal Funding Grants	HCD HOME Grant	Totals
\$ 374,512	\$ -	\$ 960,911	\$ 2,128,554	\$ 12,831	\$ -	\$ 6,195,037
374,512		960,911	2,128,554	12,831		6,195,037
				,		
						1.016
-	-	-	-	-	-	1,016 1,711,362
391,124	-	1,577	-	-	6,658	456,269
391,124		1,577		-	6,658	2,168,647
(16,612)		959,334	2,128,554	12,831	(6,658)	4,026,390
106,000	916	6,089	-	_	-	602,953
		(960,911)	(2,736,119)	(21,964)		(4,559,106)
106,000	916	(954,822)	(2,736,119)	(21,964)	-	(3,956,153)
89,388	916	4,512	(607,565)	(9,133)	(6,658)	70,237
(147,568)	(916)	(4,512)	607,545	9,133	(288)	(161,876)
\$ (58,180)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (20)		\$ (6,946)	\$ (91,639)
				: <del></del>		

(concluded)

## City of Fort Bragg, California Combining Balance Sheet Nonmajor Capital Projects Funds June 30, 2015

A COPTEC		Otis Johnson Park		Skate Park		Coastal Trail		Noyo Center for Marine Sciences	
ASSETS									
Cash and investments Due from other funds	\$	17,421 -	\$	413	\$	- -	\$	<u>-</u>	
Total assets	\$	17,421	\$	413	\$		\$		
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS AND FUND BALANCES									
Liabilities:									
Accounts payable	\$	-	\$	310	\$	432,175	\$	-	
Due to other funds		-		-		403,888		-	
Deposits payable		-		_				_	
Total liabilities:		-		310		836,063			
Deferred inflows of resources:									
Unavailable revenue		7,421		_					
Total deferred inflows of resources:		7,421		-		-		-	
Total liabilities and deferred inflows		7,421		310		836,063			
Fund Balances:									
Restricted		-		-		-		-	
Assigned		10,000		103		0			
Unassigned (deficit)		-		_		(836,063)			
<b>Total fund balances</b>		10,000		103		(836,063)			
Total liabilities deferred inflows and fund balances	\$	17,421	\$	413	\$		\$		

		Ch	estnut		
	Town		Street		
	Hall		ılti Use		
R	Remodel		Trail		Totals
\$	47,255	\$	- -	\$	65,089
\$	47,255	\$	<u>-</u>	\$	65,089
Ф	12 201	Φ	176	Φ	444.060
\$	12,201	\$	176	\$	444,862
	-		121		404,009
-	12,201	-	297		848,871
	12,201		271		040,071
	_		-		7,421
	-		-		7,421
•	12,201		297		856,292
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	_		_		_
	35,054		_		45,157
	<u> </u>		(297)		(836,360)
	35,054		(297)		(791,203)
\$	47 255	\$		\$	65 089

### Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances Nonmajor Capital Projects Funds

For the year ended June 30, 2015

	Otis Johnson Park			Skate Park	Coastal Trail	Noyo Center for Marine Sciences
REVENUES: Intergovernmental	\$	10,654	\$	_	\$ -	\$ -
Total revenues	Ψ	10,654	Ψ	<del></del>	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>
Total Tevenides		10,00				
EXPENDITURES: Current:						
Community development		-		-	-	-
Capital outlay				310	4,574,558	
Total expenditures				310	4,574,558	
REVENUES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES		10,654		(310)	(4,574,558)	
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES): Transfers in Transfers out		(3,198)		-	4,175,983 (313,549)	(2,657)
Total other financing sources and uses		(3,198)		-	3,862,434	(2,657)
REVENUES AND OTHER FINANCING SOURCES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES AND OTHER FINANCING (USES)		7,456		(310)	(712,124)	(2,657)
FUND BALANCES (DEFICITS): Beginning of year		2,544		413	(123,939)	2,657
End of year	\$	10,000	\$	103	\$ (836,063)	\$ -

Town Hall Remodel	Chestnut Street Multi Us Trail		Totals
\$ -	\$	- \$	10,654
-		-	10,654
285,407	15,5	- 11	4,875,786
285,407	15,5	11	4,875,786
(285,407)	(15,5		(4,865,132) 4,529,038 (319,404)
331,091	21,9	64	4,209,634
45,684	6,4		(655,498)
(10,630)	(6,7	50)	(135,705)
\$ 35,054	\$ (2	97) \$	(791,203)

#### **INTERNAL SERVICE FUNDS**

Fund Type	<b>Description</b>
Building Maintenance	Accounts for the maintenance of all City owned buildings
Information Technology Fund	Accounts for all activities of the City's computer networks, the costs of which are distributed among user departments using equitable formulas.
Vehicle Operations	Accounts for all activities of the City's central garage operations, the costs of which are distributed among designated user departments.

City of Fort Bragg, California Combining Statement of Net Position Internal Service Funds For the year ended June 30, 2015

		Building	formation echnology		Vehicle	
ASSETS	Ma	<u>aintenance</u>	Fund	0	perations	 Totals
Current assets:						
Cash and investments	\$	654,331	\$ 177,426	\$	386,326	\$ 1,218,083
Total current assets		654,331	177,426		386,326	1,218,083
Noncurrent assets:						
Capital assets, net			 		239,863	 239,863
<b>Total noncurrent assets</b>			_		239,863	239,863
Total assets	\$	654,331	\$ 177,426	\$	626,189	\$ 1,457,946
LIABILITIES AND NET POSITION						
Current liabilities:						
Accounts payable	\$	7,099	\$ 19,948	\$	40,883	\$ 67,930
Accrued liabilities		_	 4,227		2,993	 7,220
Total current liabilities		7,099	24,175		43,876	75,150
Noncurrent liabilities:						_
Compensated absences		-	-		-	-
Total liabilities		7,099	24,175		43,876	75,150
Net Position:						
Net investment in capital assets		-	-		239,863	239,863
Unrestricted		647,232	153,251		342,450	1,142,933
Total net position		647,232	153,251		582,313	1,382,796
Total liabilities and net position	\$	654,331	\$ 177,426	\$	626,189	\$ 1,457,946

City of Fort Bragg, California Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position Internal Service Funds For the year ended June 30, 2015

	Building Maintenance	Information Technology Fund	Vehicle Operations	Totals
OPERATING REVENUES:				
Interdepartmental charges	\$ 269,341	\$ 331,500	\$ 499,702	\$ 1,100,543
Total operating revenues	269,341	331,500	499,702	1,100,543
OPERATING EXPENSES:				
Salaries and benefits	82,349	116,792	106,503	305,644
Contractual services	-	98,398	3,814	102,212
Materials and supplies	-	7,843	78,269	86,112
Repairs and maintenance	72,424	37,445	37,151	147,020
Insurance	-	-	-	-
Allocated overhead	56,913	79,332	72,870	209,115
Depreciation			32,554	32,554
Total operating expenses	211,686	339,810	331,161	882,657
OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)	57,655	(8,310)	168,541	217,886
NONOPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES):				
Interest revenue		25	25	50
Total non-operating revenues (expenses)		25	25	50
NET INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE TRANSFERS	57,655	(8,285)	168,566	217,936
Transfers in	547,665	120,000	454,250	1,121,915
Transfers out	(275,665)	(143,000)	(407,166)	(825,831)
Total transfers	272,000	(23,000)	47,084	296,084
Change in net position	329,655	(31,285)	215,650	514,020
NET POSITION (DEFICIT):				
Beginning of year	317,577	184,536	366,663	868,776
End of year	\$ 647,232	\$ 153,251	\$ 582,313	\$ 1,382,796

## City of Fort Bragg, California Combining Statement of Cash Flows Internal Service Funds For the year ended June 30, 2015

	uilding intenance	formation echnology Fund	Vehicle perations	Totals
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:				
Cash received from interfund services provided	\$ 269,341	\$ 331,500	\$ 499,702	\$ 1,100,543
Cash paid to suppliers for goods and services	(129,010)	(206,552)	(163,114)	(498,676)
Cash paid to employees for services	 (82,349)	(115,480)	 (106,102)	(303,931)
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	 57,982	 9,468	 230,486	 297,936
CASH FLOWS FROM NONCAPITAL FINANCING ACTIVITIES:				
Transfers received	547,665	120,000	454,250	1,121,915
Transfers paid	(275,665)	(143,000)	(407,166)	(825,831)
Net cash provided (used) by noncapital financing activities	272,000	(23,000)	47,084	296,084
CASH FLOWS FROM CAPITAL AND RELATED FINANCING ACTIVITIES:				
Acquisition and construction of capital assets	 -	-	 (154,256)	(154,256)
Net cash (used) by capital and related financing activities	 	 	 (154,256)	 (154,256)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:				
Interest on investments	-	25	25	50
Net cash provided by investing activities	-	25	25	50
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	329,982	(13,507)	123,339	439,814
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS:				
Beginning of year	 324,349	190,933	 262,987	 778,269
End of year	\$ 654,331	\$ 177,426	\$ 386,326	\$ 1,218,083
Reconciliation of income from operations to net cash provided (used) by operating activities:				
Operating income (loss)	\$ 57,655	\$ (8,310)	\$ 168,541	\$ 217,886
Adjustments to reconcile operating income				
to net cash provided by operating activities:				
Depreciation	-	-	32,554	32,554
(Increase) decrease in current assets:				
Inventory	-	-	-	-
Increase (decrease) in liabilities:				
Accounts payable	327	16,466	28,990	45,783
Accrued liabilities	-	1,312	401	1,713
Compensated absences	-	 -		-
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 57,982	\$ 9,468	\$ 230,486	\$ 297,936

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these basic financial statements

This page intentionally left blank.



#### STATISTICAL SECTION

This part of the City of Fort Bragg's comprehensive annual financial report presents detailed information as a context for understanding what the information in the financial statements, footnotes, and required supplementary information says about the City's overall financial health.

Contents	Page(s)
Financial Trends	
These schedules contain trend information to help the reader understand how the City's financial performance and well-being have changed over time.	150-160
Revenue Capacity	
generate revenues. Property taxes, sales and use taxes, charges for services, licenses, permits and fees and intergovernmental revenue are the City's most significant revenue sources.	161-167
Debt Capacity	
These schedules contain information to help the reader assess the affordability of the City's current levels of outstanding debt and the City's ability to issue additional debt	168-176
Demographic and Economic Information	
These schedules offer demographic and economic indicators to help the reader understand the environment within which the City's financial activities take place.	178-179
Operating Information	
These schedules contain service and infrastructure data to help the reader understand how the information in the City's financial report relates to the services the City provides and the activities it performs.	180-182

**Net Position by Component** 


**Last Ten Fiscal Years** 

(Fiscal year ended June 30)


(Accrual basis of accounting)

(In Thousands)

	2006	2007	2008	2009
Governmental activities				 
Net investment in capital assets	\$ 3,209	\$ 5,356	\$ 8,522	\$ 13,862
Restricted	1,980	2,464	2,912	3,822
Unrestricted	 5,174	 5,240	 5,572	 3,552
Total governmental activities net position	\$ 10,363	\$ 13,060	\$ 17,006	\$ 21,236
<b>Business-type activities</b>				
Net investment in capital assets	\$ 4,476	\$ 5,460	\$ 6,030	\$ 7,170
Restricted	28	35	35	35
Unrestricted	 2,933	2,420	 2,122	2,223
Total business-type activities net position	\$ 7,437	\$ 7,915	\$ 8,187	\$ 9,428
Primary government				
Net investment in capital assets	\$ 7,685	\$ 10,816	\$ 14,552	\$ 21,032
Restricted	2,008	2,499	2,947	3,857
Unrestricted	8,107	7,660	7,694	5,775
Total primary government net position	\$ 17,800	\$ 20,975	\$ 25,193	\$ 30,664



 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	 2015
\$ 24,795 4,450 1,843	\$ 25,801 4,825 1,953	\$ 30,664 4,776 1,356	\$ 30,444 5,671 428	\$ 31,944 5,987 232	\$ 35,914 103 (203)
\$ 31,088	\$ 32,579	\$ 36,796	\$ 36,543	\$ 38,163	\$ 35,814
7,255 64 2,997	\$ 7,121 108 2,775	\$ 31,319 108 3,709	\$ 30,764 72 4,294	\$ 30,531 101 3,603	\$ 30,047 108 3,649
\$ 10,316	\$ 10,004	\$ 35,136	\$ 35,130	\$ 34,235	\$ 33,804
\$ 32,050 4,514 4,840	\$ 32,922 4,933 4,728	\$ 61,983 4,884 5,065	\$ 61,208 5,743 4,722	\$ 62,475 6,088 3,835	\$ 65,961 211 3,446
\$ 41,404	\$ 42,583	\$ 71,932	\$ 71,673	\$ 72,398	\$ 69,618



**Changes in Net Position** 

**Last Ten Fiscal Years** 

(Fiscal year ended June 30)

(Accrual basis of accounting)

(In Thousands)

	2006		2007	2008
Expenses				
Governmental activities:				
General government	\$ 2,062	\$	2,294	\$ 1,621
Public safety	2,843		3,071	3,332
Public works	1,040		912	970
Community development	1,157		1,474	867
Interest and fiscal charges	454		326	325
Total governmental activities expenses	7,556		8,077	7,115
Business-type activities:				
Water	1,438		1,794	1,899
Sewer	1,686		1,792	1,865
C.V. Starr Center	-		-	-
Total business-type activities expenses	3,124		3,586	3,764
Total primary government expenses	 10,680		11,663	 10,879
Program revenues				
Governmental activities:				
Charges for services:				
General government	555		492	724
Public safety	239		147	210
Public works	119		191	101
Community development	618		383	246
Operating grants and contributions	226		410	695
Capital grants and contributions	 1,430		2,075	 2,279
Total governmental activities program revenues	 3,187		3,698	 4,255
Business-type activities:				
Charges for services:				
Water	1,775		1,891	1,925
Sewer	1,392		1,470	1,505
C.V. Starr Center	-		-	-
Operating grants and contributions	-		-	-
Capital grants and contributions	 240		482	 -
Total business-type activities program revenues	 3,407		3,843	 3,430
Total primary government program revenues	6,594		7,541	 7,685
Net (Expense)/Revenue				
Governmental activities	(4,369)		(4,379)	(2,860)
Business-type activities	283		257	(334)
Total primary government net expense	(4,086)	1	(4,122)	 (3,194)

2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
\$ 2,013	\$ 2,518	\$ 2,591	\$ 2,540	\$ 2,708	\$ 1,500	\$ 2,593
3,387	4,011	3,596	3,493	3,399	3,851	3,663
1,846	2,286	1,532	1,532	1,874	2,176	2,435
2,922	2,489	3,361	1,877	1,529	3,485	840
323	311	296	177	49	42	30
10,491	11,615	11,376	9,619	9,559	11,054	9,561
2,050	2,401	2,179	2,107	2,138	2,539	2,286
2,233	2,465	2,425	2,983	3,063	3,095	2,801
-	-	-	183	2,236	2,262	2,382
4,283	4,866	4,604	5,273	7,437	7,896	7,469
14,774	16,481	15,980	14,892	16,996	18,950	17,030
2,306	3,193	3,087	2,718	2,701	2,851	2,809
133	30	30	29	40	72	50
50	13	5	4	5	34	102
188	5	9	349	100	58	-
1,101	10,622	2,138	684	1,572	1,567	4,702
3,673	2,108	602	1,096	303	1,818	2,383
7,451	15,971	5,871	4,880	4,721	6,400	10,046
2,042	2,017	2,108	2,194	2,404	2,229	2,496
1,930	2,216	2,773	2,945	3,197	3,004	3,194
-	-	-	-	591	635	706
288	-	-	-	-	-	-
1,239	85		25,132			
5,499	4,318	4,881	30,271	6,192	5,868	6,396
12,950	20,289	10,752	35,151	10,913	12,268	16,442
(2.0.10)	4.25	(5.505)	(4.500)	(4.020)	(4 - 7 4)	407
(3,040)	4,356	(5,505)	(4,739)	(4,838)	(4,654)	485
1,216	(548)	277	24,998	(1,245)	(2,028)	(1,073)
(1,824)	3,808	(5,228)	20,259	(6,083)	(6,682)	(588)
						(continued)

(continued)

**Changes in Net Position** 

**Last Ten Fiscal Years** 

(Fiscal year ended June 30)

(Accrual basis of accounting)

(In Thousands)

	 2006	 2007	 2008
Continued from previous page:			
General Revenues and Other Changes in Net Position:			
Governmental activities:			
Taxes:			
Property taxes	2,128	2,477	2,328
Sales and use tax	2,551	2,524	2,545
Transient lodging tax	1,396	1,504	1,553
Franchise taxes	248	231	278
Other taxes	222	232	315
Use of money and property	85	119	106
Other general revenues	52	-	-
Transfer in (out)	(283)	(11)	(319)
Extraordinary item: Redevelopment dissolution	-	-	-
Total governmental activities	6,399	7,076	6,806
Business-type activities:	,		
Property taxes	52	55	68
Sales and use tax			
Use of money and property	142	156	132
Other revenues	-	-	-
Transfer in (out)	283	11	319
Total business-type activities	477	222	519
Total primary government	 6,876	 7,298	 7,325
Changes in Net Position			
Governmental activities	2,030	2,697	3,946
Business-type activities	 760	479	 185
Total primary government	\$ 2,790	\$ 3,176	\$ 4,131

**Note:** The City implemented Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 68 and No. 71 (GASB 54 and GASB 71) for fiscal year ended June 30, 2015. The implementation resulted in a prior period adjustment of \$6,305,808 for Governmental activities and \$690,158 for Business-type activities.

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
	2,249	1,988	2,075	1,413	924	943	909
	2,657	2,154	2,147	2,271	2,390	2,490	2,523
	1,492	1,379	1,341	1,411	1,536	1,667	1,858
	495	457	469	470	482	496	493
	274	26	-	225	203	411	435
	41	58	51	91	103	45	87
	-	424	71	399	81	222	67
	49	(2)	(55)	(2)	(17)	(1)	(89)
	-	-	-	3,070	-	-	-
	7,257	6,484	6,099	9,348	5,702	6,273	6,283
•							
	3			19	231	216	234
					772	811	807
	72	21	21	16	8	33	42
	-	322	407	97	98	72	161
	(49)	2	55	2	17	1	89
	26	345	483	134	1,126	1,133	1,333
	7,283	6,829	6,582	9,482	6,828	7,406	7,616
	_		_				
	4,217	10,840	594	4,609	864	1,619	6,768
	1,242	(203)	760	25,132	(119)	(895)	260
\$	5,459	\$ 10,637	\$ 1,354	\$ 29,741	\$ 745	\$ 724	\$ 7,028

**Fund Balances of Governmental Funds** 

**Last Ten Fiscal Years** 

(Fiscal year ended June 30)

(Modified accrual basis of accounting)

(In Thousands)

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
General Fund					
Reserved	\$ 491	\$ 410	\$ 46	\$ 80	\$ 707
Unreserved	 2,358	2,031	2,238	2,613	2,364
Total general fund	\$ 2,849	\$ 2,441	\$ 2,284	\$ 2,693	\$ 3,071
All Other Governmental Funds:					
Reserved	\$ 2,043	\$ 1,653	\$ 2,159	\$ 2,020	\$ 3,789
Unreserved (deficit) reported in:					
Special revenue funds	709	2,197	1,934	2,671	1,088
Capital projects funds	 1,813	1,627	2,340	(513)	(654)
Total all other governmental funds	 4,565	5,477	6,433	4,178	4,223
Total all governmental funds	\$ 7,414	\$ 7,918	\$ 8,717	\$ 6,871	\$ 7,294

#### **General Fund:**

Nonspendable

Unassigned

Total general fund

#### **All Other Governmental Funds:**

Restricted


Assigned

Unassigned (deficit)

Total all other governmental funds


#### **Total all governmental funds**

**Note:** The City implemented Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 54 (GASB 54) for fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, therefore balance distribution information prior to the implementation of GASB 54 is unavailable.



2011	2012	2013	2014	2015

\$ 1	\$ 211	\$ 248	\$ 185	\$ 205
3,560	3,775	4,007	4,434	4,553
3,561	3,986	4,255	4,619	4,758
 •				
4,865	4,530	4,590	4,598	2,914
-	-	-	-	1,322
-	(187)	(299)	(1,441)	(1,157)
4,865	4,343	4,291	3,157	3,079
\$ 8,426	\$ 8,329	\$ 8,546	\$ 7,776	\$ 7,837



#### **Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds**


**Last Ten Fiscal Years** 

(Fiscal year ended June 30)


(Modified accrual basis of accounting)

(In Thousands)

Revenues:	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Taxes and assessments	\$ 6,545	\$ 6,783	\$ 6,813	\$ 6,939	\$ 6,052
Intergovernmental	2,050	2,520	2,963	4,162	7,760
Charges for services	322	401	279	2,170	2,726
Fines, forfeitures and penalties	51	78	186	109	14
Licenses and permits	180	273	260	272	307
Use of money and property	320	415	338	158	52
Reimbursements					
Other	 402	 323	 541	 209	 377
Total revenues	 9,870	10,793	11,380	 14,019	 17,288
Expenditures:					
Current:-					
General government	2,051	2,278	1,592	2,100	1,742
Public safety	2,729	2,858	3,174	3,217	3,535
Public works	702	746	788	1,540	1,519
Community development	751	1,395	865	2,893	2,434
Capital outlay	4,166	2,492	3,408	5,648	6,513
Debt Service:-					
Principal Retirement	1,988	194	210	224	308
Interest and fiscal charges	 441	 315	 310	 304	 297
Total expenditures	 12,828	 10,278	 10,347	 15,926	 16,348
<b>Reconciliation of Governmental Revenues</b>					
Less Expenditures to Fund Equity:					
Revenues over (under) expenditures	\$ (2,958)	\$ 515	\$ 1,033	\$ (1,907)	\$ 940
Other financing sources (uses):					
Proceeds from capital lease			84		
Proceeds from issuance of debt	1,655				
Extraordinary loss on dissolution of redevelopment	-	-	-	-	-
Transfers in	2,700	5,493	4,812	1,623	2,287
Transfers out	 (2,984)	(5,504)	(5,131)	(1,574)	(2,266)
Total other financing sources (uses)	1,371	 (11)	(235)	49	21
Net change in fund balances	\$ (1,587)	\$ 504	\$ 798	\$ (1,858)	\$ 961



 2011	2012	2013	2014		2015
\$ 6,032	\$ 5,790	\$ 5,579	\$	5,810	\$ 4,949
2,692	1,773	1,897		2,946	7,463
2,463	2,854	2,564		2,907	457
17	13	23		28	178
252	241	260		234	254
51	84	103		84	120
				-	2,930
 118	 410	 80		240	 59
11,625	 11,165	 10,506		12,249	 16,410
1,178	1,252	2,179		1,549	2,875
3,237	3,351	3,410		3,829	3,581
1,332	1,386	1,472		1,594	3,469
3,009	1,975	2,191		3,460	834
1,286	1,983	874		2,431	5,058
40=		40=			
107	113	107		112	116
 282	 161	 40		36	 31
 10,431	 10,221	 10,273		13,011	 15,964
\$ 1,194	\$ 944	\$ 233	\$	(762)	\$ 446
-	(1,039)	-		-	-
1,122	1,910	1,950		1,091	5,683
 (1,183)	 (1,912)	 (1,966)		(1,099)	 (6,069)
 (61)	 (1,041)	 (16)		(8)	 (386)
\$ 1,133	\$ (97)	\$ 217	\$	(770)	\$ 60
 4.44%	3.44%	1.59%		1.42%	 0.93%




#### **Governmental Fund Tax Revenue By Source**

#### **Last Ten Fiscal Years**

(Modified accrual basis of accounting)

(In Thousands)

Fiscal Year							
Ended			Sales &	Transient	Other		
June 30,	Pro	perty (1)	Use Tax	Occupancy Tax	Taxes	Total	
2006	\$	2,242	\$ 2,551	\$ 1,396	\$ 466	\$	6,655
2007		2,494	2,524	1,504	440		6,962
2008		2,349	2,544	1,553	478		6,924
2009		2,301	2,657	1,492	686		7,136
2010		2,050	2,155	1,379	637		6,221
2011		2,075	2,147	1,341	639		6,202
2012		1,413	2,271	1,411	859		5,954
2013		924	2,409	1,561	685		5,579
2014		943	2,445	1,668	754		5,810
2015		909	2,523	1,858	928		



(1) Decrease in property taxes beginning in fiscal year 2012 relates to the dissolution of the Redevelopment Agency. Upon the dissolution of the Redevelopment Agency on February 1, 2012, property taxes received by the Redevelopment Successor Agency are reported in a private-purpose trust fund and therefore are excluded from the activities of the primary government.


#### City of Fort Bragg, California Principal Sales Tax Producers Last Fiscal Year and Nine Years Ago

2014-1	5	2005-06	
Taxpayer	<b>Business Type</b>	Taxpayer	<b>Business Type</b>
Arco AM/PM Mini Marts	Service Stations	Amerigas Propane	Energy Sales
Boatyard Tobacco	Miscellaneous Retail	Baxman Gravel	Bldg.Matls-Whsle
Chevron Service Stations	Service Stations	Canclini TV & Appliance	Furniture/Appliance
Cliff House Restaurant	Restaurants	Chevron Service Stations	Service Stations
Coast To Coast Hardware	Bldg.Matls-Retail	Coast toCoast Hardware	Bldg.Matls-Retail
CVS/Pharmacy	Drug Stores	CVS/Pharmacy	Drug Stores
Denny'sRestaurant	Restaurants	Denny'sRestaurant	Restaurants
Eel River Fuels	Energy Sales	Fred Holmes Lumber	Bldg.Matls-Whsle
Fort Bragg Feed & Pet	Florist/Nursery	Harvest Market	Food Markets
Geo Aggregates	Heavy Industry	Kemppe Liquid Gas	Energy Sales
Harvest Market	Food Markets	Mcdonald's Restaurants	Restaurants
Kemppe Liquid Gas	Energy Sales	Mendo Mill & Lumber Compa	n Bldg.Matls-Retail
Mcdonald's Restaurants	Restaurants	Mendocino Coast Petroleum	Energy Sales
Mendo Mill & Lumber Com	r Bldg.Matls-Retail	North Coast Brewery	Restaurants
Mendocino County Hydroga	ar Florist/Nursery	Quality Suites	Restaurants
North Coast Brewery	Restaurants	Rinehart Distributing	Service Stations
O'Reilly Auto Parts	Auto Parts/Repair	Rite Aid Drug Stores	Drug Stores
Rite Aid Drug Stores	Drug Stores	Rossi's Building Materials	Bldg.Matls-Retail
Rossi's Building Materials	Bldg.Matls-Retail	Safeway Stores	Food Markets
Safeway Stores	Food Markets	Sears Roebuck & Company	Department Stores
Sears Hometown Stores	Furniture/Appliance	Speedex Service Station	Service Stations
Speedex Service Station	Service Stations	Sport Chrysler Jeep Dodge	Auto Sales - New
Sport Chrysler Jeep Dodge	Auto Sales - New	Two Short Sales	Bldg.Matls-Whsle
Two Short Sales	Bldg.Matls-Whsle	Walsh Oil Company	Energy Sales
Union 76 Service Stations	Service Stations	Wind & Weather	Miscellaneous Retail

**Source:** Q115 and Q106 SBOE data, MuniServices, LLC Top Sales Tax Producers listed in alphabetical order.

## City of Fort Bragg, California Assessed Value and Estimated Actual Value of Taxable Property, City Wide Last Ten Fiscal Years (In Thousands)

Fiscal Year End	 Secured Property*	Unse	ecured Property	Taxable essed Value	% Change	Total Direct Tax Rate (1)	Estimated Actual Taxable Value (2)	Factor of Taxable Assessed Value (2)
2005-06	\$ 471,474	\$	26,517	\$ 497,991	8.1%	1.082000	-	-
2006-07	\$ 518,473	\$	27,020	\$ 545,493	9.5%	1.082000	-	-
2007-08	\$ 619,707	\$	28,618	\$ 648,325	18.9%	1.070000	-	-
2008-09	\$ 645,784	\$	30,044	\$ 675,828	4.2%	1.088000	-	-
2009-10	\$ 660,917	\$	30,217	\$ 691,134	2.3%	1.082000	-	-
2010-11	\$ 609,153	\$	29,654	\$ 638,807	-7.6%	1.102000	-	-
2011-12	\$ 603,272	\$	29,066	\$ 632,338	-1.0%	1.123000	710,404	1.123456
2012-13	\$ 579,450	\$	31,513	\$ 610,963	-4.4%	1.113100	611,333	1.000606
2013-14	\$ 585,992	\$	29,641	\$ 615,633	-2.6%	1.125000	624,469	1.014352
2014-15	\$ 590,079	\$	31,347	\$ 621,426	1.7%	1.127000	689,061	1.108838



Source: Mendocino County Assessor data, MuniServices, LLC Source: 2010-11 and prior: previously published CAFR Report \*Secured Property is net of exemptions and includes Unitary value.


<sup>1.)</sup> Estimated Actual Value is derived from a series of calculations comparing median assessed values from 1940 to current median sale

#### Assessed Value of Property by Use Code, City Wide

#### **Last Four Fiscal Years**

(In Thousands)

Category	<u>2011-12</u>	<u>2012-13</u>	<u>2013-14</u>	<u>2014-15</u>
Agriculture	0	34	33	34
Residential	361,278	347,694	351,259	357,224
Commercial	161,784	164,165	165,103	163,032
Industrial	43,763	30,593	36,342	36,807
Recreation	8,962	9,119	7,905	7,593
Institution	3,826	3,931	4,024	4,285
Unknown	1,044	1,130	1,149	1,178
Miscellaneous	477	689	698	701
Unitary	670	543	542	543
Vacant	21,468	21,552	18,931	18,682
Net Secured Value	603,272	579,416	585,953	590,079
Unsecured	29,066	31,513	29,641	31,347
Net Taxable Value	632,338	610,929	615,594	621,426



Source: Mendocino County Assessor data, MuniServices, LLC Use code categories are based on Mendocino County Assessor's data

## City of Fort Bragg, California Direct and Overlapping Property Tax Rates Last Ten Fiscal Years

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
County General Fund	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
City of Fort Bragg	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
Total	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
Override Assessments						
Local Special Districts	0.024000	0.023000	0.023000	0.011000	0.010000	0.013000
Schools	0.058000	0.059000	0.047000	0.077000	0.072000	0.089000
Total	0.082000	0.082000	0.070000	0.088000	0.082000	0.102000
Total Tax Rate	1.082000	1.082000	1.070000	1.088000	1.082000	1.102000

Source: Mendocino County

Source: 2010-11 and prior, previously published CAFR Report

TRA 001-001 is represented for this report

Rates are not adjusted for ERAF

2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
0.745000	0.745000	0.745000	0.745000
0.255000	0.255000	0.255000	0.255000
1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
0.012000 0.111000	0.012000 0.119000	0.011000 0.114000	0.026000 0.101000
0.123000	0.131000	0.125000	0.127000
1.123000	1.131000	1.125000	1.127000


#### City of Fort Bragg, California Principal Property Tax Payers Last Fiscal Year and Nine Years Ago

	2014-1	15	2005-0	)6
Townsyon	Taxable Value (\$)	Percent of Total City Taxable Value (%)	Taxable Value (\$)	Percent of Total City Taxable Value (%)
Taxpayer Georgia Pacific Corp	31,215,167	5.02%	7,634,187	1.56%
Rap Investors Lp	10,653,995	1.71%	4,981,374	1.02%
Boatyard Assoc Phase Ii	9,516,557	1.71%	5,511,272	1.02%
Safeway Inc.	7,020,082	1.13%	6,409,630	1.12%
Colombi Jeanette Succttee	4,951,442	0.80%	4,038,260	0.82%
North Coast Brewery	4,854,758	0.78%	4,036,200	0.00%
Hurst Jason S	4,518,331	0.73%	-	0.00%
		0.70%	-	0.00%
Tanti Family Ii Llc Kao Kuami	4,368,451 4,226,779	0.70%	2 660 200	0.00%
Kashi Keshav Investments Llc	4,104,730	0.66%	3,660,300	0.75%
Grosvenor Van Ness Assoc	3,899,088	0.63%	3,429,072	0.70%
Longs Drug Stores California L	3,856,470	0.62%	3,705,314	0.76%
North Otown Industrial Center	3,589,852	0.58%	3,157,101	0.76%
Fort Bragg Hotel Llc	3,453,696	0.56%	3,137,101	0.04%
Anderson Logging Inc.	3,308,036	0.53%	3,519,783	0.72%
Keaton Richard J Julie	3,024,356	0.49%	2,638,906	0.72%
Noyo Vista Inc.	2,966,777	0.48%	2,625,484	0.54%
Comcast	2,693,172	0.43%	2,023,404	0.00%
Kemppe Liquid Gas Corp	2,611,640	0.42%	3,333,005	0.68%
Rbj Assoc Llc	2,544,238	0.41%	3,333,003	0.00%
Moura Senior Housing	2,521,775	0.41%	2,205,018	0.45%
Miller Helen Centeno	2,430,465	0.39%	2,133,154	0.44%
Taubold Timothy E Ttee	2,403,069	0.39%	2,133,131	0.00%
Whitteaker Donald E Dorothy C	2,331,790	0.38%	_	0.00%
Lee Michael V Dona H Ttees	2,300,132	0.37%	_	0.00%
Adelphia Communications	2,500,132	0.00%	2,365,491	0.48%
Affinito Dominic J & Juliette	_	0.00%	2,961,030	0.60%
Baxman Charles E Pearl	_	0.00%	2,205,902	0.45%
Decaminada Randy Co-TTEES 1/3	_	0.00%	2,343,805	0.48%
Hunt Robert A	_	0.00%	5,575,310	1.14%
Kolberg John J & Arlene P	_	0.00%	2,683,599	0.55%
Pollard Donald R	_	0.00%	2,145,225	0.44%
Roach Bros	_	0.00%	2,113,100	0.43%
Tradewinds Lodge	_	0.00%	11,939,896	2.44%
Hurst James C & Barbara J Ttee	-	0.00%	3,991,765	0.81%
Total Top 25 Taxpayers	129,364,848	20.82%	97,306,983	19.85%
Total Taxable Value	621,426,140	100.00%	490,246,889	100.00%

Source: Mendocino County Assessor data, MuniServices, LLC

#### City of Fort Bragg, California Property Tax Levies and Collections For the last ten fiscal years (Dollars in thousands)

Fiscal Year	Tax	xes Levied								
Ended		for the			Percentage					
June 30,	Fi	scal Year		Amount	of Levy					
		_		-						
2006	\$	216,250	\$	210,251	97.2%					
2007		231,862		223,485	96.4%					
2008		276,668		264,845	95.7%					
2009		288,495		274,649	95.2%					
2010		293,999		279,753	95.2%					
2011		299,429		287,121	95.9%					
2012		294,235		283,594	96.4%					
2013		251,307		243,397	96.9%					
2014		253,017		245,915	97.2%					
2015		257,387		250,358	97.3%					



Note: Mendocino County does not track collections of delinquent taxes by year in subsequent years.

Source: Mendocino County Auditor-Controller's Office

#### City of Fort Bragg, California Ratios of Outstanding Debt by Type Last Ten Fiscal Years

(In Thousands, Except Per Capita Amount)

	Gov	ern	mental Activ	vities	S		Business-t	ype 1	Activities
Fiscal Year Ended June 30,	ficates of		Capital Leases		Total vernmental Activities	 ificates of	Notes Payable		Capital Leases
2006	\$ 6,470	\$	-	\$	6,470	\$ 5,390	\$ -	\$	-
2007	6,175		-		6,175	5,130	-		-
2008	6,021		-		6,021	4,870	2,267		-
2009	5,864		160		6,024	4,590	2,151		982
2010	5,702		98		5,800	4,360	1,874		878
2011	5,533		32		5,565	4,130	1,767		769
2012 (2)	943		-		943	3,237	1,481		626
2013	842		-		842	3,013	1,375		457
2014	777		-		777	3,217	1,314		367
2015	660		-		660	2,896	1,207		240

N/A indicates information is not available

<sup>(1)</sup> See Schedule of Demographic and Economic Statistics for personal and per capita data.

<sup>(2)</sup> RDA bonds included from 2006 to 2011 are not included in 2012 and after due to the dissolution of the Redevelopment Agency.

Total iness-type ctivities	Total Primary vernment	Percentage of Personal Income <sup>(1)</sup>	Per Capita <sup>(1)</sup>	
\$ 5,390	\$ 11,860	8.4%	\$	1,720
5,130	11,305	7.7%		1,648
7,137	13,158	8.8%		1,918
7,723	13,747	9.8%		2,007
7,112	12,912	8.9%		1,884
6,666	12,231	N/A		1,779
5,344	6,287	4.4%		867
4,845	5,687	3.9%		778
4,898	5,675	3.7%		772
4,343	5,003	3.2%		681

# City of Fort Bragg, California Ratios of General Bonded Debt Outstanding Last Ten Fiscal Years (In Thousands, Except Per Capita Amount)

Fiscal Year	Tax Alloca Bonds	tion	Less: Amo Restricted Debt Serv	l for	]	Net Bonded Debt	Percentage of Personal Income <sup>(2)</sup>		
2004-05	\$ 4	,685	\$	314	\$	4,371	3.3	3% 0.9%	630
2005-06	4	,620		314		4,306	3.1	0.9%	624
2006-07	4	,550		314		4,236	2.9	0.8%	617
2007-08	4	,480		314		4,166	2.8	3% 0.6%	607
2008-09	4	,410		314		4,096	2.9	0.6%	598
2009-10	4	,335		314		4,021	2.8	3% 0.6%	587
2010-11	4	,255		314		3,941	N	7/A 0.6%	573
2011-12	4	,175		314		3,861	2.7	7% 0.6%	532
2012-13	4	,096		314		3,782	2.6	5% 0.6%	517
2013-14	4	,005		314		3,691	2.4	1% 0.6%	502
2014-15	4	,040		-		4,040	2.6	5% 0.7%	550

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(1)</sup> In FY 2011/12 The Redevelopment Agency Tax Allocation Bond was transferred to the Successor Agency trust and as such is no longer an obligation of the City

Source: City financial records

N/A indicates information not available

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(2)</sup> See Demographic and Economic Statistics schedule for personal income and population. Personal income information is not available for FY 2010-11

<sup>(3)</sup> Assessed value used because actual value of taxable property not readily available in the State of California

This page intentionally left blank.



#### **Legal Debt Margin Information**

#### **Last Ten Fiscal Years**

(Fiscal year ended June 30)

(Dollars in thousands)

	2006		2007		2008		2009	
Assessed Value	\$	471,474	\$	518,473	\$	619,707	\$	645,784
Conversion Percentage		25%		25%		25%		25%
Adjusted Assessed Value		117,869		129,618		154,927		161,446
Debt Limit Percentage		15%		15%		15%		15%
Debt limit		17,680		19,443		23,239		24,217
Total net debt applicable to limit								-
Legal debt margin	\$	17,680	\$	19,443	\$	23,239	\$	24,217
Total net debt applicable to the limit as a percentage of debt limit		0%		0%		0%		0%

Notes: The Government Code of the State of California provides for a legal debt limit of 15% of gross assessed secured tax valuation. However, this provision was enacted when assessed valuation was based upon 25% of market value. Effective with the 1981-82 fiscal year, each parcel is now assessed at 100% of market value (as of the most recent change in ownership for that parcel). The computations shown above reflect a conversion of the assessed value for each fiscal year from the current full valuation perspective to the 25% level that was in effect at the time that the legal debt margin was enacted by the State of California for local governments located within the state.

The City does not have any outstanding general obligation debt subject to the limit.

2010		2011		2012		2013		2014	2015			
\$ 660,917	\$	609,153	\$	603,272	\$	579,450	\$	585,992	\$	590,079		
25%		25%		25%		25%		25%		25%		
165,229		152,288		150,818		144,863		146,498		147,520		
15%		15%	15%		15%			15%		15%		
24,784		22,843		22,623		21,729		21,975		22,128		
						-		-		-		
\$ 24,784	\$	22,843	\$	22,623	\$	21,729	\$	21,975	\$	22,128		
0%	0% 0%			0%		0%	0%			0%		

### **City of Fort Bragg, California Direct and Overlapping Debt**

**Current Year** 

June 30, 2015

2014-15 Assessed Valuation:	\$ 622,988,084					
	Total Debt		Ci	ity's Share of		
OVERLAPPING TAX AND ASSESSMENT DEBT:	6/30/2015	% Applicable (1)	De	ebt 6/30/2015		
Redwoods Joint Community College District	\$ 32,230,000	3.796%	\$	1,223,451		
Fort Bragg Unified School District	33,407,430	38.394%		12,826,449		
Mendocino Coast Hospital District	4,601,475	20.932%		963,181		
TOTAL OVERLAPPING TAX AND ASSESSMENT DEBT			\$	15,013,081		
OVERLAPPING GENERAL FUND DEBT:  Mendocino County Certificates of Participation	21,525,000	6.089%	\$	1,310,657		
Mendocino County Pension Obligation Bonds	68,305,000	6.089%		4,159,091		
Redwoods Joint Community College District Certificates of Participation	149,800	3.796%		5,686		
TOTAL OVERLAPPING GENERAL FUND DEBT			\$	5,475,434		
OVERLAPPING TAX INCREMENT DEBT (Successor Agency):	4,040,000	100.000%		4,040,000		
DIRECT DEBT			\$	-		
TOTAL OVERLAPPING DEBT			\$	24,528,515		
COMBINED TOTAL DEBT			\$	24,528,515 <sup>(2)</sup>		

<sup>(1)</sup> The percentage of overlapping debt applicable to the city is estimated using taxable assessed property value. Applicable percentages were estimated by determining the portion of the overlapping district's assessed value that is within the boundaries of the city divided by the district's total taxable assessed value.

(2) Excludes tax and revenue anticipation notes, enterprise revenue and mortgage revenue bonds and non-bonded lease obligations.

#### Ratios to 2014-15 Assessed Valuation:

Total Overlapping Tax and Assessment Debt	2.41%
Combined Total Debt	3.94%
Ratios to Redevelopment Successor Agency Incremental Valuation (\$185,547,796):	
Total Overlapping Tax Increment Debt	2.18%

Source: MuniServices, LLC

This page intentionally left blank.



#### **Pledged Revenue Coverage**

For the Last Ten Fiscal Years

(Dollars in Thousands)

Fiscal	Business-type Activities Water Fund Debt												
Year	Year		]	Less: Net					Total				
Ended	Revenues		Op	erating	Available		]	Debt S	ervice	Debt			
June 30,	& T	ransfers	Exp	penses 1	Revenue		Principal		Interest	Service	Coverage		
2006	\$	1,907	\$	957	\$	950	\$	186	\$ 236	\$ 422	2.3		
2007		2,025		1,313		712		293	227	520	1.4		
2008		2,054		1,341		713		402	273	675	1.1		
2009		2,113		2,078		35		387	265	652	0.1		
2010		2,058		1,792		266		322	293	615	0.4		
2011		2,159		1,632		527		365	245	610	0.9		
2012		2,044		1,585		459		360	158	518	0.9		
2013		2,420		1,623		797		327	151	478	1.7		
2014		2,172		1,953		219		369	171	540	0.4		
2015		2,595		1,806		789		493	147	640	1.2		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Operating expenses exclude depreciation

Business-type Activities Sewer Fund Debt


		]	Less:	1	Vet					Total	
Re	evenues	Operating		Ava	ailable	Ι	Debt S	ervi	ce	Debt	
& Transfers		Expenses 1		Revenue		Prin	Principal		erest	Service	Coverage
\$	1,694	\$	1,363	\$	331	\$	91	\$	51	\$ 142	2.3
	2,085		1,431		654		75		45	120	5.5
	1,959		1,523		436		85		35	120	3.6
	2,160		1,908		252		53		32	85	3.0
	2,517		2,116		401		35		12	47	8.5
	3,152		2,078		1,074		40		21	61	17.6
	2,943		2,637		306		40		19	59	5.2
	3,263		2,733		530		40		17	57	9.3
	2,985		2,633		352		45		17	62	5.7
	3,184		2,470		714		45		16	61	11.7

(continued)

#### **Demographic and Economic Statistics**

**Last Ten Fiscal Years** 

Fiscal Year	Population (1)	Personal Income (in thousands)	Per Capita Personal Income (2)	Median Age	Public School Enrollment	City Unemployment Rate (%) (3)
2005-06	6,897	140,958	17,589	-	1,951	5.8%
2006-07	6,860	146,738	17,852	-	1,934	6.1%
2007-08	6,859	149,379	18,977	-	1,926	7.6%
2008-09	6,848	140,416	20,685	38.3	1,907	11.5%
2009-10	6,855	144,629	17,513	38.4	1,886	12.7%
2010-11	6,875	-	18,247	40.9	1,885	12.6%
2011-12	7,255	143,775	19,817	40.5	1,904	10.8%
2012-13	7,311	146,997	20,106	37.4	1,917	10.8%
2013-14	7,350	153,410	20,872	36.8	1,870	8.7%
2014-15	7,343	154,002	20,973	38.2	1,873	4.2%



Source: MuniServices, LLC

Source: 2010-11 and prior, previously published CAFR Report

The California Department of Finance demographics estimates now incorporate 2010 Census counts as the benchmark.

- (-) Indicates data unavailable.
- 1.) Population Projections are provided by the California Department of Finance Projections.
- 2.) Income Data is provided by the U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 American Community Survey.
- 3.) Unemployment Data are provided by the EDD's Bureau of Labor Statistics Department.

#### Principal Employers

**2014-15** and **3** years ago

	201	14-15	2011-12			
Business Name	Number of Employees	Percent of Total Employment (%)	Number of Employees	Percent of Total Employment (%)		
Mendocino Coast District Hosp	320	8.82%	325	0.00%		
Fort Bragg Unified School District	250	6.89%	265	0.00%		
Safeway	126	3.47%	115	0.00%		
Mendocino Coast Clinics Inc	125	3.44%	104	0.00%		
Parents & Friends Inc	125	3.44%				
North Coast Brewing CO Inc (1)	104	2.87%	112	0.00%		
Sherwood Oaks Health Ctr	94	2.59%	100	0.00%		
CV Starr Community Center	89	2.45%				
Mendocino County	79	2.18%	58	0.00%		
Silvers At the Wharf (Anchor Lodge)	65	1.79%				
City of Fort Bragg			58	0.00%		
Anderson Logging Inc			87	0.00%		
Fort Bragg Recreation Ctr			56	0.00%		
Total Top Employers	1,377	37.93%	1,280	0.00%		
Total City Employment (3)	3,630					


Source: MuniServices, LLC

Results based on direct correspondence with city's local businesses.

- 1.) Includes summer and seasonal employees
- 2.) Includes all satellite offices of county departments in the City of Fort Bragg
- 3.) Total City Labor Force provided by EDD Labor Force Data.

City of Fort Bragg, California
Full-time and Part-time City Employees by Function (Full-Time Equivalent)
Last Ten Fiscal Years
(Fiscal year ended June 30)

Function	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
General Government Administrative Services	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.80	4.80	6.00	6.00	6.00
Finance	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
Public Safety	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••	• 4 00	•••	•••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••
Police	21.00	22.00	24.00	23.00	23.00	21.00	21.00	21.00	22.00	22.00
<b>Community Services</b>										
Community Development	5.00	4.75	5.75	5.75	4.75	3.80	3.80	3.80	4.30	4.00
Public works	14.00	13.00	13.00	13.00	14.50	12.60	12.60	12.60	13.60	12.60
Enterprise Funds	7.75	9.00	9.00	9.00	8.00	7.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00
Total	57.75	58.75	61.75	60.75	60.25	53.20	54.20	55.40	57.90	56.60



#### City of Fort Bragg, California Operating Indicators by Function Last Ten Fiscal Years (Fiscal year ended June 30)

Function/Program	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Building Permits Issued				174	204	204	210	125	272	192
New Construction										
Single Family Residential	5	9	3	1	2	3	2	0	0	0
Second Unit	2	4	1	3	2	1	1	1	1	1
Mixed Use, Commercial & Residential	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
Multi-Family Residential							0	0	1	0
Low Income Residential		1		1		2	0	0	0	4
City Clerk										
Council/Agency Resolutions Passed	134	131	110	148	112	93	108	93	87	127
Number of Ordinances Passed	8	6	4	13	3	9	9	2	4	3
Number of Contracts Approved	4	10	11	11	9	13	32	29	31	30
Public Safety										
Traffic Accidents	181	125	100	111	116	112	139	163	174	172
Traffic Violations - DUI	53	52	78	53	42	37	33	62	45	26
Bookings	726	714	845	521	501	621	608	744	689	737
Moving Cites	335	438	1,075	852	550	514	841	1,001	1,614	949
Parking Cites						405	374	355	833	484
Field Interviews and Information Cases								1,450	2,211	1,301
Crime Reports	1,577	1,471	1,323	1,288	1,150	1,274	1,454	1,610	1,576	1,317
Fire <sup>1</sup>										
Total Number of Calls	546	596	577	640	504	601	632	500	525	617
Structure Fires	31	44	63	38	35	30	27	29	29	21
Vehicle Fires	17	18	12	6	7	9	9	9	17	9
Vegetation Fires	20	33	43	55	33	15	29	25	41	40
Misc Fires (Chimney, Debris, Electronically, etc.)								17	24	17
Medical Aid	146	174	143	110	102	186	209	110	142	163
Rescue	129	128	132	135	114	112	129	11	13	10
Hazardous Condition/Materials Calls	34	49	54	62	53	37	46	73	48	41
Mutual Aid/Agency Assist								6	3	9
Traffic Accidents								88	89	98
Services Call	93	87	105	120	100	161	115	128	117	96
Other Calls & Incidents	76	63	25	114	60	81	68	4	2	113
Water										
Number of customer accounts billed	16,541	16,300	16,541	33,965	33,771	33,521	32,523	33,924	32,300	33,716
Water annual demand in thousand gallons	2,458	2,302	2,626	2,401	2,233	2,176	2,312	2,255	2,245	2,044
Available supply of water in thousand gallons	2,993	3,078	2,917	2,688	2,524	2,442	3,066	2,574	2,490	2,398
total Customer service calls			1,592	1,451	1,421	1,531	1,448	1,439	1,254	1,177
Meter installs/removals/change outs			280	38	36	49	40	2	11	14
Meter repairs			7	4	1	3	2	44	1	8
Leak investigations			57	24	15	15	16	21	3	6
Service profiles			159	180	98	131	81	93	52	57
Turn on/off			1,028	1,120	1,244	1,255	1,267	1,248	139	508
Manual Reads			484	522	556	555	565	527	513	453
Misc.			52	78	26	27	36	33	49	60
Wastewater										
Customer service calls, wastewater					38	39	37	52	71	41
New customer sewer lines installed					2	2	0	2	2	3
Sewer mains cleaned/flushed in miles					15	19	19	18	14	15.5
Sewer mains and laterals repaired in number of jobs					38	39	34	0	8	16
Sewer manholes inspected					370	371	373	371	362	363
Sewer manholes installed					1	3	1	0	0	0
Sewer spill responses					3	4	1	3	7	7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The governing agency offer the Fort Bragg Volunteer Fire Department is the Fort Bragg Fire Protection Authority Joint Powers Agreement (JPA). The JPA is a board of directors formed in the 1989-90 fiscal year. It consists of two members from the Fort Bragg City Council appointed by the City's mayor, two members from the Fort Bragg Rural Fire District Board appointed by their chairman, and an "At Large" member appointed by the other four members. The City of Fort Bragg is responsible for 50% of the Fire Department budget.

Source: Operating indicators were provided by the various operating departments.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In some categories historical information is not available

City of Fort Bragg, California Capital Asset Statistics by Function Last Ten Fiscal Years (Fiscal year ended June 30)

Function	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Police:										
Stations	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Public works										
Streets (miles)	26.4	26.4	26.4	26.4	26.4	26.4	26.4	26.4	26.4	26.4
Alleys (miles)	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
Storm drains (miles)	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Streetlights	725	725	725	725	725	725	725	725	725	725
Sidewalks	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
Water										
Water treatment plant	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Water mains (miles)	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
Raw water transmission line (miles)	5.75	5.75	5.75	5.75	5.75	5.75	5.75	5.75	5.75	5.75
Wastewater										
Wastewater treatment plant	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Sanitary sewers (miles)	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27
Parks and Facilities										
Parks	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Recreational/Guest Facilities	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3
Government Facilities	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2

Source: City Finance, Public Works and Planning Departments